PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE
REGULAR BUSINESS MEETING AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2012
8:30 A.M.

DATES SET:

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2012 @ 8:30 a.m.
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Building, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria Illinois 61604.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 21, 2012 @ 8:30 a.m.
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Building, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria Illinois 61604.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 19 @ 8:30 a.m.
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Building, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria Illinois 61604.

PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE
AGENDAS AND MINUTES
ISSUED BY:
LESTER D. BERGSTEN, CHAIRMAN
via the PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
CITY HALL, ROOM #307
(309) 494-8800
INTERNET ADDRESS: www.ci.peoria.il.us

To access electronic Agenda & Minutes (only):
1. www.ci.peoria.il.us
2. Click "Government" tab @ top left
3. Choose "Departments H-Z" for drop-down window to appear
4. Roll cursor over to "Public Works" for another drop-down window to appear
5. Roll cursor over to "Boards & Commissions"
6. Roll cursor over to "Landfill Committee"
7. Scroll to the bottom of the screen. Under "Agenda & Minutes" will be a list of the .pdf postings.
8. Select desired document and double click to open.

*CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA SHOULD CONTACT A COMMITTEE MEMBER PRIOR TO THE MEETING.
ALL OTHER PUBLIC INPUT WILL BE HEARD UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT NEAR THE END OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING.

NOTE: THE ORDER IN WHICH AGENDA ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED MAY BE MOVED FORWARD OR DELAYED BY AT LEAST 2/3 VOTE OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT.

THE PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE MEETS IN REGULAR BUSINESS SESSIONS THE THIRD WEDNESDAY OF THE MONTH (JANUARY THROUGH NOVEMBER) AT 8:30 A.M. AT LESTER D. BERGSTEN OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITY CONFERENCE ROOM, 3505 N. DRIES LANE, PEORIA, ILLINOIS.

DURING THE MONTH OF DECEMBER, PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE WILL NOT MEET UNLESS A SPECIAL MEETING IS CALLED. NOTICES OF ANY SPECIAL MEETING ARE POSTED AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR.
PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE MEETING  
DRIES LANE FACILITY CONFERENCE ROOM  
JANUARY 18, 2012  

8:30AM  

ATTENDANCE  

ANNOUNCEMENTS, ETC.  

MINUTES  

AGENDA ITEMS  

ITEM NO. 1  
REPORT FROM FOTH INFRASTRUCTURE & ENVIRONMENT, LLC  
A. Special Waste Approvals as needed  
B. Permit approvals as needed  
C. Landfill Gas – Electricity Facility Update  

ITEM NO. 2  
DAM PROJECT UPDATE  

ITEM NO. 3  
LANDFILL BUDGET DISCUSSION  

ITEM NO. 4  
REPORT FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.  
A. Monthly Activity Report  
B. Permit approvals as needed  

ITEM NO. 5  
REPORT FROM PEORIA DISPOSAL CO.  

UNFINISHED BUSINESS  
NEW BUSINESS  
CITIZENS’ OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE  
NEXT MEETING  
ADJOURNMENT  
EXECUTIVE SESSION
Peoria, Illinois, November 16, 2011, a Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Meeting was held this date at 8:33 A.M., at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Facility, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria, Illinois.

**ATTENDANCE**

The following Committee Members were physically present: Bob Akers, Les Bergsten, Timothy Riggenbach, Lynn Scott-Pearson, Ryan Spain, Steve Van Winkle – 6; Absent – Steve Morris - 1

City/County Staff present: Dave Barber, Steve Giebelhausen, Patti Pitcher, Karen Raithel

Other interested parties: Beth Akeson, Simon Alwan, Joyce Blumenshine, Chris Coulter, Dan Erni, Joyce Harant, Steve Harenberg, Steve Matheny, Pat Sloan, Mike Wiersema, Jerry Wyatt

**ANNOUNCEMENTS, ETC.**

None.

**MINUTES**

Ms. Scott-Pearson moved to approve the minutes of the Regular Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Meeting held on October 19, 2011; seconded by Mr. Van Winkle.

Approved by viva voce vote.

**AGENDA ITEMS**

ITEM NO. 1. REPORT from FOTH INFRASTRUCTURE & ENVIRONMENT, LLC

A. Special Waste approvals as needed

Mr. Alwan presented two profiles, both of which require Committee action: (1) Nees Harley-Davidson [oil filters]. These are considered excluded hazardous waste. No technical objections. (2) Peoria City/County Landfill [empty drums]. This is waste abandoned by RTC. No technical objections.

Mr. Van Winkle moved to approve these two permit applications as outlined; seconded by Mr. Akers.

Approved by viva voce vote

B. Permit Approvals as needed

Mr. Alwan said Foth doesn't have any permit approvals at this time, but asks if the need arises between now and the next meeting that Foth be allowed to seek Mr. Barber's signature as needed.

C. Landfill gas – Electricity Facility Update

Foth's electrician is still waiting for cost figures from Ameren. Mr. Always will update the Committee as this information becomes available.

D. Approve Foth Engineering Service Agreement

The proposed 2012 Engineering Service Agreement is the same as in previous years except for the budgeted amount, which is $445,000. Last year's Agreement was for $369,000.
Discussion was had regarding Foth having been involved since the Peoria City/County Landfill’s inception and whether or not there is any merit in going out for proposals. Mr. Barber said the Committee needs to answer this clearly as this topic is likely to come up on the City Council floor again, just like last year. In his opinion, and based on his vast experience dealing with landfills, Foth’s rates are not unreasonable and it would be difficult to get the same level of service and expertise. Not only has Foth picked up additional duties as a result of RTC, they’ve always been under budget.

Mr. Van Winkle stated the Committee has been very blessed to have Foth (formerly Daily & Associates) due to their responsiveness and experience, almost like an extension of staff. He noted, too, the City used to provide staff support (Bill Miller, under Mr. Van Winkle’s supervision), but that type of support hasn’t been in existence for years. Foth is filling that void of what staff isn’t or can’t be. Other Committee members noted Foth has ensured there haven’t been any major IEPA violations—not to mention Foth has a good working relationship with IEPA as well. Mr. Sloan made numerous trips to Chicago for RTC depositions and provided detailed documentation as an “expert witness” but didn’t charge the going rate as such (typically double or more), just his regular rate. Last, but not least, the trust relationship between Foth and the Committee is an invaluable asset.

Mr. Spain explained while he’s very appreciative of Foth’s work, the City Manager has asked staff City-wide to work with contracted vendors, offering extensions if cost savings could be realized. Foth is no exception. It was determined Foth will provide a detailed report explaining the cost differences between the 2011 budget amounts and the proposed 2012 budget amounts for Mr. Barber to include in his Communication to City Council.

Mr. Riggenbach questioned the process involved for an RFQ. Mr. Barber explained that for professional services a quality-based selection process is used, not a low-bid selection. Once a vendor is selected, then cost negotiations begin.

Mr. Akers moved to approve Foth’s report, to secure Mr. Barber’s signature on permits if/as needed before the next regular meeting and to approve the 2012 Service Agreement; seconded by Mr. Van Winkle.

Approved by viva voce vote

Foth’s 2012 Service Agreement will now go before City Council and the County Board.

ITEM NO. 2 DAM PROJECT UPDATE

Mr. Sloan reported Mr. Erni provided information on erosion control products for consideration. Foth staff currently has these products under technical review. He hopes to have a recommendation in the next week or two to present to IEPA in an effort to facilitate construction in 2012. He feels he may be able to get the costs down to $800,000 then will need to work with Waste Management. He will report his findings at the January meeting. Mr. Barber asked if it’d be feasible to delay construction until 2013; Mr. Sloan replied it could be, if need be, but it would be most cost-effective to construct in 2012 due to PDC’s anticipated construction for Landfill No. 3.

Mr. Spain stated it’s difficult to enter into budget discussions with City Council regarding host fee increases without knowing the Committee’s responsibility for dam repair expenses. He also noted it’s important to achieve the correct host fee, not just for budget purposes.

Mr. Spain moved to receive and file; seconded by Ms. Scott-Pearson.

Approved by viva voce vote
ITEM NO. 3 LANDFILL BUDGET DISCUSSION

A. Discussion and Approval of proposed budget-balancing scenarios

Mr. Barber distributed a corrected copy of the 3rd Quarter report and stated revenue is already down $35,000 due to the decrease in volume. This shows as a significant decrease on the budget projection which includes anticipated growth. The Committee needs to act on this relatively soon in order to get this in motion for 2012 and agrees a dollar range is a good approach. The Committee was initially able to avoid expenses with RTC but is now playing “catch up.”

The sooner re-negotiation for the Host Fee could occur, the better because this would need to be approved by City Council and County Board. Mr. Bergsten estimates April 2012 would be the earliest, assuming there aren't any snags along the way at City Council or County Board meetings. Mr. Spain isn’t comfortable with negotiating with Waste Management while still working through the dam issue.

Mr. Giegelhausen suggested presenting to the City Council and County Board first to see whether or not they’re willing to allow a Host Fee increase. Discussion was had regarding this approach but ultimately determined the extra step wouldn’t be worth it – [City Council or County Board] members could get hung up on the dam repair issue plus getting the respective members up to speed with the issues would be difficult as well. Mr. Barber has spoken with Mr. Sorrell, so there won’t be any surprises. Ms. Scott-Pearson added that Mr. Sorrell, in turn, addressed all County Committees to alert them of this financial situation as well.

It was suggested that staff provide background information and current status for the City’s weekly “Issues Update” report and the “County Administrator’s Weekly Report.” Mr. Barber will work with Ms. Raithel and Mr. Giegelhausen to write an article for both publications.

Mr. Bergsten noted again that getting approval [from City Council and County Board] for a specific dollar range would allow the Committee some flexibility during negotiations for the foreseeable future and prevent the possibility of having to re-negotiate in a relatively short time in the future or to go before either entity totally broke. Mr. Riggenbach feels it’s the responsibility of the Landfill Committee to negotiate and not make this whole process more complicated than necessary.

Mr. Van Winkle felt it would advantageous to approach with resolution to the dam repair issue to use leverage rather than end-to-end. Mr. Spain reiterated the need to know Waste Management's position before going to City Council and County Board.

Mr. Spain moved to direct staff to negotiate with Waste Management for the Host Fee allowing a $1.00 to $2.00 range, bringing results back to the January meeting; seconded by Ms. Scott-Pearson.

Approved by viva voce vote

Mr. Wiersema commented that a resolution on the dam repair issue is critical to help move everyone forward. The Host Fee isn’t just a pass-through fee. He acknowledged Waste Management is willing to talk but the lingering dam issue has become stagnant. Mr. Spain thanked Mr. Wiersema for his meaningful comments.

Mr. Erni also stated he’s working with Foth staff and willing to do whatever’s necessary to help with cost savings.
ITEM NO. 4  REPORT from WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.

A. Monthly Activity Report

Mr. Matheny reviewed the Monthly report. No discussion.

B. Permit Approvals as needed

Mr. Erni is processing two permits for landscape waste and the other for compost facility for Mr. Barber's signature contingent upon Foth's review and approval.

Mr. Spain asked if this meant Waste Management is interested in continuing to provide these services; Mr. Wiersema confirmed these are regulated reports.

Mr. Spain moved to approve Waste Management's report and the two permits as outlined; seconded by Mr. Akers.

Approved by viva voce vote.

ITEM NO. 5  REPORT from PEORIA DISPOSAL CO.

A. Peoria County Board decision: Proposed Landfill No. 3 Expansion

Mr. Coulter distributed several handouts: (1) The November 10, 2011 Peoria County Board Meeting Agenda [specifically Item No. 5 on Page 4]; (2) Peoria County Resolution approving the Proposed Findings of Fact and Recommendations; (3) Peoria County Resolution approving the Landfill No. 3 Expansion Project; and (4) a copy of the Journal Star article dated November 12th announcing said approval.

He said a major milestone was achieved last week and that PCCL is very happy the County Board unanimously approved the Expansion Project with a 14 – 0 vote. He noted that four members abstained due to their previous or current involvement with the Landfill Committee and/or PDC: Mr. Harding, Mr. Morris, Mr. Rosenbohm and Ms. Scott-Pearson.

B. Next Steps

Now that approval has been granted, there's a 35-day appeal which expires on December 15, 2011. PCCL will know by December 18th or 19th (allowing for U.S. mail postmarked December 15th) if any appeals have been filed. If there aren't any, PCCL will hand-deliver a check for $450,000 to the City and the County respectively on Thursday, December 22nd.

PCCL is obligated to assume responsibility for the recycling drop-off centers in January. Mr. Coulter has already scheduled a meeting with Ms. Raithel to coordinate these efforts.

He suggested that during the January or February 2012 Landfill Committee meetings that the Agreement be modified to include these updates.

As for the IEPA, he anticipates a 3-year timeframe for approval to be received. His reasoning is IEPA now has less staff and there are four key components making this project unique:

- Extensive mine void investigations
- Off-site mitigation project also being constructed
- Citizen's Convenience Center is an entirely new concept
- IEPA has an assigned Reviewer for Landfill No. 1 and another one for Landfill No. 2, but unsure if they'll assign yet another one for Landfill No. 3
Mr. Coulter plans to submit a high-level summary to IEPA to help facilitate a smooth and timely process. Ms. Raithel is developing a memo of trigger dates to create a timeline for the remainder of this project. Mr. Barber stated that several items were passed back to the Committee for handling which should be presented in the January or February meeting.

Mr. Van Winkle moved to approve PDC’s report; seconded by Ms. Scott-Pearson.

Approved by viva voce vote.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None.

NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Barber announced that today is Ms. Pitcher’s last Landfill meeting as she’s being reassigned.

CITIZENS’ OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE

Ms. Joyce Blumenshine [The Heart of Illinois Sierra Club]

Ms. Blumenshine distributed a copy of an article “Recycling Program Starts to Pay Off for Municipalities” from the Pekin Daily Times, posted October 8, 2011, with a cover letter addressed to Chairman Bergsten and the Landfill Committee members. The article highlights the monthly revenue Pekin and East Peoria communities are receiving for their recycling. She asked that a regular item be added to the Committee’s Agenda to address PDC’s recycling expenditures and various amounts received for their recyclables.

NEXT MEETING

There is no December meeting. The next Regular Landfill Committee meeting will be held at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Facility, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria, Illinois, on Wednesday, January 18, 2012.

ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Scott-Pearson moved to adjourn the regular meeting; seconded by Mr. Akers.

Approved by viva voce vote.

The regular Landfill Committee meeting adjourned at 9:45A.M.

Lester D. Bergsten, Chairman
REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Simon Always, P.E., Environmental Engineer, FOTH

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: January 18, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: Special Waste Permit Approvals

BACKGROUND: Memorandum attached. Two new profiles requiring Committee approval. Action is required.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A
MEMORANDUM

TO: Joint City of Peoria - County of Peoria Solid Waste Disposal Facility Board
DATE: January 6, 2012

FROM: Simon Alwan, P.E. NUMBER: 012P001.00

SUBJECT: Special Waste Permits

Waste Management has presented the following waste streams and requests Board approval.

New Profiles for Approval (Action is Necessary):

1. Midwest Generation
   13082 East Manito Road
   Pekin, IL 61554
   Urea Solids

   Application
   Dated: 12/21/11
   Received: 12/21/11

   Urea is used on site and injected into Boilers at 3-5% concentration. Urea supply concentrate is 50% or less. Spills will evaporate water where Urea crystallizes. Material is swept or vacuumed up.

   Source: Tazewell
   Type: Non-Special
   Profile # 1136271L

   Expected
   Quantity = 40 pounds
   Frequency = As Needed

   Subject to County Fee = yes
   Last Tested = MSDS

Comments: This waste stream is certified by the generator as non-special based on generator knowledge and MSDS. We have no technical objections to this waste stream. Action is required.
2.  
| City of Peoria  |
| 1200 Block of West Pioneer Parkway  |
| Peoria, IL 61614  |
| Treated Wood – Weathered  |
| Application  |
| Dated: 12/22/11  |
| Received: 12/22/11  |
| Demolition/dismantling uncontaminated, weathered wood products with preservatives (creosote, CCA)  |
| Source: Peoria  |
| Type: Non-Special  |
| Profile # 108198IL  |
| Expected  |
| Quantity = 86 Railroad Ties  |
| Frequency = One Time Event  |
| Subject to County Fee = yes  |
| Last Tested = NA  |

Comments: This waste stream is certified by the generator as non-special based on generator knowledge. We have no technical objections to this waste stream. Action is required.

**Administrative Approval (No Action Required):**

1.  
| Ameren  |
| 7800 South Cilco Lane  |
| Bartonville, IL 61607  |
| Sandblast Media  |
| Application  |
| Dated: 12/22/11  |
| Received: 12/23/11  |
| Blasting Structural Steel  |
| Source: Peoria  |
| Type: Non-Special  |
| Profile # 113620IL  |
| Expected  |
| Quantity = 40 Tons  |
| Frequency = One Time Event  |
| Subject to County Fee = yes  |
| Last Tested = 12/15/11  |

Comments: This waste stream is certified by the generator as non-special based on analytical data. We have no technical objections to this waste stream. Administrative approval was granted by Mr. Barber on December 29, 2011. No action is required.

Notes:
- Committee approval does not relieve the Generator and Landfill Operator from complying with all applicable laws and regulations
A. Waste Generator Facility Information (must reflect location of waste generation/origin)

1. Generator Name: Midwest Generation
2. Site Address: 13082 East Manito Road
3. City/ZIP: Pekin, 61554
4. State: Illinois
5. County: Tazewell
6. Contact Name/Title: Joseph A. Heredia
7. Email Address: jheredia@magen.com
8. Phone: 309.477.5289
9. FAX: 309.477.5268
10. NAICS Code: 22112

B. Customer Information □ same as above

1. Customer Name: Midwest Generation
2. Billing Address: 13082 East Manito Road
3. City, State and ZIP: Pekin, Illinois, 61554
4. Contact Name: Joseph A. Heredia
5. Contact Email: jheredia@magen.com
6. Phone: 309.477.5289
7. Transporter Name: Waste Management
8. Transporter ID (if appl.):
9. Transporter Address: 3553 East Washington Street
10. City, State and ZIP: East Peoria, Illinois, 61611
11. Generator USEPA ID #: ILD00065471
12. State ID# (if applicable): 1798010002

C. Waste Stream Information

1. DESCRIPTION
   a. Common Waste Name: Urea Solids
   State Waste Code(s):
   b. Describe Process Generating Waste or Source of Contamination:
      Urea is used on site and injected into Boilers at 3-5% concentration. Urea supply concentrate is 50% or less. Spills will evaporate water where Urea crystalizes. Material is swept or vacuumed up.
   c. Typical Color(s): White
   d. Strong Odor? □ Yes □ No Describe:
   e. Physical State at 70°F: □ Solid □ Liquid □ Powder □ Semi-Solid or Sludge □ Other:
   f. Layers?: □ Single layer □ Multi-layer □ NA
   g. Water Reactive? □ Yes □ No If Yes, Describe:
   h. Free Liquid Range (%): _______ to _______ □ NA(solid)
   i. pH Range: 9.8 to 10 □ NA(solid)
   j. Liquid Flash Point: □ < 140°F □ 140°-199°F □ ≥ 200°F □ NA(solid)
   k. Flammable Solid: □ Yes □ No
   l. Physical Constituents: List all constituents of waste stream - (e.g. Soil 0-80%, Wood 0-20%): □ (See Attached)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents (Total Composition Must be ≥ 100%)</th>
<th>Lower Range (%)</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Upper Range (%)</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Urea Solids</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. ESTIMATED QUANTITY OF WASTE AND SHIPPING INFORMATION
   a. □ One Time Event □ Base □ Repeat Event
   b. Estimated Annual Quantity: 40 □ Tons □ Cubic Yards □ Drums □ Gallons □ Other (specify): Pounds
   c. Shipping Frequency: As Needed □ Units per □ Month □ Quarter □ Year □ One Time □ Other
   d. Is this a U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Hazardous Material? (If yes, answer e.) □ Yes □ No
   e. USDOT Shipping Description (if applicable):

3. SAFETY REQUIREMENTS (Handling, PPE, etc.): Gloves, Safety Eyewear, Dust Mask if needed.

©2010 Waste Management, Inc.
Page 1 of 2

May 2010
D. Regulatory Status (Please check appropriate responses)

1. Waste Identification:
   a. Does the waste meet the definition of a USEPA listed or characteristic hazardous waste as defined by 40 CFR Part 261? □ Yes □ No
      1. If yes, please complete a hazardous waste profile.
   b. Does the waste meet the definition of a state hazardous waste other than identified in D.1.a? □ Yes □ No
      1. If yes, please complete a hazardous waste profile.

2. Is this waste included in one or more of the categories below (Check all that apply)? If yes, attach supporting documentation. □ Yes □ No
   □ Delisted Hazardous Waste
   □ Excluded Wastes Under 40 CFR 261.4
   □ Treated Hazardous Waste Debris
   □ Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste

3. Is the waste from a Federal (40 CFR 300, Appendix B) or state mandated clean-up? If yes, see instructions. □ Yes □ No

4. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain radioactive material? □ Yes □ No
   a. If yes, is disposal regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission? □ Yes □ No
   b. If yes, is disposal regulated by a State Agency for radioactive waste/NORM? □ Yes □ No

5. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)? □ Yes □ No
   (If yes, list in Chemical Composition - C.1.l)
   a. If yes, are the PCBs regulated by 40 CFR 761? □ Yes □ No
   b. If yes, is it remediation waste from a project being performed under the Self-Implementing option provided in 40 CFR 761.6(l)? □ Yes □ No
   c. If yes, were the PCBs imported into the US? □ Yes □ No

6. Does the waste contain untreated, regulated medical or infectious waste? □ Yes □ No

7. Does the waste contain asbestos? □ Yes □ No
   a. If yes, □ Friable □ Non Fiable

8. Is this profile for remediation waste from a facility that is a major source of Hazardous Air Pollutants (Site Remediation NESHAP, 40 CFR 83 subpart GGGG)? □ Yes □ No
   a. If yes, does the waste contain <500 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination? □ Yes □ No

E. Generator Certification (Please read and certify by signature below)

By signing this Generator's Waste Profile Sheet, I hereby certify that all:

1. Information submitted in this profile and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of the waste material;
2. Relevant information within the possession of the Generator regarding known or suspected hazards pertaining to this waste has been disclosed to WM/the Contractor;
3. Analytical data attached pertaining to the profiled waste was derived from testing a representative sample in accordance with 40 CFR 261.20(c) or equivalent rules; and
4. Changes that occur in the character of the waste (i.e. changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and disclosed to WM (and the Contractor if applicable) prior to providing the waste to WM (and the contractor if applicable).

5. Check all that apply:
   □ a. Attached analytical pertains to the waste. Identify laboratory & sample ID #’s and parameters tested:

   □ b. Only the analysis identified on the attachment pertain to the waste (identify by laboratory & sample ID #’s and parameters tested). Attachment #:

   √ c. Additional information necessary to characterize the profiled waste has been attached (other than analytical, such as MSDS). Indicate the number of attached pages: MSDS -

   □ d. I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator and the delegation of authority to me from the Generator for this signature is available upon request.

Certification Signature: 

Title: Environmental Specialist

Company Name: Midwest Generation LLC (Powerton Station)

Name (Print): Joseph A. Heredia

Date: 12/21/2011
Profile Number: 1136271IL

Generators Name: Midwest Generation

Generators SITE Address: 61554
(The location where the waste is generated)

Waste Name: Urea Solids

The Illinois Environmental Protection Act allows a Generator to certify that their pollution control waste or industrial process waste, is not an Illinois Special Waste (Section 3.45). By completing the following questionnaire, you may certify that the waste stream represented by the Waste Management Profile referenced above is not an Illinois Special Waste as defined in the Act.

Is the waste referenced above any of the following:
1. A Potentially Infectious Medical Waste (PIMW)?
   □ Yes □ No
2. A Hazardous Waste as defined in 40 CFR 261 or in 35 IAC 722.111?
   □ Yes □ No
3. A Liquid Waste (fails the paint filter test as defined in 35 IAC 811.107)?
   □ Yes □ No
4. A regulated PCB waste as defined in 40 CFR 761?
   □ Yes □ No
5. A NESHAP regulated asbestos waste other than waste from renovation or demolition?
   □ Yes □ No
6. A waste resulting from the shredding recyclable metals (auto fluff)?
   □ Yes □ No
7. A delisted Hazardous Waste or Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste, subject to LDR requirements under 35 IAC 728.107?
   □ Yes □ No

In determining that this waste is not a liquid, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: □ MSDS □ Analytical □ Other (explain below):

In determining that this waste is not RCRA hazardous, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: □ MSDS □ Analytical □ Other (explain below):

General Knowledge

8. Is the waste represented by this profile sheet subject to the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act fee? □ Yes □ No

By signing below, I certify my waste is NOT an Illinois Special Waste, and that I understand that a person who knowingly and falsely certifies that a waste is not special waste is subject to the penalties set forth in subdivision (6) of subsection (h) of section 44 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

Name: (Print) Joseph A. Heredia

Title: Environmental Specialist

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 12/21/2011
**Request for Disposal Facility:** Peoria City/County Landfill  
Profile Number: 108198IL

**Waste Approval Expiration Date:**

**Check here if there are multiple generating locations for this waste. Attach additional locations.**

### A. Waste Generator Facility Information (must reflect location of waste generation/origin)

1. **Generator Name:** City of Peoria, Illinois  
2. **Site Address:** 1200 block of West Pioneer Parkway  
3. **City/ZIP:** Peoria 61614  
4. **State:** Illinois  
5. **County:** Peoria  
6. **Contact Name/Title:** Randall Swenson / Planner  

### B. Customer Information (same as above)

1. **Customer Name:** City of Peoria  
2. **Billing Address:** 3505 North Dries Lane  
3. **City, State and ZIP:** Peoria, Illinois 61604  
4. **Contact Name:** Randall Swenson  
5. **Contact Email:** RSwenson@ci.peoria.il.us  
6. **P.O. Number:**

### C. Waste Stream Information

1. **DESCRIPTION**

   a. **Common Waste Name:** Treated Wood - Weathered  
   b. **Describe Process Generating Waste or Source of Contamination:** Demolition/dismantling uncontaminated, weathered wood products with preservatives (creosote, CCA)

   c. **Typical Color(s):** Any and all  
   d. **Strong Odor:** No  
   e. **Physical State at 70°F:** Solid  
   f. **Layers:** Single layer  
   g. **Water Reactive:** No  
   h. **Free Liquid Range (%):** to  
   i. **pH Range:** to  
   j. **Liquid Flash Point:** < 140°F  
   k. **Flammable Solid:** No  

   l. **Physical Constituents:** List all constituents of waste stream - (e.g. Soil 0-20%, Wood 0-20%): (See Attached)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Lower Range</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Upper Range</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **ESTIMATED QUANTITY OF WASTE AND SHIPPING INFORMATION**

   a. **One Time Event**  
   b. **Estimated Annual Quantity:** 86  
   c. **Shipping Frequency:** Units per Month Quarter Year One Time Other  
   d. **Is this a U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Hazardous Material?** (If yes, answer e.)  
   e. **USDOT Shipping Description (if applicable):**

3. **SAFETY REQUIREMENTS** (Handling, PPE, etc.): Normal landfill site personal protective equipment
Treated Wood - Weathered Express Profile

D. Regulatory Status (Please check appropriate responses)

1. Waste Identification:
   a. Does the waste meet the definition of a USEPA listed or characteristic hazardous waste as defined by 40 CFR Part 261?  
      i. Yes  No
   b. Does the waste meet the definition of a state hazardous waste other than identified in D.1.a?  
      i. Yes  No

2. Is this waste included in one or more of categories below (Check all that apply)? If yes, attach supporting documentation.  
   a. Delisted Hazardous Waste  
   b. Excluded Wastes Under 40 CFR 261.4  
   c. Treated Hazardous Waste Debris  
   d. Treated Hazardous Waste  
   e. Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste  
   f. Yes  No

3. Is the waste from a Federal (40 CFR 300, Appendix B) or state mandated clean-up? If yes, see instructions.  
   a. Yes  No

4. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain radioactive material?  
   a. If yes, is disposal regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission?  
      i. Yes  No
   b. If yes, is disposal regulated by a State Agency for radioactive waste/NORM?  
      i. Yes  No

5. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)?  
   a. If yes, are the PCBs regulated by 40 CFR 761?  
   b. If yes, is remediation waste from a project being performed under the Self-Implementing option provided in 40 CFR 761.61(a)?  
   c. If yes, were the PCBs imported into the US?  
   d. Yes  No

6. Does the waste contain unattended, regulated medical or infectious waste?  
   a. Yes  No

7. Does the waste contain asbestos?  
   a. Yes  No

8. Is this profile for remediation waste from a facility that is a major source of Hazardous Air Pollutants (SRA Rdemination NESHAP, 40 CFR 63 subpart GGGG)?  
   a. If yes, does the waste contain <500 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination?  
      i. Yes  No

E. Generator Certification (Please read and certify by signatories below)

By signing this Generator's Waste Profile Sheet, I hereby certify that all:

1. Information submitted in this profile and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of the waste material;
2. Relevant information within the possession of the Generator regarding known or suspected hazards pertaining to this waste has been disclosed to WM/the Contractor;
3. Analytical data attached pertaining to the profiled waste was derived from testing a representative sample in accordance with 40 CFR 261.20(c) or equivalent rules and
4. Changes that occur in the character of the waste (i.e. changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and disclosed to WM (and the Contractor if applicable) prior to providing the waste to WM (and the contractor if applicable).

Check all that apply:
   a. Attached analytical pertains to the waste. Identify laboratory & sample ID #’s and parameters tested: ____________________________
      # Pages: _______________________
   b. Only the analysis identified on the attachment pertain to the waste (identify by laboratory & sample ID #’s and parameters tested). Attachment # ____________________________
   c. Additional information necessary to characterize the profiled waste has been attached (other than analytical, such as MSDS). Indicate the number of attached pages: ____________________________
   d. I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, and the delegation of authority to me from the Generator for this signature is available upon request.

Certification: ____________________________  Title: Director

Company Name: City of Peoria  Name (Print): David Barber

Date: December 22, 2011
Profile Addendum: State of Illinois
GENERATOR'S NON-SPECIAL WASTE CERTIFICATION

F. Additional Waste Stream Information

Profile Number: 108198IL

Generators Name: City of Peoria

Generators SITE Address: 1200 block of West Pioneer Parkway
(The location where the waste is generated)

Waste Name: Treated wood, weathered, railroad ties

The Illinois Environmental Protection Act allows a Generator to certify that their pollution control waste or industrial process waste, is not an Illinois Special Waste (Section 3.45). By completing the following questionnaire, you may certify that the waste stream represented by the Waste Management Profile referenced above is not an Illinois Special Waste as defined in the Act.

Is the waste referenced above any of the following:
1. A Potentially Infectious Medical Waste (PIMW)? □ Yes □ No
2. A Hazardous Waste as defined in 40 CFR 261 or in 35 IAC 722.111? □ Yes □ No
3. A Liquid Waste (fails the paint filter test as defined in 35 IAC 811.107)? □ Yes □ No
4. A regulated PCB waste as defined in 40 CFR 761? □ Yes □ No
5. A NESHAP regulated asbestos waste other than waste from renovation or demolition? □ Yes □ No
6. A waste resulting from the shredding recyclable metals (auto fluff)? □ Yes □ No
7. A delisted Hazardous Waste or Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste, subject to LDR requirements under 35 IAC 728.107? □ Yes □ No

In determining that this waste is not a liquid, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: □ MSDS □ Analytical □ Other (explain below):
Generator knowledge

In determining that this waste is not RCRA hazardous, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: □ MSDS □ Analytical □ Other (explain below):
Generator knowledge

8. Is the waste represented by this profile sheet subject to the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act fee? □ Yes □ No

By signing below, I certify my waste is NOT an Illinois Special Waste, and that I understand that a person who knowingly and falsely certifies that a waste is not special waste is subject to the penalties set forth in subdivision (6) of subsection (h) of section 44 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

Name: (Print) David Barbor
Title: Director
Signature: __________________________
Date: December 22, 2011
Generator's Non-hazardous Waste Profile Sheet

Requested Disposal Facility: Peoria City - County #2 Landfill
Profile Number: 11362011

☐ Renewal for Profile Number: ________________________ Waste Approval Expiration Date: ________________________

☐ Check here if there are multiple generating locations for this waste. Attach additional locations.

A. Waste Generator Facility Information (must reflect location of waste generation/origin)

1. Generator Name: Ameren
2. Site Address: 700 South Cicero Lane
3. City/ZIP: Bartonville, 61607
4. State: IL
5. County:
6. Contact Name/Title: Andy Ensor
7. Email Address: mcavins7@ameren.com
8. Phone: 309-633-2841
9. FAX:
10. NAICS Code:
11. Generator USEPA ID #: ILD0086016688
12. State ID # (if applicable): 1436055003

B. Customer Information same as above

1. Customer Name: Hartman Walsh Painting Company
2. Billing Address: 714 North Market Street
3. City, State and ZIP: St. Louis, MO, 63133
4. Contact Name: John Rapp
5. Contact Email: jrapp@hartmanwalsh.com
6. P. O. Number: 9797
7. Transporter Name: Waste Management
8. Transporter ID # (if appl.):
9. Transporter Address:
10. City, State and ZIP:

C. Waste Stream Information

1. DESCRIPTION
   a. Common Waste Name: Sandblast Media
   b. State Waste Code(s):
   c. Describe Process Generating Waste or Source of Contamination:
      Blasting structural steel
   d. Typical Color(s): Black
   e. Strong Odor? Yes ☐ No ☐ Describe:
   f. Physical State at 70°F: Solid ☐ Liquid ☐ Powder ☐ Semi-Solid or Sludge ☐ Other:
   g. Single layer ☐ Multi-layer ☐ NA
   h. Free Liquid Range (%): to ☐ NA(solid)
   i. pH Range: NA to ☐ NA(solid)
   j. Liquid Flash Point: < 140°F ☐ 140° - 180°F ☐ ≥ 200°F ☐ NA(solid)
   k. Flammable Solid: Yes ☐ No ☐
   l. Physical Constituents: List all constituents of waste stream - (e.g. Soil 0-50%, Wood 0-20%): ☐ (See Attached)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents (Total Composition Must Be ≥ 2% Total)</th>
<th>Lower Range</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Upper Range</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Blast Media-100% Solids</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. ESTIMATED QUANTITY OF WASTE AND SHIPPING INFORMATION
   a. ☐ One Time Event ☐ Base ☐ Repeat Event
   b. Estimated Annual Quantity: 40 ☐ Tons ☐ Cubic Yards ☐ Drums ☐ Gallons ☐ Other (specify):
   c. Shipping Frequency: One Time ☐ Units per ☐ Month ☐ Quarter ☐ Year ☐ One Time ☐ Other
   d. Is this a U.S. Department of Transportation (USDO) Hazardous Material? (If yes, answer e.) ☐ Yes ☐ No
   e. USDOY Shipping Description (if applicable):

3. SAFETY REQUIREMENTS (Handling, PPE, etc.): Standard Landfill PPE

©2010 Waste Management, Inc. Page 1 of 2 May 2010
### D. Regulatory Status (Please check appropriate responses)

1. Waste Identification:
   - a. Does the waste meet the definition of a USEPA listed or characteristic hazardous waste as defined by 40 CFR Part 261? □ Yes □ No
     - 1. If yes, please complete a hazardous waste profile.
   - b. Does the waste meet the definition of a state hazardous waste other than identified in D.1.a? □ Yes □ No
     - 1. If yes, please complete a hazardous waste profile.

2. Is this waste included in one or more of categories below (Check all that apply)? If yes, attach supporting documentation. □ Yes □ No
   - [ ] Deleted Hazardous Waste
   - [ ] Excluded Wastes Under 40 CFR 261.4
   - [ ] Treated Hazardous Waste Debris
   - [ ] Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste

3. Is the waste from a Federal (40 CFR 300, Appendix B) or state mandated clean-up? If yes, see instructions. □ Yes □ No

4. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain radioactive material? □ Yes □ No
   - a. If yes, is disposal regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission? □ Yes □ No
   - b. If yes, is disposal regulated by a State Agency for radioactive waste/NEWM? □ Yes □ No

5. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)? □ Yes □ No
   - (If Yes, list in Chemical Composition - C.1.1)
     - a. If yes, are the PCBs regulated by 40 CFR 761? □ Yes □ No
     - b. If yes, is it remediation waste from a project being performed under the Self-Implementing option provided in 40 CFR 761.8(a)? □ Yes □ No
     - c. If yes, were the PCBs imported into the US? □ Yes □ No

6. Does the waste contain untreated, regulated medical or infectious waste? □ Yes □ No

7. Does the waste contain asbestos?
   - a. If Yes, □ Friable □ Non-Friable

8. Is this profile of remediation waste from a facility that is a major source of Hazardous Air Pollutants (Site Remediation NESHAP, 40 CFR 63 subpart GGGGGG)? □ Yes □ No
   - a. If yes, does the waste contain <500 ppm VOC and/or kVOCs at the point of determination? □ Yes □ No

### E. Generator Certification (Please read and certify by signature below)

By signing this Generator's Waste Profile Sheet, I hereby certify that all:

1. Information submitted in this profile and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of the waste material;
2. Relevant information within the possession of the Generator regarding known or suspected hazards pertaining to this waste has been disclosed to WM/the Contractor;
3. Analytical data attached pertaining to the profiled waste was derived from testing a representative sample in accordance with 40 CFR 261.20(c) or equivalent rules; and
4. Changes that occur in the character of the waste (i.e. changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and disclosed to WM (and the Contractor if applicable) prior to providing the waste to WM (and the contractor if applicable). 

Check all that apply:
- a. Attached analytical pertains to the waste. Identify laboratory & sample ID #s and parameters tested: Teklab #1 Waste Media ____________________________ 8 Pages: 9
- b. Only the analysis identified on the attachment pertain to the waste (identify by laboratory & sample ID #s and parameters tested). Attachment #: ____________________________
- c. Additional information necessary to characterize the profiled waste has been attached (other than analytical, such as MSDS). Indicate the number of attached pages: ____________________________
- d. I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, and the delegation of authority to me from the Generator for this signature invariable upon request.

Certification Signature: ____________________________  Title: ____________
Company Name: ____________ Name (Print): ____________________________
Date: ____________
Profile Addendum: State of Illinois
GENERATOR'S NON-SPECIAL WASTE CERTIFICATION

F. Additional Waste Stream Information

Profile Number: 1136201L

Generators Name: Ameren

Generators SITE Address: IL
(The location where the waste is generated)

Waste Name: Sandblast Media

The Illinois Environmental Protection Act allows a Generator to certify that their pollution control waste or industrial process waste is not an Illinois Special Waste (Section 3.45). By completing the following questionnaire, you may certify that the waste stream represented by the Waste Management Profile referenced above is not an Illinois Special Waste as defined in the Act.

Is the waste referenced above any of the following:
1. A Potentially Infectious Medical Waste (PIMW)? □ Yes □ No
2. A Hazardous Waste as defined in 40 CFR 261 or in 35 IAC 722.111? □ Yes □ No
3. A Liquid Waste (falls the paint filter test as defined in 35 IAC 811.107)? □ Yes □ No
4. A regulated PCB waste as defined in 40 CFR 761? □ Yes □ No
5. A NESHAP regulated asbestos waste other than waste from renovation or demolition? □ Yes □ No
6. A waste resulting from the shredding recyclable metals (auto ruff)? □ Yes □ No
7. A delisted Hazardous Waste or Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste, subject to LDR requirements under 35 IAC 728.107? □ Yes □ No

In determining that this waste is not a liquid, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: □ MSDS □ Analytical □ Other (explain below):

In determining that this waste is not RCRA hazardous, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: □ MSDS □ Analytical □ Other (explain below):

8. Is the waste represented by this profile sheet subject to the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act fee? □ Yes □ No

By signing below, I certify my waste is NOT an Illinois Special Waste, and that I understand that a person who knowingly and falsely certifies that a waste is not special waste is subject to the penalties set forth in subdivision (6) of subsection (h) of section 44 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

Name: (Print) Mark Davis
Title: Plant Env. Eng
Signature: Mark Davis
Date: 12/22/11
December 29, 2011

TO: David Barber, City of Peoria

CC:

FR: Emily L. Ambroso, P.E.

RE: Peoria City/County Landfill #2
Non-Special Waste - Request for Administrative Approval
Profile No. 113620IL – Ameren

Waste Management has presented the attached profile and requested administrative approval to allow the generator to dispose of the material.

The profile covers sandblast media from Ameren in Bartonville that was generated while blasting structural steel. Analytical results were provided. We have no technical objections to the waste stream. Action is required.

This memo and your subsequent response will serve as the official administrative approval and will be forwarded to the Committee in this month’s packet.

Notes:
- Committee approval does not relieve the Generator and Landfill Operator from complying with all applicable laws and regulations.
Emily:

This is acceptable to me. OK to proceed.

David Barber

>>> "Ambroso, Emily L" <Emily.Ambroso@Foth.com> 12/29/2011 2:02 PM >>>

Mr. Barber –

Attached is a request for administrative approval. Please review & respond.

Thanks.

---

Emily Ambroso, P.E., Project Environmental Engineer

Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC

2314 West Altorfer Drive

Peoria, IL  61615

Ph: (309) 683-1661 / Fax: (309) 691-1892

Cell Ph: (309) 258-8883

http://www.foth.com

Go Green, keep it on the screen. Please do not print this email unless necessary.
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Simon Alwan, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: January 18, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: Landfill #1 Groundwater Discussion

BACKGROUND: This memo serves as a summary of the current status of groundwater issues for Landfill #1. As a reminder, by contract with the Committee, Waste Management is responsible for routine groundwater sampling and re-samples at Landfill No. 1. However, assessment monitoring that arises based on increases confirmed by re-sampling are the responsibility of the Committee. This monitoring is generally completed by Waste Management’s contractor in order to reduce costs of additional trips and field personnel.

G02S and G04S are still in Corrective Action. Both had confirmed increases in Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC’s) before the flare began operation. With the flare operational, the concentrations have dropped tremendously. As part of the corrective action measures, groundwater and VOC’s are monitored quarterly at both wells, monthly gas testing is performed on G02S & G04S and surrounding wells, and an annual report discussing the results of these tests is submitted to the IEPA. Currently we do not have any indication from the IEPA as to when these requirements will be lifted. As part of this year’s report, we will request the IEPA for reduced testing requirements.

G06S and G11S are currently in assessment monitoring for Dissolved Chloride. An assessment report is due to the IEPA by August 1, 2012. As such, the wells are expected to remain in assessment monitoring at least through this year. The hope is to raise the AGQS values of dissolved chloride for all groundwater wells to eliminate or reduce the chances of confirmed increases in the future, and mitigate the need for corrective actions.

2011 4th Quarter Sampling Results. A re-sample of well G15S confirmed an increase for Dissolved Chloride. A letter will be sent to the IEPA informing them of the confirmed increase. The assessment monitoring process for G15S will begin in the 1st quarter of 2012 and we assume will remain in assessment monitoring at least through the year. The assessment monitoring will consist of quarterly groundwater testing and VOC testing in the 2nd and 4th quarters. We believe the situation for G15S to be similar to that discussed for G06S and G11S.

The 4th quarter sampling event also produced several observed increases for Phenolics, Dissolved Chloride, Dissolved Nitrate, and Total Nitrate. We believe a majority of these are false positives (i.e., laboratory error). However the Dissolved Chloride (well R10S) may be similar to that of G06S & G11S. The re-samples for these observed increases will be performed in the 1st Quarter of 2012 and will be the responsibility of Waste Management.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: The Committee is responsible for assessment monitoring costs that arise based on confirmed increases.
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Simon Alwan, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: January 18, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: Approval for David Barber’s Signature on the following Reports:
- Title V – Clean Air Act Permit Program (CAAPP) Semi-Annual SSMP Report (PCC1)
- Semi-annual NSPS Report (PCC1)
- Annual G04S Corrective Action Evaluation

BACKGROUND: A number of reports are required to comply with the air permit issued to the site. The SSMP and NSPS reports described above are two of them. They include gas system monitoring and downtime data for the second half of 2011. The Annual G04S Evaluation includes discussion on the previous year’s groundwater concentration results, headspace monitoring results, and operation, maintenance & upgrade of the Gas Control and Collection System associated with G04S. Mr. Barber’s signature is requested on all three of these applications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Steve Matheny Landfill Sales Waste Management

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: January 18, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: RECEIVE AND FILE MONTHLY REPORTS

BACKGROUND: Attached are the monthly summary report, profiled waste log, and year over year comparison chart thru December 2011.

Permmit Requests and Notifications –

Waste Management requests Mr. Barber's signature on permit application that would allow for an additional final cover option for the site. The additional final cover option would take advantage of the site's excellent clay soils and still maintain the requirements of a modern subtitle D facility. Due to the clay's very low permeability, it would perform as good or better than the original design, subject to Foth's review and approval.

Waste Management requests Mr. Barber's signature on the annual landfill capacity certification for 2011 which is due March 1, subject to Foth's review and approval in advance.

Waste Management does not anticipate any other permit requests but respectfully asks the committee to allow administrative approval of permits for signature by Dave Barber after review by Foth if permits are required prior to the February meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: NA
# Peoria City/County Landfill No. 2
## Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.
### Monthly Activity Report
#### December 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tonnage: General Refuse</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haulers</td>
<td>13,908.48</td>
<td>188,124.84</td>
<td>208,702.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Res. Free Loads</td>
<td>184.43</td>
<td>2,171.80</td>
<td>2,377.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Res. $5 Loads</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>29.38</td>
<td>27.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadside</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>22.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>14,096.78</td>
<td>190,333.18</td>
<td>211,129.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Special Wastes          |                |                          |                          |
| Industrial (Declassified)| 1,336.65      | 20,667.95                | 33,768.21                |
| Industrial (Exempt)     | 0.00          | 0.00                     | 0.00                     |
| **TOTAL**               | 1,336.65      | 20,667.95                | 33,768.21                |

**TOTAL LANDFILL RECEIPTS**

| Yard Waste Receipts     |                |                          |                          |
| City Contract           | 0.00          | 0.00                     | 0.00                     |
| All Other               | 20.64         | 1,383.86                 | 1,730.97                 |
| **TOTAL**               | 20.64         | 1,383.86                 | 1,730.97                 |

| Payments: Payable to City/County Committee |                |                          |                          |
| General Refuse           | 13,908.48     | $20,862.72               | $282,187.26              |
| Rate $ 1.50              | $20,862.72    | $282,187.26              | $313,053.23              |
| Special Waste - Ind.     | 1,336.65      | $2,004.98                | $31,031.93               |
| Rate $ 1.50              | $2,004.98     | $31,031.93               | $50,652.32               |
| **TOTAL**                | $22,867.70    | $313,219.19              | $363,705.54              |

| Payable to County        |                |                          |                          |
| General Refuse           | 13,908.48     | $17,663.77               | $238,918.55              |
| Rate $ 1.27              | $17,663.77    | $238,918.55              | $265,051.73              |
| Special Waste - Ind.     | 1,336.65      | $1,697.55                | $26,273.70               |
| Rate $ 1.27              | $1,697.55     | $26,273.70               | $42,885.63               |
| **TOTAL**                | $19,301.32    | $265,192.24              | $307,937.36              |

| Payable to/Receiveable From County |                |                          |                          |
| $5 Loads                   | 13.00         | $65.00                   | $740.00                  |
| Rate $ 5.00               | $65.00        | $740.00                  | 710.00                   |
| Loss:                      |               |                          |                          |
| State Fee on Free and $5 Loads | 186.62    | ($414.30)                | ($4,886.62)              |
| Rate $ 2.22               | ($414.30)     | ($4,886.62)              | ($5,338.03)              |
| **TOTAL**                 | ($348.30)     | ($4,886.62)              | ($5,338.03)              |

| Tonnage: General Refuse & Special Waste |                |                          |                          |
| In county                      | 8,038.55      | 123,800.90               | 154,307.90               |
| Out of county                  | 7,394.88      | 87,220.21                | 90,589.39                |
| Mixed                          | -             | -                        | -                        |
| **TOTAL**                      | 15,433.43     | 211,021.11               | 244,897.29               |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAP</th>
<th></th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Remaining</th>
<th>Banked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Airspace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Airspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tons Used</td>
<td>211,021</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>148,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Available*</td>
<td>534,267</td>
<td></td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* calculated annual average that assures life from the 2010 airspace analysis
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile Name</th>
<th>FMT Name</th>
<th>Generator</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111856</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>1221 W SIMMONS ST LLC</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106564</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>ADM PEORIA</td>
<td>62.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110724</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>ADM PEORIA</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106342</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>ADM PEORIA</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106493</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>ADM PEORIA</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM3323</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>AES MEDINA VALLEY</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110367</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>AIR PRODUCTS HENSHIN</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110283</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>AIR PRODUCTS AND CHEMICALS</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFD1001821</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>AMEREN</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106353</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>AMERICAN WATER</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110024</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM010289</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>ARTCO</td>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110486</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>BEST ROOFING PEORIA</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110663</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>BRADLEY UNIVERSITY - HEITZ</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110469</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>BRADLEY UNIVERSITY</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110737</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>BRIDGESSTONE RETAIL PEKIN</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112256</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>BRIMFIELD HIGH SCHOOL</td>
<td>55.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF 1826</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>BUCKEYE TERMINAL</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111047</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>BURROUGHS AG SERVICE</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5064</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CANTON, CITY OF</td>
<td>100.25</td>
<td>155.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS1335</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CASEY'S GENERAL STORE</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111568</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASDB0132</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>CATERPILLAR -TECH CENTER</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111678</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CATERPILLAR TRAIL PUBLIC</td>
<td>49.52</td>
<td>49.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS186</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CATERPILLAR</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>19.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110574</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CF INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>301.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110650</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CF INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110858</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CF INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>245.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS192</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CROMPTON CORP</td>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>14.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS137</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>DEGUSKA</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR 6604</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>DEGUSKA</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM82442</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>EAST PEORIA, CITY OF</td>
<td>93.24</td>
<td>167.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110516</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>ELMWOOD FARMS</td>
<td>131.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110874</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>EUREKA COMMUNITY SCHOOL</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR 6809</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>EVONIK GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>2,676.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102835</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>EVONIK GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110873</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>EVONIK GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112060</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>FED EX LUDLOW IL</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111523</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>FREEDOM OIL NORMAL</td>
<td>413.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108473</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GSD INTERGRATED</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9209</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GEORGE YOUNG</td>
<td>550.92</td>
<td>550.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZAM45272</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010151</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>142.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010155</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>22.76</td>
<td>26.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010154</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>10.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10168</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010156</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>106.29</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110467</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>HADDADS GROCERY STORE</td>
<td>143.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110875</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>HENRY OIL COMPANY</td>
<td>1,311.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112456</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1108746</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>IDOT EAST PEORIA</td>
<td>186.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110906</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>IDOT SPARILAND</td>
<td>21.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110953</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER, FORMER</td>
<td>4,570.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110987</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER, FORMER</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110793</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>JEAN STANDARDS</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110868</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>KEWANEE, CITY OF</td>
<td>35.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM51497</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>KRESS CORPORATION</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111073</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>LAROMA PIZZA INC</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ4900</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>LONZA</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111514</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>LONZA</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111501</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>MAYEUR,STAN</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB901487</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>MIDWEST GENERATION</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106602</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>MIDWEST GENERATION</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100743</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>MIDWEST GENERATION</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB25665</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>M &amp; O Environmental Comp.</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB23666</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>M &amp; O Environmental Comp.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB25607</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>M &amp; O Environmental Comp.</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>8.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB52668</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>M &amp; O Environmental Comp.</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5324</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>MORTON, VILLAGE OF</td>
<td>53.63</td>
<td>53.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111523</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>NE FINCH</td>
<td>39.43</td>
<td>39.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110526</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>NE FINCH</td>
<td>82.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113566</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>NORWOOD PIPELINE WILLIAMSFIELD</td>
<td>52.51</td>
<td>52.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZAM42175</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>PARSONS</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>7.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB07176</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>PEORIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110911</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>PPM FERMENTATION</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>PRAXAIR</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>11.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5081</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>RIVER CITY DEMO</td>
<td>141.71</td>
<td>141.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110902</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>SCHERWOOD FARMS</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112488</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>SIMON GR CO ROSEMEAD CONT</td>
<td>43.95</td>
<td>43.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111495</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>STAR TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>31.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110946</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>STAR EXCAVATING</td>
<td>394.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110960</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>STAR EXCAVATING</td>
<td>2,461.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110544</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>TAUSCHER, JASON</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110201</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD</td>
<td>166.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110841</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>UNITED WEST ELEMENTARY</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110502</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>WARNER, BETTY</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112458</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>WILSON, DEBORAH</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111539</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>WILTZ, BOB</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>561.51</td>
<td>1,330.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSW</td>
<td>Special Waste</td>
<td>Total Landfill</td>
<td>Yardwaste Totals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211,129</td>
<td>190333</td>
<td>33768</td>
<td>20688</td>
<td>244,897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year to Year Comparison
December
2011

![Chart showing waste comparison for December 2011]
Peoria City/County Landfill Inc.
Landfill Expansion Application - Filed May 18, 2011
Local Siting Approval November 10, 2011

For decades, the City and County of Peoria have jointly owned the municipal waste landfill located in Edwards, Illinois. An intergovernmental agreement between these two units of government set the framework for an oversight committee, the Peoria City/County Landfill Committee ("Landfill Committee"). The current waste disposal facility, dubbed Landfill #2, began operations in 1998 and is projected to reach closure in 2020. Anticipating that expansion efforts would be a multi-year process, the Landfill Committee directed staff to prepare a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to select a waste disposal firm to operate the new facility, or Landfill #3. Two firms, Peoria Disposal Company (PDC) and Waste Management, submitted responses; the Landfill Committee selected Peoria Disposal Company to be "life of site" operator.

Peoria Disposal Company's proposal satisfied the requirements set forth in the RFQ; most notably, the two prevalent requirements stated that the facility have a minimum capacity of ten million tons and that the facility have an operating life of at least 20 years. Additionally, PDC incorporated additional programs and opportunities benefitting the community. Some of those benefits are highlighted below:

Completed:
- Peoria City/County Landfill #1 post-closure care and cap restoration

After final and non-appealable local siting approval (35 days after Board Decision; 12/16/11):
- Within 10 days (by 12/27/11), Contractor shall pay $450,000 to the City and $450,000 to the County (partial land acquisitions reimbursement)
- Parties shall amend Agreement (Landfill Contract Dec. 2009) to add siting approval as a Contract Document
- Contractor shall prepare permit applications for the Expansion Solid Waste Facility and Citizen’s Convenience Center, if necessary, for submittal to IEPA.
  - Contractor shall develop and submit to Landfill Committee and Illinois EPA a Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) plan that includes all of the components applicable to "compacted foundation fill" (special condition).
  - Contractor shall submit a written plan to Landfill Committee for approval that will address mine subsidence plan (special condition).
- Beginning January 2012, Hauling/processing service of four County recycling drop-off sites free of charge
- Prior to construction of Hazardous Chemical Material facility, Contractor shall provide the Landfill Committee for review and approval, detailed plans of the facility, personnel training plan, and an operations plan (special condition)

**Timeline of Vicary Bottoms Wetland Mitigation Project**
- Develop mitigation plan - 2012
- Begin construction in 2016-2018 (after receiving permit for landfill)
- PDC maintains site for 5 years after completion
- Peoria Park District assumes long-term maintenance

No timeframe established for this action: traffic study request to IDOT
After siting permit attained from IEPA (2016-2018):

- Within 10 days, Contractor shall convey real estate to the County and City by good and sufficient warranty deed - 57.3 acres near facility for soil stockpiling.
- Contract to prepare an Operation Plan and submit to Landfill Committee for review/approval. Committee will have 60 days to review/comment/approve Operation Plan. Parties shall amend Agreement to add Operation Plan as a Contract Document.
- Within 90 days of all permits (siting/development and operating?), Contractor shall pay $250,000 to Landfill Committee for deposit into Perpetual Care and Environmental Contingency Fund.
- Contractor shall provide a grading and landscape plan depicting berms and plantings to Landfill Committee. Construction of berms shall be completed prior to acceptance of waste (special condition)

**Timeline for IEPA permitting process:**

- File permit application in 2012
- Receive development permit by 2016
- Construct Facility in 2016-2017
- Receive Operating Permit 2018 or later

Commencement of Operations/Acceptance of municipal waste (2020ish):

- Within 10 days, Contractor shall pay $450,000 to the City and $450,000 to the County (partial land acquisitions reimbursement)
- Contractor shall assume and perform post closure care activities with respect to Landfill #1 until released from post-closure care by IEPA.
  - Cover stabilization and seeding; perimeter and ambient air monitoring/reporting; leachate mgmt and disposal; groundwater assessments; environmental compliance reports; and groundwater monitoring well and landfill gas extraction well abandonment after post-closure
- Trees and plantings shall be planted no later than during the first growing season following initial waste acceptance (special condition)
- Fees and other revenues from Landfill #3:
  - Host Benefit Fee of $1.50/ton to City/County Landfill Committee
    - Paid on quarterly basis, due on the 20th day of the month following end of quarter.
    - Adjusted annually on January 1 at same % rate that Standard Gate Rate is adjusted.
  - Host Benefit Fee of $.75/ton to Peoria County
    - Paid on quarterly basis, due on the 20th day of the month following end of quarter.
    - Adjusted annually on January 1 at same % rate that Standard Gate Rate is adjusted.
  - Host Benefit Fee of $.75/ton to City of Peoria
    - Paid on quarterly basis, due on the 20th day of the month following end of quarter.
    - Adjusted annually on January 1 at same % rate that Standard Gate Rate is adjusted.
  - State mandated fee of $1.27/ton to Peoria County Recycling
    - Paid on a monthly basis, due on the 15th of the month.
    - If less than $300,000 in any calendar year, contractor will pay deficit by January 15th.
  - Perpetual Care and Environmental Contingency Fund fee of $.25/ton to Landfill Committee
    - Paid on quarterly basis, due on the 20th day of the month following end of quarter.
- Contractor shall provide four additional drop-off sites in Peoria County
- Contractor shall receive up to 150,000 pounds/year of household hazardous waste by Peoria County residents, at no charge. Facility will be open first Saturday each month.
• Contractor shall operate a website which provides information about landfill operations and services provided. A live feed installed to view current operations in real time.
• Reduced disposal rate for City of Peoria and County of Peoria
  o 10% discount from Standard Gate Rate for City and County contracted residential waste
  o $10/ton rebate to City and County for residential waste delivered

**Environmental Management System**

Contractor shall implement a formal environmental management system designed to identify and control the Contractor's environmental impact, and to implement a systematic approach to meeting all environmental objectives (special condition)

**Traffic Study**

Contractor shall submit a request to Illinois Dept. of Transportation to conduct design and/or speed studies for the purpose of improving sight distances at the intersection of Cottonwood Road and Illinois State Route 8 (special condition)

**If landfill gas to energy plant is installed:**

Contractor shall pay the greater of 6% of Gross proceeds or 50% of the Net Proceeds the County and City to be shared equally. Paid within 30 days of receipt by Contractor.

**If requested by County and/or City**

Contractor shall grant easement to extend West Forrest Hill Avenue to Illinois State Route 8

**Future**

- Renewable Energy Opportunities such as landfill gas converted to electricity, waste heat, solar power, wind power and geothermal projects.
- Waste Disposal Capacity Guarantee of 25 Years