CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The City of Peoria is pleased to present the 2017 Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER). The purpose of the CAPER is to report on accomplishments from the 2017 Program Year as well as progress toward Consolidated Plan goals and the 2017 Annual Action Plan activities. The funding for these activities is received from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The City is an entitlement community and receives annual allocations from three formula grants – Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnership Grant (HOME) and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG). For program year 2017, the City received a total of over $2.1 million comprised of the CDBG allocation ($1,550,735), HOME allocation ($505,872) and ESG allocation ($137,451).

The CAPER reports on the activity accomplishments for program year 2017 which is the fourth year of the current Consolidated Plan. The Consolidated Plan is designed to help the City assess the affordable housing needs, community development opportunities, and market conditions in order to make data-driven investment decisions. The consolidated planning process serves as the framework for a community-wide dialogue to identify housing and community development priorities that focus HUD funding from the CDBG, HOME and ESG programs. The Consolidated Plan is carried out through Annual Action Plans, which provide a concise summary of the actions, activities, and the specific federal and non-federal resources that will be used each year to address the priority needs and specific goals identified by the Consolidated Plan.

The City’s 2017 program year follows the calendar year (January 1st to December 31st). Activities completed during the 2017 program year are reported as accomplishments. Additionally, the CAPER includes activity progress and expenditures that occurred in previous program years, but the activity remained opened and will be completed in subsequent program years. For example, ESG accomplishments for rapid re-housing assistance include households in which the assistance may continue into program year 2018. Therefore, the rapid re-housing assistance was not completed in 2017, but the household can be included in the unduplicated count of people served/accomplishments in 2017 for the activity.

During the 2017 program year, the City utilized CDBG funds for the rehabilitation of 30 housing units occupied by low income households, provided needed public services to 2,263 individuals, demolished nine houses, and completed 3,087 code enforcement actions in the CDBG Target Area. HOME funds were invested for eight homeowner rehabilitation projects. Finally, ESG funds were used for emergency shelter operations and rapid re-housing activities. In total, 1,615 individuals experiencing homelessness were assisted: 1,590 individuals for
emergency shelter and 25 individuals for rapid re-housing.

**Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)**

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Source / Amount</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Expected – Strategic Plan</th>
<th>Actual – Strategic Plan</th>
<th>Percent Complete</th>
<th>Expected – Program Year</th>
<th>Actual – Program Year</th>
<th>Percent Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA Ramp Program</td>
<td>Non-Housing Community Development</td>
<td>CDBG: $</td>
<td>Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit</td>
<td>Persons Assisted</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>4965</td>
<td>49.65%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHDO Set Aside</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>HOME: $</td>
<td>Rental units constructed</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition Program</td>
<td>Non-Housing Community Development</td>
<td>CDBG: $</td>
<td>Buildings Demolished</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>66.11%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development Programs</td>
<td>Non-Housing Community Development</td>
<td>CDBG: $</td>
<td>Jobs created/retained</td>
<td>Jobs</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Area Housing Program Leverage</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $625000 / HOME: $</td>
<td>Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit</td>
<td>Persons Assisted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Solutions Grant</td>
<td>Homeless</td>
<td>ESG: $</td>
<td>Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing</td>
<td>Households Assisted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Persons Assisted</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Area Housing Program Leverage</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $625000 / HOME: $</td>
<td>Rental units constructed</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Area Housing Program Leverage</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $625000 / HOME: $</td>
<td>Rental units rehabilitated</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Area Housing Program Leverage</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $625000 / HOME: $</td>
<td>Homeowner Housing Added</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Area Housing Program Leverage</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $625000 / HOME: $</td>
<td>Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus Area Housing Program Leverage</th>
<th>Affordable Housing</th>
<th>CDBG: $625000 / HOME: $</th>
<th>Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care</th>
<th>Household Housing Unit</th>
<th>6400</th>
<th>11059</th>
<th>172.80%</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>3087</th>
<th>154.35%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Rehabilitation Delivery</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $</td>
<td>Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCCDH Lead Match Program</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $</td>
<td>Rental units rehabilitated</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCCDH Lead Match Program</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $</td>
<td>Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.18%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning / General Administration</td>
<td>Planning / General Administration</td>
<td>CDBG: $ / HOME: $ / ESG: $</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service Set Aside</td>
<td>Non-Housing Community Development</td>
<td>CDBG: $</td>
<td>Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit</td>
<td>Persons Assisted</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>9961</td>
<td>99.61%</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2263</td>
<td>113.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Housing Rehabilitation Program</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>CDBG: $</td>
<td>Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated</td>
<td>Household Housing Unit</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>127.63%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan,
giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The 2017 program year was the fourth year of the City’s current Consolidated/Strategic Plan. After receiving a one year extension of the current consolidated plan, the City’s current Consolidated Plan is for program years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 (6 years). The City used CDBG, HOME and ESG funds in 2017 to address the high priority goals and objectives identified in the 2017 Annual Action Plan. Some funds expended in 2017 were prior year funds. The expenditures met the priorities as outlined in the Consolidated Plan and the funding year's Annual Action Plan.

The City used effective strategies through program marketing and subgrantee partnerships in meeting its housing rehabilitation and public service 2017 goals.

The ADA Ramp installations this fall were delayed by surplus rain and early cold conditions. The ADA Ramp installations will begin in early 2018 as outlined in the 2017 annual plan and be reported in the 2018 CAPER. Some staff time was charged to the grant in preparation of bid materials and other preparation activities. The use of the public facility funding is anticipated to take place in 2018 as outlined in the 2017 annual plan.

HOME Focus Housing Leverage project to rehabilitate rental units saw delays this year due to acquisition delays. A closing on the existing development is expected mid-2018 and rehabilitation beginning the same year.

HOME CHDO Set-aside projects are in progress. 15CH01 consisting of three new affordable housing units will be complete in the first half of 2018. Two units in the project are complete and occupied, the third build is complete but closing is stalled because of delay in IHDA down payment assistance. Project will be reported on in the 2018 CAPER. HOME funds were also used to conduct eight homeowner rehabilitations. This does not appear in the Program year chart, but is included in the strategic plan to date information.

A total of 30 units were rehabilitated with CDBG funds under the roof program, the emergency repair program, the lead match program, and the local historic district program.

The City utilizes a citizen commission, the Human Resources Commission, appointed by the Mayor and approved by the City Council that reviews and ranks all public service funding applications. In 2017, these grants were awarded on a one year cycle. All funding allocations are also
Nine houses were demolished in 2018. The remaining 2016 funding will be spent in early 2018 as outlined in the annual action plan.

The City utilized funds for Code Enforcement including 3,087 individual actions taken within the Target Area, which is the low income area in the City of Peoria. These funds were supplemented by additional investment in the Target Area. In 2017, 629 building permits were issued with an estimated value of $4.5 million in private investment in the Target Area alone. In addition, the City issued 1,108 work orders for City contractors to clean up code violations within this area paid out of general funds. The City also maintained 1,278 lots owned by the City or the County Trustee within the Target Area with general funds. The City also demolished 66 structures expending just over $1 million in additional funds in the Target Area. Public Works projects within the area included projects such as Capital Projects, Streets, Sewers and Forestry, and Traffic. These projects totaled a $8.4 million investment in the Target Area. Overall, the City showed significant investment in the Target Area in addition to the CDBG funds used for Code Enforcement.
**PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES**

01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR: 1,255,335.39
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT: 1,550,735.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL: 0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS: 0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME: 0.00
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE): 0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT: 0.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT: 0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE: 0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07): 2,806,070.39

**PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES**

09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION: 831,215.29
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT: 0.00
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10): 831,215.29
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION: 134,503.33
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS: 0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES: 0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14): 965,718.62
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15): 1,840,351.77

**PART III: LOW/MOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD**

17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS: 0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING: 0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES: 726,059.75
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT: 0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20): 726,059.75
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11): 87.35%

**LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS**

23 PROGRAM YEARS (PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION: PY: 2017 PY: 2018 PY: 2019
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION: 831,215.29
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS: 726,059.75
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24): 87.35%

**PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS**

27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES: 204,978.44
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR: 0.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR: 0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS: 0.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30): 204,978.44
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT: 1,550,735.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME: 0.00
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP: 0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34): 1,550,735.00
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35): 13.22%

**PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP**

37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION: 134,503.33
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR: 0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR: 0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS: 0.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 + LINE 40): 134,503.33
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT: 1,550,735.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME: 0.00
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP: 0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44): 1,550,735.00
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45): 8.67%
### LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17

Report returned no data.

### LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Report returned no data.

### LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Year</th>
<th>IDIS Project</th>
<th>IDIS Activity</th>
<th>Voucher Number</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Matrix Code</th>
<th>National Objective</th>
<th>Drawn Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4582</td>
<td>6004670</td>
<td>ADA Ramp Program (2016 Program Year)</td>
<td>03L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$226.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4582</td>
<td>6013790</td>
<td>ADA Ramp Program (2016 Program Year)</td>
<td>03L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$55.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4582</td>
<td>6033135</td>
<td>ADA Ramp Program (2016 Program Year)</td>
<td>03L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$74.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4582</td>
<td>6038088</td>
<td>ADA Ramp Program (2016 Program Year)</td>
<td>03L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$73.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4582</td>
<td>6041430</td>
<td>ADA Ramp Program (2016 Program Year)</td>
<td>03L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$73.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4640</td>
<td>6071491</td>
<td>ADA Ramp Program (2017 Program Year)</td>
<td>03L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$46.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4648</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Center Seniors Safe at Home</td>
<td>05A</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$16,357.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4648</td>
<td>6120812</td>
<td>Center Seniors Safe at Home</td>
<td>05A</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$4,982.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4663</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Prairie State Senior Citizens Legal Services</td>
<td>05A</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$4,881.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4663</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Prairie State Senior Citizens Legal Services</td>
<td>05A</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$2,170.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4649</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Center Teen Violence Prevention</td>
<td>05D</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$4,710.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4649</td>
<td>6120812</td>
<td>Center Teen Violence Prevention</td>
<td>05D</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$2,540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4650</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Children's Home CCBYS</td>
<td>05D</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$940.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4656</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Dream Center Project 309 After School</td>
<td>05D</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$7,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4653</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>CWTC Special Ed to Work</td>
<td>05H</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$8,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4653</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>CWTC Special Ed to Work</td>
<td>05H</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$2,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4658</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>GITM Urban Farmer Teen Intern Program</td>
<td>05H</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$7,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4654</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Crittenton Center Child Development</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$15,620.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4654</td>
<td>6115764</td>
<td>Crittenton Center Child Development</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$3,649.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4657</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Family Core Heart of Peoria After School Program</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$10,725.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4657</td>
<td>6115764</td>
<td>Family Core Heart of Peoria After School Program</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$3,575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4660</td>
<td>6115764</td>
<td>Neighborhood House Child Care</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$4,579.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4660</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Neighborhood House Child Care</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$2,850.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4655</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Crittenton Center Crisis Nursery</td>
<td>05N</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$17,674.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4655</td>
<td>6115764</td>
<td>Crittenton Center Crisis Nursery</td>
<td>05N</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$5,635.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4661</td>
<td>6101356</td>
<td>Pediatric Resource Child Abuse Victim Assistance</td>
<td>05N</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$6,645.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4661</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Pediatric Resource Child Abuse Victim Assistance</td>
<td>05N</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$7,034.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4652</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>CWTC Psychosocial Rehab</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$10,875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4652</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>CWTC Psychosocial Rehab</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$3,625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4659</td>
<td>6101356</td>
<td>Human Service Center ERS</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$7,385.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4659</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Human Service Center ERS</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$12,814.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4659</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Human Service Center ERS</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$34,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Year</td>
<td>IDIS Project</td>
<td>IDIS Activity</td>
<td>Voucher Number</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>National Objective</td>
<td>Drawn Amount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4678</td>
<td>6093377</td>
<td>2001 Prospect - Lead Match</td>
<td>14I</td>
<td>$12,241.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4685</td>
<td>6103697</td>
<td>423 E Thrush - Lead Match</td>
<td>14I</td>
<td>$10,876.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4626</td>
<td>6004670</td>
<td>2015 W Harper Ter - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$4,190.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4641</td>
<td>6089200</td>
<td>2509 NE Madison - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$21,445.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4642</td>
<td>6063117</td>
<td>805 W Gift - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$8,250.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4643</td>
<td>6078733</td>
<td>123 NE Rock Island - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$7,350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4644</td>
<td>6060983</td>
<td>1408 Griswold - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$6,930.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4645</td>
<td>6060983</td>
<td>403 E Corrington - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$9,550.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4646</td>
<td>6063117</td>
<td>920 W Thrush - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$5,700.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4666</td>
<td>6078733</td>
<td>1113 Melbourne- Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$5,080.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4667</td>
<td>6085705</td>
<td>322 E Archer- Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$16,080.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4680</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>2111 NE Jefferson - Emergency</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$2,317.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4686</td>
<td>6109925</td>
<td>1534 Griswold - Emergency</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$2,749.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4668</td>
<td>6085705</td>
<td>4924 Endres Ave- Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$7,975.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4669</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>2805 W Pine Hill Ln- Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$20,580.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4670</td>
<td>6089200</td>
<td>722 E Bel Aire Cir - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$12,100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4671</td>
<td>6078733</td>
<td>718 E Thrush Ave - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$7,140.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4673</td>
<td>6093377</td>
<td>1303 W Lincoln Ave - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$3,957.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4674</td>
<td>6109925</td>
<td>1109 E Melbourne - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4675</td>
<td>6109925</td>
<td>416 Lakewood - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$3,847.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4676</td>
<td>6093377</td>
<td>5515 N Montello - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$4,610.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4677</td>
<td>6109925</td>
<td>1125 Lakecrest - Roof Program</td>
<td>14A</td>
<td>$12,400.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14A Matrix Code 193,297.19

14H Matrix Code 47,780.92

14I Matrix Code 23,117.50
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Year</th>
<th>IDIS Project</th>
<th>IDIS Activity</th>
<th>Voucher Number</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Matrix Code</th>
<th>National Objective</th>
<th>Drawn Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4648</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Center Seniors Safe at Home</td>
<td>05A</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$16,357.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4649</td>
<td>6120812</td>
<td>Center Teen Violence Prevention</td>
<td>05D</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$4,710.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4650</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Children's Home CCBYS</td>
<td>05D</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$940.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4656</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Dream Center Project 309 After School</td>
<td>05D</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$7,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4653</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>CWTC Special Ed to Work</td>
<td>05H</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$8,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4653</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>CWTC Special Ed to Work</td>
<td>05H</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$2,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4658</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>GITM Urban Farmer Teen Intern Program</td>
<td>05H</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$7,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4654</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Crittenton Center Child Development</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$15,620.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4654</td>
<td>6115764</td>
<td>Crittenton Center Child Development</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$3,649.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4657</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Family Core Heart of Peoria After School Program</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$10,725.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4657</td>
<td>6115764</td>
<td>Family Core Heart of Peoria After School Program</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$3,575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4660</td>
<td>6115764</td>
<td>Neighborhood House Child Care</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$4,579.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4660</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Neighborhood House Child Care</td>
<td>05L</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$2,858.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4655</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>Crittenton Center Crisis Nursery</td>
<td>05N</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$17,674.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix Code</th>
<th>National Objective</th>
<th>Drawn Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05A</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$28,391.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05D</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$15,440.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05G</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$29,797.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05H</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$18,650.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Amount:** $726,059.75
### Program Year 2017

#### PEORIA, IL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Year</th>
<th>IDIS Project</th>
<th>IDIS Activity</th>
<th>Voucher Number</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Matrix Code</th>
<th>National Objective</th>
<th>Drawn Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4655</td>
<td>6115764</td>
<td>Crittenton Center Crisis Nursery</td>
<td>05N</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$5,635.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4661</td>
<td>6101356</td>
<td>Pediatric Resource Child Abuse Victim Assistance</td>
<td>05N</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$6,645.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4661</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Pediatric Resource Child Abuse Victim Assistance</td>
<td>05N</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$7,034.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4652</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>CWTC Psychosocial Rehab</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$10,875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4652</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>CWTC Psychosocial Rehab</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$3,625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4659</td>
<td>6101356</td>
<td>Human Service Center ERS</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$7,385.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4659</td>
<td>6118282</td>
<td>Human Service Center ERS</td>
<td>05O</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>$12,814.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

**$36,990.00**

$34,700.00

**$204,978.44**

### LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Year</th>
<th>IDIS Project</th>
<th>IDIS Activity</th>
<th>Voucher Number</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Matrix Code</th>
<th>National Objective</th>
<th>Drawn Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4523</td>
<td>6089861</td>
<td>CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,906.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4592</td>
<td>6089861</td>
<td>CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,776.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4592</td>
<td>6092338</td>
<td>CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,451.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4592</td>
<td>6095640</td>
<td>CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,451.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4592</td>
<td>6100690</td>
<td>CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,528.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4592</td>
<td>6103697</td>
<td>CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,193.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4592</td>
<td>6105984</td>
<td>CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$134.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4592</td>
<td>6108191</td>
<td>CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,283.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4681</td>
<td>6105984</td>
<td>2017 CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4681</td>
<td>6108191</td>
<td>2017 CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,168.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4681</td>
<td>6112026</td>
<td>2017 CDBG Administration</td>
<td>21A</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,509.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

**$134,503.33**

$134,503.33
CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CDBG</th>
<th>HOME</th>
<th>ESG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or American Native</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,094</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,551</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic</td>
<td>2,197</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1,596</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The City CDBG, HOME and ESG programs assisted a total of 2,293 (CDBG) + 1,657 (ESG) + 18 (HOME) individuals during the 2017 program year. The chart above does not provide additional race and ethnicity categories as entered into IDIS and HMIS databases. Therefore, 199 individuals are not reported in the race CDBG chart above and 106 individuals are not reported in the ESG race chart above. Please see the attached text below labeled Complete CDBG and ESG Race.

Of the total number of individuals served, approximately 49% indentified as Black or African American and 4% identified as Hispanic.
Complete ESG Race and Ethnicity

### RACE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. White</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Black or African-American</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Asian</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Multiple races</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Don't know / refused</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Information missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Total</td>
<td>1,657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ETHNICITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino</td>
<td>1,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Don't know / refused</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Information missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Total</td>
<td>1,657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complete CDBG Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACE</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>1,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American/American Native</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/White</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/White</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American/White</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/African American</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/Refused</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Resources Made Available</th>
<th>Amount Expended During Program Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1,631,334</td>
<td>965,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>511,710</td>
<td>521,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPWA</td>
<td>HOPWA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG</td>
<td>ESG</td>
<td>137,451</td>
<td>148,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The Resources Made Available column lists the total 2017 grant awards received by the City for CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs and the grant balances of unexpended funds not committed to individual activities from previous grant awards that were available at the start of the 2017 program year (January 1, 2017). The Actual Expended During Program Year 2017 represents the total CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds expended in the 2017 program year (January 1st to December 31, 2017). This includes funds expended from remaining balances of previous year grant awards as well as 2017 awarded funds. Additionally, this column reflects expenditures for activities that were not completed in program year 2017, but draws were made against the funds committed for the project. Under the guidance of the eCon Planning Suite Desk Guide for CR-15, the ESG amount is adapted to be consistent with CR-75. Under the guidance of the eCon Planning Suite Desk Guide for CR-75 the amount reflects the definition of expenditure meaning that "the cost was incurred by the recipient or subrecipient during the reporting period (not necessarily drawn down from IDIS)." The only difference regarding the ESG amount is that the above table reports admin whereas CR-75 only reports on activities as is reflected in the attached table on ESG expenditures.

Please see the additional uploads on this page for the expenditures made in 2017 according to the program year of funding.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Area</th>
<th>Planned Percentage of Allocation</th>
<th>Actual Percentage of Allocation</th>
<th>Narrative Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDBG Target Area</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The CDBG Target Area includes Census Tracts (CT) and Block Groups (BG) where at least 51% of the resident households have reported incomes that are at or below 80% of the area median income. This
information is published by HUD and uses the statistical information identified in the 2006-2010 American Community Survey (ACS) (per Notice CPD 14-11). The CDBG Target Area is also referred to as Peoria’s Low Income Area.

The CDBG Target Area includes the following Census Tracts (CT) and Block Groups (BG): CT 1; CT 2 BG 1,2,3; CT 3 BG 1,2,3; CT 5 BG 1,2,3; CT 6 BG 1,2,3,4; CT 7 BG 1,2; CT 8, BG 1; CT 9 BG 1,2,3; CT 12 BG 1,2; CT 13, BG 1; CT 15 BG 1,2; CT 16 BG 1,2; CT 18, BG 1,2,3; CT 19, BG 1,2,3; CT 21 BG 1,2,3,4,5,6; CT 22 BG 3; CT 24 BG 3, CT 25 BG 2,4; CT 26 BG 2; and CT 27 BG 2.

In order to calculate the percentage of allocation/investment for program year 2017, City staff included the expenditures from the CDBG and HOME grants that were invested specifically into housing units/real property located in the Target Area and divided by the total amount of these housing/real property expenditures. The calculations included housing rehab programs and the costs associated with the Code Enforcement activity in the CDBG Target Area. This activity included staff costs, but as a result of the inspections and enforcement, improvements were made to real property located in the CDBG Target Area. Code Enforcement activity inspectors were limited to working only in the Target Area. Allocations for administration, public service, housing delivery, and ESG costs were excluded from the calculation of the percentage as these expenditures are not specifically invested into housing units/real property. Overall, 94% of total expenditures as described above were in the CDBG Target Area.

The overall geographic distribution/investment of 70% of the City's grant entitlement funds is not a HUD requirement. The 70% investment is a goal of the City to allocate available funding in an area of the community where there is the most need and the majority of low income households reside, thereby encouraging a concentrated impact. However, specific to the CDBG program, the City must select a consecutive period of one, two or three years that will be used to determine that a minimum overall benefit of 70% of CDBG funds were used to directly benefit low income households. The City has selected a three year benefit period, which currently includes program years 2017, 2018 and 2019. For 2017, the City exceeded the 70% goal with 87.35% benefiting low and moderate income persons.
Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Federal funds were leveraged in the below 16 and 17PC projects alongside a state grant that has gone towards new and rehabbed housing development in the City's East Bluff Neighborhood. The State funds were utilized for acquisition of three properties that were then rehabbed with HOME funds. These acquisition costs are represented below as the cash match. Habitat for Humanity of Greater Peoria operates several area furniture reuse stores. Profits from these stores go back into their builds and are used as cash match toward constructions costs on the CHDO builds. The City continues to provide vacant City-owned lots for Habitat builds both CHDO and privately funded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project No. or Other ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15CH01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16PC01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17CH01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17PC01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

**HOME MBE/WBE report**

**Program Income** – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period $</th>
<th>Amount received during reporting period $</th>
<th>Total amount expended during reporting period $</th>
<th>Amount expended for TBRA $</th>
<th>Balance on hand at end of reporting period $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 – Program Income
### Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises

Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Minority Business Enterprises</th>
<th>White Non-Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alaskan Native or American Indian</td>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

### Minority Owners of Rental Property

Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Minority Property Owners</th>
<th>White Non-Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alaskan Native or American Indian</td>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 - Minority Owners of Rental Property
## Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Parcels Acquired</th>
<th>Businesses Displaced</th>
<th>Nonprofit Organizations Displaced</th>
<th>Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parcels Acquired</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesses Displaced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprofit Organizations Displaced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minorities</th>
<th>Alaskan Native or American Indian</th>
<th>Asian or Pacific Islander</th>
<th>Black Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>White Non-Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition
### 2017 HOME Expenditures by Program Year of Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Total by Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southside Roof</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHDO 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>$214,382.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$214,382.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHDO 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$54,971.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>$54,971.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16PC01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$101,460.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>$101,460.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17PC01</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,720.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,720.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total by Year</strong></td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$315,842.57</td>
<td>$58,692.20</td>
<td>$46,874.13</td>
<td>$521,408.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2017 ESG Expenditures by Program Year of Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Total by Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelter</td>
<td>75,512.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$75,512.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Rehousing</td>
<td>$7,685.00</td>
<td>42,756</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,441.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Administrative Costs</strong></td>
<td>$660.80</td>
<td>$8,734.21</td>
<td>$9,395.01</td>
<td>$136,348.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total by Year</strong></td>
<td>$7,685.00</td>
<td>$119,929.74</td>
<td>$8,734.21</td>
<td>$136,348.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2017 CDBG Expenditures by Program Year of Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Total by Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof Rehabilations</td>
<td></td>
<td>66,624.30</td>
<td>$90,709.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$157,334.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Side Roof</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,911.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,911.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Match</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,958.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,159.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>$23,117.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Repair</td>
<td>$12,983.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,319.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,302.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td>504.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>$551.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td></td>
<td>105,155.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$105,155.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic District Rehab</td>
<td>$13,750.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$204,978.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$125,286.11</td>
<td>$131,048.54</td>
<td>$256,334.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,546.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>$47,780.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,906.26</td>
<td>$117,819.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,777.85</td>
<td>$134,503.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total by Year</strong></td>
<td>$12,983.16</td>
<td>$17,708.40</td>
<td>$193,404.00</td>
<td>$386,271.63</td>
<td>$355,351.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Match Percent</th>
<th>Total Disbursements</th>
<th>Disbursements Requiring Match</th>
<th>Match Liability Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>$685,726.48</td>
<td>$685,726.48</td>
<td>$171,431.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$1,416,498.05</td>
<td>$1,416,498.05</td>
<td>$177,062.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$680,959.37</td>
<td>$649,374.15</td>
<td>$81,171.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>$664,238.23</td>
<td>$622,239.99</td>
<td>$155,559.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>$841,697.16</td>
<td>$841,697.16</td>
<td>$210,424.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$955,865.25</td>
<td>$888,156.42</td>
<td>$222,039.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$192,303.09</td>
<td>$82,251.58</td>
<td>$10,281.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$765,485.19</td>
<td>$656,235.15</td>
<td>$82,029.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$1,366,065.00</td>
<td>$1,271,940.84</td>
<td>$158,992.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$1,809,625.58</td>
<td>$1,722,357.75</td>
<td>$215,294.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$1,325,774.41</td>
<td>$1,233,783.00</td>
<td>$154,222.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$772,727.51</td>
<td>$727,811.41</td>
<td>$90,976.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$1,029,377.82</td>
<td>$990,735.17</td>
<td>$123,841.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$1,504,481.39</td>
<td>$1,468,719.51</td>
<td>$183,589.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$894,829.56</td>
<td>$856,437.09</td>
<td>$107,054.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$885,158.33</td>
<td>$838,907.04</td>
<td>$104,863.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$334,280.11</td>
<td>$330,307.55</td>
<td>$41,288.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Interest Rate</td>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$216,040.60</td>
<td>$187,378.78</td>
<td>$23,422.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$513,632.07</td>
<td>$477,468.28</td>
<td>$59,683.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$714,751.14</td>
<td>$668,001.89</td>
<td>$83,500.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>$412,566.16</td>
<td>$398,141.02</td>
<td>$49,767.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units</th>
<th>One-Year Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 11 – Number of Households**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of households supported through Rental Assistance</th>
<th>One-Year Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households supported through The Production of New Units</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 12 – Number of Households Supported**

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Table 12 shows that during the 2017 program year the City exceeded the rehab of existing units goal of 21 by completing rehabilitation on 38 units. Exceeding this goal was due to the the City's efficient processing of housing rehab applications, the productive partnerships with contractors, and use of prior year HOME funds for rehabilitation of eight units. All 38 units rehabilitated were low-income, owner occupied households, and completed with CDBG funds under the roof program, the emergency repair program, the lead match program, the local historic district program, and the Southside Rehab.
program. The goal for the production of new units was not able to be reported in this year's CAPER. HOME CHDO Set-aside projects are in progress. 15CH01 consisting of three new affordable housing units will be complete in the first half of 2018. Two units in the project are complete and occupied, the third build is complete but closing is stalled because of delay in IHDA down payment assistance. Project will be reported on in 2018 CAPER.

ESG funds played an important role in meeting the City's 2017 goals for rental assistance and number of homeless individuals to be provided affordable housing units. Through subgrantee agreements with a local service provider, the City contributed funding their rapid rehousing program and provided direct rental assistance to 25 clients. This provider has outreach personnel (funded through a PATH grant used as ESG match) and case managers that work with individuals experiencing homelessness in order to find decent and affordable rental units. The program provides at least 3 months, but up to 24 months of rent, a security deposit and case management oriented around employment-seeking, financial training and obtaining household necessities (among other services based on client needs). With the Emergency Solutions Grant, the City issued funding for rent, security deposits and program staff costs for a local provider. Through these funds, 25 individuals who were experiencing homelessness were able to find stable housing.

**Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.**

The outcomes and demand for the affordable housing programs verifies the need for these activities. The City expects to continue these housing programs in future Annual Action Plans. Rapid Rehousing has been shown to have positive impacts and outcomes across the nation and in our local Continuum of Care (CoC). As the CoC and the City look to increase funding for permanent housing and rapid rehousing, future action plans, could see heightened goals and elevated funding going toward rapid rehousing.

**Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Households Served</th>
<th>CDBG Actual</th>
<th>HOME Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Low-income</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-income</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 13 – Number of Households Served*

**Narrative Information**
The chart above represents the income categories for completed CDBG and HOME activities in the 2017 program year that benefited low income households. These activities included housing rehab programs (30 CDBG funded activities) and a HOME homeowner rehab program (8 HOME funded activities).
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction’s progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

**Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

City staff actively participate in the operations and functions of the Illinois Homeless Continuum of Care (HOIHCOC), serving as a non-voting member of the governing board as well as on specific task groups for the CoC. The City works directly with the CoC to strategize, prioritize, evaluate and ultimately identify directions in which ESG funding will be deployed. As a part of this activity, City staff regularly interact with formerly homeless individuals that serve on the board and participate in board decision making, one component of which is the allocation of ESG funding. City staff regularly receive calls or inquiries from individuals currently experiencing homelessness and, based on their specific needs, direct these individuals to relevant providers or make direct contact with providers on an individual’s behalf, if and as requested. City grants staff have worked with code inspectors in identifying and reporting back on individuals experiencing homelessness that they may come into contact with in the field. When a situation of homelessness is identified, grants staff connect individuals to appropriate outreach channels for housing and case management services.

If and when issues arise in the rapid rehousing program, City staff advocate for clients’ needs whether that is interacting with a particular landlord on their behalf (if and as directed by the client) or connecting an individual with services beyond the scope of the rapid rehousing program (e.g. information about child care resources in the city).

Most importantly, a local provider that is an active member of the CoC hosts a PATH Street Outreach team. City staff regularly work with this team as they interface with Rapid Rehousing case managers and work with unsheltered clientele in order to achieve permanent housing. City staff rode along with the outreach team for a day in 2017 in order to better understand their program and process and experience first-hand what it takes to find, engage and help unsheltered individuals access the coordinated entry system. This outreach team is the main contributor to the unsheltered point-in-time count and seen as an asset to the City and the Continuum.

**Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

In 2016, The Salvation Army Day Center closed its doors and the Continuum lost is only shelter for single men that provided both day and night time shelter and services. As reported in the 2016 CAPER, the City, in collaboration with the local United Way and the County government, locally funded the re-opening of a day center for individuals experiencing homelessness. The City committed $63,860 to the operational costs of the day center for one year while the CoC undertook a process of strategic planning to identify the best way forward.
During the course of 2017 this strategic planning effort proved successful. The CoC, United Way, City, County and local provider held meetings that led to a sustainably funded collaboration supporting two 24/7 shelters with case management services – one for single men at the Salvation Army and one for women and families at the Dream Center (previously only a night-time shelter). The CoC did this by reorienting ESG and NOFA funds to support expanded capacities at these shelters. The CoC also secured a funding commitment from a local health care system to support Case Managers from South Side Office of Concern, a licenced mental health care provider, to operate in the Salvation Army shelter. The shelter has been framed as an interim housing location in which intensive case management is provided in order to connect individuals and families with permanent housing as quickly as possible.

As of the 2017 NOFA results, the CoC no longer supports any Transitional Housing programs. All transitional housing units were converted to permanent housing units. The Continuum increased its PSH beds by 115 this year. This was a strategic effort undertaken by the CoC Board, because of HUD’s emphasis on PSH and its more effective outcomes. The CoC Board sent a letter to providers encouraging this transition and all providers were supportive and submitted projects as reallocations in order to operate as PSH units resulting in this dramatic increase.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

In 2013, the local United Way launched a 2-1-1 Program that provides a comprehensive information and referral line to connect callers to critical health and human care programs. The 2-1-1 System contains information on a variety of services, including but not limited to food, shelter, clothing, rent and utility assistance, and physical and mental health services. System representatives are able to inform callers of the programs, eligibility requirements and location of services. The City encourages ESG and CDBG Public Service subrecipients to enter agency and program information to the 2-1-1 System.

The City seeks to remediate situations that, if not addressed properly, would lead to situations of homelessness for low-income families and individuals or households with other challenges to housing stability. The City uses the enforcement of its property maintenance code to ensure that private landlords do not let housing deteriorate into a situation that could lead to homelessness. It also funds various rehab programs using HUD funds, such as its roof replacement program and emergency repair program, to remediate situations that might render a property uninhabitable in the present or lead to its becoming such in the future. The City also grants local dollars to a housing rehab program that does various rehab projects for low-income families. The City also recently applied for and was awarded a grant from the state housing development authority to operate an accessibility rehab program that will ensure that individuals with disabilities can afford the modifications that they need to live to their full
potential. The City sees each intervention of this kind as preemptively reducing the number of incidents of homelessness throughout the city.

In the past year the Code Enforcement Division has updated its policies to enact stricter oversight over properties that have been designated as uninhabitable. Inspectors closely monitor these units to ensure that they are not re-rented without being brought up to code. If these units are re-rented without remediation they bring about an immediate situation of housing instability. These new policies and procedures ensure that this does not occur.

With regard to the CoC, there is an increasing awareness that housing is health care. One of the CoC’s primary providers is a licensed mental healthcare provider. The Chair of the CoC’s governing board is a Senior Vice President of a local hospital system. Representatives of several healthcare providers, clinics and service centers are represented in the CoC’s general membership. Building this kind of network fosters new opportunities and connection points for helping individuals and families exiting systems of care find permanent housing. Case in point, the hospital system for which the CoC Chair works has generously supported case management services at the Salvation Army’s new 24/7 interim housing shelter that is oriented toward rapid connection to permanent housing.

**Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

The City most directly supports the effort of helping homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living through the funding and administration of its rapid rehousing program. The program has seen success in permanently housing individuals in a rapid and efficient manner and in a fashion that allows individuals to achieve independent and community-based living. City staff see themselves not only as grant administrators but as advocates for individuals experiencing homelessness and liasons to the homeless services network. Whether this means helping other ancillary services providers navigate that network or referring individuals experiencing homelessness to service providers and outreach teams.

Part of the City's effort in ensuring that individuals and families who were recently homeless do not become homeless again is to closely monitor rapid rehousing program coordinators via monthly reports and regular contact to ensure client progress in stability, self-sufficiency and indicators of such (e.g. income, health coverage, access to benefits, etc.) so that assistance is not merely rent paid but housing stability developed. The client has the choice whether or not to participate in any service offered, however the City ensures that resources are made readily available and accessible to clients by program coordinators and outreach personnel.
Two shifts occurred in the CoC policy that were pushed for by the City and other board members in order to increase the CoC’s ability to help people make the transition to permanent housing. First the CoC transitioned its Transitional Housing (TH) beds to Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds and added one new PSH project in the last NOFA. Increasing the availability and amount of PSH beds is the most substantial path towards getting more folks permanently housed. The other shift occurring is an increasing driving focus on system performance measures that reflect permanent housing placements and housing stability (via data on returns to homelessness). The City has made these criteria the primary performance criteria with regard to ESG grants and have pushed this message in the CoC. We want to know if your program is getting people into permanent housing and if folks stay in that housing, if it is going to be funded with our scarce resources. This emphasis will help us fund and develop programs that do the best job of helping homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and by this performance measure being the focus even with regard to shelter services – it will shorten the length of time that individuals are experiencing homelessness by getting them out of shelter and into permanent housing as quickly as possible.
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

City staff worked closely with the PHA executive staff to lay the groundwork for a collaborative Assessment of Fair Housing. This included multiple meetings and preliminary work on an MOU and determining each organization’s responsibilities. These efforts will not continue as HUD has extended the due dates for the AFH which effectively postpones the City of Peoria due date for approximately 5 years.

The PHA is currently experiencing turnover in its executive staff at the same time as it attempts to relocate units associated with one of its older projects. The City remains supportive of these efforts and will reach out in collaboration once new executive staff is in place.

During the 2017 program year, the City did provide a Certificate of Consistency to the PHA with attachments recommending that all changes being made to the administrative plan work to better increase transparency and communication between the PHA and its residents. City Staff also encouraged the PHA to adopt a homeless preference for public housing.

The PHA has been working for several years to redevelop or relocate through RAD its Taft Homes Development. The City is supportive of these efforts. The City looks forward to collaborating in a manner consistent with each entity’s goals to benefit the community and low-income residents once new momentum gains traction on the undertaking.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The City will continue to support the PHA and its program development (housing, social, educational) to enhance the quality of life for residents, thereby offering numerous opportunities for self-sufficiency.

The PHA has continued its efforts to engage residents in management through resident councils and committees. One asset management property currently has an active resident council: Sterling Towers. Another major public housing property, Taft Homes, is in flux as redevelopment/RAD discussions and planning are in process. These monthly resident meetings provide opportunities for feedback and reporting in relation to development initiatives. The PHA also has various savings and incentive programs for residents to encourage home ownership.

In addition, PHA collaborates with local HUD certified housing counseling organizations to provide financial literacy services and homeownership counseling for families in public housing.

PHA has utilized the services of AmeriCorps service members who focus specifically on employment skills, coaching, training, and job referrals for families who reside at Taft Homes, Harrison Homes, and other scattered site properties.
Access to financial resources and increasing family wealth will continue to be a priority for all support programs and services for public housing and Section 8.

**Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

For the last several years the Peoria Housing Authority has oscillated between substandard and troubled statuses. With renewed energy, City staff sought collaboration with the new PHA executive leadership by establishing a partnership to submit a collaborative AFH. With the delay of the AFH and turnover of PHA executive staff at the end of 2017, the City remains supportive and willing to assist the PHA moving forward.
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Newly applicable in 2017, the Community Development Planning Division amended the unified development code in three ways that reduce barriers to affordable housing development. First, for all multi-family zoning districts – R-6,7, & 8 – it was formerly the case that a new multifamily development was required to produce a multifamily plan that would be reviewed by a Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council. The code was amended to remove this requirement making new multifamily developments permitted uses without review requirements by commission or council. Secondly, the code was amended to include apartments as permitted uses in commercial districts. Third, prior to new amendments, when duplexes were proposed on single-lots, it required Commission and Council review and approval for a duplex. Now a duplex is allowed at the time of platting, regardless of the number of lots and as long as there are no waivers, and it is reviewed and approved administratively.

Recognizing the added burden of permitting fees to affordable housing development with already tight margins, the City waives fees for small, not for profit developers. The City waived permit fees for three affordable housing units in 2017; these were each HOME-funded builds by Habitat for Humanity.

The City continues its practice of not charging fees for environmental review work and monitoring associated with affordable housing developments but absorbs these costs in order to further support the financial capacity of nonprofit affordable housing developers.

In 2017, Community Development staff prepared and submitted a report on the state of affordable housing in Peoria and provided several actions to consider to add new affordable housing and improve the condition of existing housing. This conversation will continue into 2018.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Because of scarce funding resources the City continues to pursue various grants to fill community needs that are not filled through HUD resources. The City applied and was awarded $144,450 from the Illinois Housing Development Authority for housing accessibility modifications. Programming will begin in 2018. The City of Peoria also received $150,000 from IHDA in 2017 through its Abandoned Property Program.

Through the abandon property process, the City has acquired and requested proposals for five properties that have been rehabbed and brought back on line to increase the supply of housing rather than being demolished.
In 2017, the City Manager’s Office hosted several working groups on racial equity in Peoria. These community engagement efforts have led to public suggestions being wove into policy proposals. For example, several suggestions that came out of the Asset Development working group were included in the Community Development Departments report to City Council on affordable housing. Connected to these conversations, the City Council has approved a staff position within the City Manager’s office that will focus on civil rights and racial equity in the City of Peoria.

**Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Lead paint hazards continue to be a challenge for the older housing stock in the City of Peoria. Beginning in 2016, the City of Peoria formed a partnership with the Peoria City/County Health Department to devote CDBG funds as a part of the Lead Hazard Control Program. The Lead Hazard Control Program targets families with children with elevated amounts of lead in the child’s blood. The matching funds assist in providing lead abatement in targeted areas within the City of Peoria. In addition, the City’s new Community Services Inspector obtained his risk assessor license in order to continue to fully assess all projects for lead-based paint hazards.

The City also continued a paint program for owner occupied households City-wide. The program provides paint vouchers to be used by homeowners to repaint their properties. This program is funded with local funds rather than federal funds. The paint vouchers supplied to homeowners offset the cost of purchasing paint and provides an opportunity to repaint the exterior of their homes to mitigate code violations and improve the facade. Information about lead based paint hazards is provided to all participants in the program.

**Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Many of the City's programs find ways to cut into the high cost burden on poverty-level families. The City understands this function as an attempt to make progress in reducing the number of poverty-level families and the City takes a multi-faceted approach towards this goal. Direct rehabilitation services whether through roof repair or furnace replacement minimize the high cost of necessary housing repairs freeing up income for poverty level families to move toward financial sufficiency regarding other necessary expenses. New construction and rehabilitation of affordable homes and rentals is another facet of this approach. Homeownership activities that allow for a low-income homeowner to purchase a home with a low cost mortgage and build equity are a means of establishing direct lines out of poverty. Rental activities for developments with subsidized units provide relief from the high and rising costs of rent by reducing the rent costs for residents to 30% of their income, freeing up the remainder for other necessities which provides stability and the capacity for financial progress. The City's rapid rehousing program stabilizes families and individuals experiencing homelessness who are typically also experiencing the correlate of deep poverty and minimal income. Establishing this housing stability and providing the opportunity for case management towards gains in income, health services and other benefits sets a foundation for progress out of poverty.
CDBG funded public services that provide a variety of services and programs that directly help keep low income persons and households from slipping into poverty and/or help them move out of poverty provide another facet to this strategy. Although emergency shelters do not necessarily provide a direct path out of poverty, the shelters do provide an interstice in the deep poverty that street level homelessness can be that may allow for the connection and service needed for incremental or major development towards a life outside of poverty.

As reported in CR-05 above the City continues to fund projects in each of these categories to reduce the number of poverty-level families. In addition to HUD resources, the City has issued rehab grants to 33 homeowners through a TIF fund in particular neighborhoods and expended over $165,000 in TIF funds in 2017.

**Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

In 2017 the City has continued efforts to build institutional structure through new positions, policy and procedure development and cross-departmental and cross-sector collaboration. As mentioned above, out of ongoing conversations on racial equity, the City Council has approved a staff position within the City Manager’s office that will focus on civil rights and racial equity in the City of Peoria building these commitments more substantively into our institutional structure.

The Grants Management Division has continued to work to improve, update and develop new policy and procedure documentation. Having a clear, useful and comprehensive policy infrastructure helps to ensure the integrity of the organization’s future when staff turnover occurs.

The Community Development Department continues to work across departmental boundaries. Specifically, department staff have begun conversations with the Public Works Department regarding the co-location of community benefits for a concentrated investment impact in both housing and streets.

Community Development Staff continue to work with fixed cross-sector community groups taking on complex problems such as the Heart of Illinois Continuum of Care and the Partnership to Reduce Violent Crime. Community Development Staff also work in ad-hoc cross sector groups to address problems that arise and are in need of immediate attention, such as the closure of a grocery store in a low-income neighborhood.

**Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

In 2017, the City continued to enhance its coordination with affordable housing providers and social service agencies through periodic meetings. These included meetings and/or discussions with the Peoria City/County Health Department, Peoria Housing Authority, Illinois Housing Development Authority (IHDA), Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO), non-profit housing
developers, for-profit affordable housing developers and social service agencies. The continued funding of CDBG public service grants and ESG funded homeless services increased the coordination with the City and social service agencies by providing resources to programs offered by local service providers. Additionally, through the grant funding and subsequent subrecipient monitoring, the City better understands the programs offered in the community, the continued need for such programs, and the crucial role service agencies play for the most vulnerable residents.

The City’s ESG-funded rapid rehousing program with a private non-profit partners is a good example of this kind of collaboration. City and program staff work together closely to ensure that rental assistance is provided in a compliant and impactful manner and work together to ensure that rental properties are in decent, code-compliant condition.

Knowing that housing is health care and that health care is vital to a suitable living environment, the City continues to foster a relationship with the Peoria City/County Health Department. City staff participated in the creation of the first regional Community Health Improvement Plan in Illinois. As a member of the steering committee, staff guided and participated in the Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP) process to select priority areas. Once the areas were selected, goals and strategies were developed. City staff continues to play a role in the workgroups of the selected priority areas.

Additionally, the City is a co-lead with the Peoria City/County Health Department in a Collective Impact Initiative focused on Maternal Child Health that brought organizations across the City together to define an agenda and solutions with shared measurement in order to have the greatest collective impact on issues within the community. In 2017, a new Centering Pregnancy program was started through resources of members of this group. The Centering Pregnancy program is a group prenatal program for expectant mothers at a Federally Qualified Health Center in the City’s CDBG Target Area. This evidenced based initiative is proven to reduce racial disparities in birth outcomes. The group is looking to expand this program to other locations as well as explore other strategies to help reduce racial disparities especially among African American females in low income areas of the City of Peoria.

In 2017 the City also began internal conversations regarding how to best collaborate between Planning and Grants Divisions, neighborhoods and private developers to foster high-impact projects with grants and other leveraged funds.

**Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

After attending a training on the Assessment of Fair Housing in 2016, City Staff began laying the groundwork in 2017 for this analysis. This included reading through HUD materials, reviewing the data and mapping tool and initiating collaboration with the PHA. Because the due date for these assessments have been delayed, staff will now re-orient this energy toward the preparation of a new Analysis of Impediments for our next 5-year Consolidated Plan.
Recent zoning changes outlined above on removing barriers apply to fair housing. The below recent changes remove restrictions that can cause hang-ups and lead to fair housing issues.

Newly applicable in 2017, the Community Development Planning Division amended the unified development code in three ways that reduce barriers to affordable housing development. First, for all multi-family zoning districts – R-6,7, & 8 – it was formerly the case that a new multifamily development was required to produce a multifamily plan that would be reviewed by a Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council. The code was amended to remove this requirement making new multifamily developments permitted uses without review requirements by commission or council. Secondly, the code was amended to include apartments as permitted uses in commercial districts. Third, prior to new amendments, where duplexes were proposed on single-lots, it required Commission and Council review and approval for a duplex. Now a duplex is allowed at the time of platting, regardless of the number of lots and as long as there are no waivers, and it is reviewed and approved administratively.
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The City monitoring standards and procedures begin with subrecipient agreements, the content of which typically include performance criteria, affordability periods, inspection and re-inspections requirements, income eligibility, record retention, reporting, and financial regulatory requirements. The City monitors all CDBG, HOME and ESG projects. Housing projects also include inspections and a final desk audit once completed. On-site monitoring visits include a four step process: notification letter; meeting to review documentation, data review and analysis; inspections (if applicable); and monitoring follow-up letter.

In addition, HOME rental activities are monitored for continued income eligibility and property maintenance compliance. City Staff are utilizing HUD monitoring resources in order to further expand the depth of our rental monitoring ensuring not just income eligibility, rents, property maintenance and tenant selection but also long-term financial viability of projects. Homebuyer activities are monitored annually to ensure continued occupancy of the approved program recipient.

ESG activities are implemented through a grant agreement with agencies. Compliance is ensured through reimbursement pay requests and reports verified through HMIS for emergency shelter programs. Rapid Rehousing programs work closely with City staff submitting a request for payment and checklist of required documents for payment. Site visits occur annually.

The City takes a comprehensive approach for minority business outreach in its procurement, contractor selection and subrecipient agreements. Through the City’s Equal Opportunity Office, minority businesses are contacted about bidding opportunities and request for qualifications for contractors. An annual seminar, in conjunction with the City’s Purchasing Department, is held to inform small businesses, particularly women owned and minority owned businesses, how to do business with the City. The seminar covers the City’s advertisement process, standard bidding requirements and contact information for City departments. Grants staff attend to provide information on the contract availability for federal funds.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)
Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

For the creation of the CAPER, the City followed its approved Citizens Participation Plan. The City provided citizens a public notice published in the Journal Star newspaper on March 8th noting that a draft CAPER is available for review in the City of Peoria Community Development Department (office location as well on the website), City Clerk's Office, and Peoria Public Library - Main Street branch. The public comment period was open from March 9, 2018 to March 23, 2018. All copies of the CAPER and the online version provided instructions on how to submit comments to City staff. The public notice also provided information regarding the public hearings were held on March 21, 2018 at 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM at City Hall to receive public comments regarding the CAPER. No comments were received.
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City of Peoria followed the program objectives as outlined in the 2017 Annual Action Plan. This plan included the reallocation of unspent prior year funds to a collaborative program with the Peoria City/County Health Department to provide additional rehabilitation services to participants in the Lead Hazard Control Program. Our experience showed that the limits on the Lead Hazard Control funds for certain rehabilitation such as roof replacements or systems repairs could be fulfilled with CDBG funds.

The City looks to continue to expand partnerships that can leverage our federal funds to best serve low and moderate income residents.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.
CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

As a part of the 2016 project review and monitoring process, all HOME-assisted rental projects with active affordability periods were inspected by the City.

Twenty percent of a particular project's HOME-assisted units were randomly selected by City staff and inspected. It is the City's policy that if significant violations are found in an inspected unit, all HOME-assisted units in the project will be inspected and remediation of violations required.

Goodwill Home for Veterans, The Center for Prevention of Abuse Transitional Housing, New Hope Apartments, RiverWest South, Glendale Commons, Access Peoria and Schlarman House are all rental projects with active affordability periods and had 20% of their HOME-assisted units inspected by the City's community services inspectors who are responsible for commercial code enforcement and multi-family residential code enforcement for the City.

The following issues were cited during the inspections. All have been resolved at this time.

RiverWest South: Kitchen sink leak, water heater leak, dead batteries in smoke detector.

Gendale Commons: Missing outlet cover in bedroom, missing screw in living room outlet cover, window that won’t stay open

Goodwill: no issues

New Hope Apartments: no issues

Center for Prevention of Abuse: GFCI Receptacle in the bathroom was not functioning

Schlarman House: no issues
Access Peoria: Intake and exhaust piping for water heater not properly installed.

All issues have been remedied.

**Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)**

The currently adopted Affirmative Marketing Plan has been successfully implemented for City, subrecipients and CHDO development projects. Highlights of this plan include:

- Identifying segments of the community that would not normally apply for housing options
- Working with the local media to conduct outreach
- Training and partnership with local housing and lending staff to provide for the greatest amount of access and outreach necessary

The City understands that with changing technology, difficult-to-reach populations via traditional media are becoming more accessible via new media and social organizations. This must be considered to ensure that all possible channels are being taken advantage of for the purpose of affirmative marketing.

As the City seeks to update its monitoring policies and procedures affirmative marketing affectiveness will be reviewed during 2018.

**Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics**

All program income is now allocated to projects in our annual action plan. Accomplishments with these projects will be included in the designated project.

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)**

**CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)**

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in e-snaps
1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

**Basic Grant Information**

- **Recipient Name**: PEORIA
- **Organizational DUNS Number**: 071435150
- **EIN/TIN Number**: 376001761
- **Identify the Field Office**: CHICAGO
- **Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance**: Peoria/Perkin/Fulton, Peoria, Tazewell, Woodford CoC

**ESG Contact Name**

- **Prefix**: Mr
- **First Name**: ROSS
- **Middle Name**: 0
- **Last Name**: BLACK
- **Suffix**: 0
- **Title**: Director of Community Development

**ESG Contact Address**

- **Street Address 1**: City Hall
- **Street Address 2**: 419 Fulton Street, Suite 300
- **City**: PEORIA
- **State**: IL
- **ZIP Code**: 61602-1217
- **Phone Number**: 3094948601
- **Extension**: 0
- **Fax Number**: 3094948680
- **Email Address**: rblack@peoriagov.org

**ESG Secondary Contact**

- **Prefix**: Mr
- **First Name**: Nicholas
- **Last Name**: Mitchell
- **Suffix**: 0
- **Title**: Senior Grants Coordinator
- **Phone Number**: 3094948935
- **Extension**: 0
- **Email Address**: nmitchell@peoriagov.org

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete
Program Year Start Date: 01/01/2017
Program Year End Date: 12/31/2017

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY - PEORIA
City: Peoria
State: IL
Zip Code: 61603, 4201
DUNS Number: 125438239
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 28156.32

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE CENTER FOR PREVENTION OF ABUSE
City: Peoria
State: IL
Zip Code: 61614, 5976
DUNS Number: 167637503
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 23687

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Dream Center Peoria
City: Peoria
State: IL
Zip Code: 61603, 3617
DUNS Number: 010692590
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 24169.35

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: South Side Office of Concern
City: Peoria
State: IL
Zip Code: 61602, 1285
DUNS Number: 604607275
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 54280.06
CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Persons in Households</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know/Refused/Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Persons in Households</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know/Refused/Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Persons in Households</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know/Refused/Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18 – Shelter Information
### 4d. Street Outreach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Persons in Households</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know/Refused/Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

### 4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Persons in Households</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know/Refused/Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

### 5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know/Refused/Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21 – Gender Information
6. Age—Complete for All Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 and over</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know/Refused/Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subpopulation</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Total Persons Served – Prevention</th>
<th>Total Persons Served – RRH</th>
<th>Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veterans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victims of Domestic Violence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronically Homeless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons with Disabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severely Mentally Ill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Substance Abuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (unduplicated if possible)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 23 – Special Population Served
10. Shelter Utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of New Units - Rehabbed</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of New Units - Conversion</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of bed-nights available</td>
<td>70,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of bed-nights provided</td>
<td>65,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Utilization</td>
<td>91.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

During the 2017 program year, the City of Peoria in conjunction with the CoC monitored ESG outcomes based on persons served by a particular program. During 2017 the City and CoC conducted cooperative planning to both re-orient how goals are set and outcomes measured by ESG grantees as well as by updating Rapid Rehousing policies for the entire continuum including City ESG. The City wrote 2018 contracts, goals and measurement criteria based solely on CoC/HUD system performance measures with the focus on permanent housing placements for all projects regardless of component and the subsequent housing stability of those placements. These goals and data will be reported on in the 2018 CAPER. Updated RRH policies will be provided then as well as they were not approved by the CoC Governing Board during the 2017 program year. For this CAPER outcomes are still reported as persons served consistent with goals set in 2017 contracts and programming.

Above bed-nights provided data derived from a combined HMIS report on nights provided with quarterly data from CFPA shelter days provided. Number of bed-nights available derived from HIC bed numbers at each funded shelter multiplied by 365.
CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures for Rental Assistance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures for Housing Relocation &amp; Stabilization Services - Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Homelessness Prevention</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures for Rental Assistance</td>
<td>6,660</td>
<td>15,118</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>13,139</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures for Housing Relocation &amp; Stabilization Services - Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>7,685</td>
<td>42,756</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures for Emergency Shelter</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Essential Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75,512</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)
Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Rehab</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75,512</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Outreach</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total ESG Funds Expended</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,346</td>
<td>128,002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Non-ESG HUD Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,175</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Federal Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67,621</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28,159</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Match Amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>160,955</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,346</td>
<td>288,957</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities
Certification of Publication

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said Corporation has caused this Certificate to be signed in its name on the behalf of The Peoria Journal Star, Inc.

By: Stacey Wolf
8th day of March, 2018

The Peoria Journal Star, Inc.

Notice of Public Hearing

The Peoria Journal Star, Inc. hereby certifies that it is the publisher and publisher of the Journal Star, which is a public secular newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of Peoria, County of Peoria, State of Illinois, has been regularly published in said City for a period of (1) year prior to the publication of the notice. This notice was also placed on a subsequent public notice website as required by 55-71.