PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE
REGULAR BUSINESS MEETING AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 16, 2012
8:30 A.M.

DATES SET:

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 20, 2012 @ 8:30 a.m.
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Building, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria Illinois 61604.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 18, 2012 @ 8:30 a.m.
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Building, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria Illinois 61604.

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 15, 2012 @ 8:30 a.m.
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Building, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria Illinois 61604.

PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE
AGENDAS AND MINUTES
ISSUED BY:
LESTER D. BERGSTEN, CHAIRMAN
via the PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
3505 N. Dries Lane
(309) 494-8800
INTERNET ADDRESS: www.ci.peoria.il.us

To access electronic Agenda & Minutes (only):
1. www.ci.peoria.il.us
2. Click "Government" tab @ top left
3. Choose "Departments H-Z" for drop-down window to appear
4. Roll cursor over to "Public Works" for another drop-down window to appear
5. Roll cursor over to "Boards & Commissions"
6. Roll cursor over to "Landfill Committee"
7. Scroll to the bottom of the screen. Under "Agenda & Minutes" will be a list of the .pdf postings.
8. Select desired document and double click to open.

*Citizens wishing to address an item not on the agenda should contact a committee member prior to the meeting. All other public input will be heard under public comment near the end of the Committee meeting.

Note: The order in which agenda items are considered may be moved forward or delayed by at least 2/3 vote of the committee members present.

The Peoria City/County Landfill Committee meets in regular business sessions the third Wednesday of the month (January through November) at 8:30 a.m. at Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Facility Conference Room, 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria, Illinois.

During the month of December, Peoria City/County Landfill Committee will not meet unless a special meeting is called. Notices of any special meeting are posted at least 48 hours prior.
Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Meeting
Dries Lane Facility Conference Room

May 16, 2012

8:30AM

Attendance

Announcements, etc.

Minutes

Request for Approval of the Peoria City/County Landfill Minutes
Dated: April 18, 2012

Agenda Items

Item No. 1 Report from Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC
   A. Special Waste Approvals as needed
      • F.H. Clairmont – Treated Wood (Weathered)
      • Commonwealth Edison – Non-hazardous soil
   B. Permit approvals as needed
   C. Groundwater Well Map
   D. Review WMI Requests

Item No. 2 Dam Project Update

Item No. 3 Landfill Budget Discussion

Item No. 4 Report from Waste Management, Inc.
   A. Monthly Activity Report
   B. Permit approvals as needed
ITEM NO. 5  REPORT FROM PEORIA DISPOSAL CO.
A. UPDATE ON IEPA PERMIT APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

CITIZENS' OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE

NEXT MEETING
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 20, 2012 @ 8:30 A.M.

ADJOURNMENT

EXECUTIVE SESSION
OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS:

FOR THE CITY OF PEORIA, ILLINOIS:

A Regular Meeting of the Peoria City/County Landfill convened at 8:30 a.m. on Wednesday, April 18, 2012, at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Facility located at 3505 N. Dries Lane, Peoria, Illinois, and was called to order by Chairman Les Bergsten.

ATTENDANCE

The following Committee Members were physically present: Les Bergsten, Bob Akers, Timothy Riggenbach, Lynn Scott-Pearson, Ryan Spain, Steve Van Winkle – 6; Absent: Stephen Morris — 1.

City/County Staff present: Dave Barber, Steve Giebelhausen, Stephanie Stapleton, Karen Raithel

Other interested parties: Simon Alwan, Joyce Blumenshine, Chris Coulter, Rick and Tracy Fox, Steve Matheny, Pat Sloan, Dennis Triggs, Mike Wiersema and Jerry Wyatt

ANNOUNCEMENTS, ETC.

None.

MINUTES

Mr. Van Winkle moved to approve the minutes of the Regular Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Meeting held on March 21, 2012, with the necessary corrections on page 1, paragraph 1 should read called to order by Chairman Les Bergsten instead of Commissioner Van Winkle; seconded by Ms. Scott-Pearson.

Approved by viva voce vote.

ITEM NO. 1. REPORT from FOTH INFRASTRUCTURE & ENVIRONMENT, LLC

A. SPECIAL WASTE APPROVALS AS NEEDED

Mr. Alwan stated that there were two profiles, which require Committee action and approval: both permits were for Midwest Generation [Oil Contaminated oil dry and debris]. No technical objections.

Mr. Akers moved to approve these two permit applications as outlined; seconded by Ms. Scott-Pearson.

Approved by viva voce vote

B. PERMIT APPROVALS AS NEEDED

Mr. Alwan said Foth doesn’t have any permit approvals at this time.

C. GATE AUDIT

Mr. Alwan gave update regarding the audit. The purpose of the gate audit is to ensure that all procedures and requirements for special waste acceptance at the landfill are being met. He stated that a gate audit was conducted by Foth on March 6, 2012.
In discussion with Chairman Bergsten regarding the audits, Mr. Alwan explained that the gates are audited twice a year.

D. LANDFILL #1 – QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER UPDATE

Mr. Alwan gave a brief update regarding the current status of the of the groundwater issues for Landfill #1. He stated that G02S and G04S remain in Corrective Action and both had confirmed increases in Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC’s) before the flare operation began; however, since then they have dropped tremendously in concentration. He explained that the vinyl chloride was above permitted AGQS values at G02S in the 1st quarter of 2012 after being below AGQS values the previous two quarters. He further explained that a permit requiring an update on the corrective action measures was submitted to the IEPA on March 9, 2012, and Foth is still waiting on a response from the agency. He stated a report recommendation was submitted to change the gas monitoring frequency from monthly to quarterly schedule.

Mr. Alwan stated that the G06S and G11S were currently in assessment monitoring for dissolved chloride. He pointed out that the 1st quarter results were above AGQS values. He stated that an assessment monitoring report is due to the IEPA by August 1, 2012. He stated the wells will remain in assessment monitoring through the end of the year.

Mr. Alwan stated that increases for dissolved chloride were confirmed in the 4th quarter of 2011 for G15S and is currently in assessment monitoring. He stated that the permit application regarding the assessment monitoring was submitted to the IEPA and the agency response is due no later than May 2, 2012. He did point out that there no exceedances above permitted AGQS values during the 1st quarter event. He stated the well will remain in assessment monitoring through the end of the year.

Mr. Alwan stated the 4th quarter 2011 sampling event had several observed increases for phenolics, dissolved chloride, dissolved nitrate, and total nitrate, which were retested as part of the 1st quarter 2012 sampling event. He stated that there were nine confirmed increases for phenolics; however, only one came back confirmed as increase for phenolics. He stated based on the number of increases they felt that there was possible error; therefore, they have contacted the lab to provide an explanation. He stated that there were no exceedances of the dissolved nitrate with the re-samples. He explained that the re-samples of the total nitrate was above the AGQS value for well R12S; and, he pointed out that the AGQS values between the total and dissolved nitrate were not in line. He stated that they have been discussing this with the agency to correct this issue.

In summary, he stated that there were two (2) wells in corrective action and (4) wells in assessment monitoring.

Mr. Sloan stated that the two wells in corrective action were a part of the gas collection system. He explained that the current treatment was working. He stated that chloride was in the environment and was not manmade. He further explained that all the increases are statistically based. The levels that have been reviewed are below drinking water standards. He stated that he has some discussion with Dan Ernli, Waste Management regarding the false positives and has asked Waste Management to look into this matter.

In discussion with Mr. Spain regarding false positives lab results, Mr. Sloan stated that Foth was looking into the matter. He explained that when they take a sample and it is over the limit then the first thing to do is to re-test the sample. He reiterated that the samples that were previously retested were all low except for one.
Mr. Spain requested that Foth provide a table diagram showing the history of the wells for the next scheduled Landfill meeting.

E. WELL DECOMMISSION AND LEACHATE IMPROVEMENTS

Mr. Alwan stated that Landfill #1 continues to need repairs on the landfill gas collection and leachate extraction systems. He stated that $130,000 has been allocated in the 2012 Landfill Budget, GCCS Rehabilitation and $120,000 for the Leachate Extraction Improvements were approved for design, construction and oversight of the projects. In addition, the Committee gave Foth the approval to submit an application to the IEPA to decommission a number of the gas wells at Landfill #1, which was recently approved.

Mr. Alwan stated the GCCS improvement would consist of installing nearly 1,600 linear feet of 10 inch HDPE pipe. Many wells in the southwest region of landfill #1 are experiencing little to no vacuum and a number of them are out of compliance. Based on the project design the estimated cost is approximately $102,000 for construction. He stated that Foth has budgeted $16,000 for construction oversight and submittal of documentation to the IEPA. He stated the project would bid through the City of Peoria’s procurement process.

Mr. Alwan stated that the plan was to do both projects (the Well Decommission and the GCCS improvements) at the same time. He said the project would consist of decommissioning a number of gas wells at Landfill #1. He stated that there were a total of 83 wells to decommission. He explained that several of the wells would be converted to leachate extraction points as part of the project. The estimated cost for the Well Decommission project is approximately $46,000. The construction oversight would be done at the same time as the GCCS Rehabilitation.

Mr. Alwan explained that the landfill does not have a horizontal leachate collection system. He further explained that they would like to increase the number of vertical wells to help lower the liquid levels. He pointed out that this project was currently under design and estimated that the project would cost approximately $40,000 - $90,000; however, they cannot proceed with the project until a significant modification permit application (design plans) has been submitted to the IEPA for review and approval.

In summary the total construction budget for these projects is $198,000. He stated that the bids could be formatted with alternates so that the project could be adjusted to keep the cost down. However, he said this would result in phasing the project or constructing a portion of the project the following year. Foth recommends receiving bids and constructing the GCCS Rehabilitation and Well Decommissioning project this year. Foth also recommended that they receive bids for the Leachate Improvements projects for this year. As previously mentioned, the Leachate bids can be reviewed and construction phased, if required, to remain within the total construction budget amount.

Chairman Bergsten stated if they do not move forward with the construction of the projects then the Committee would be in noncompliance with IEPA. He felt that increase the Host Fee would be a significant to completing these projects.

In discussion with Mr. Spain regarding the Landfill Budget, Mr. Barber stated the Landfill was losing approximately $10 to $20 thousand dollars per month. He projected that there would be $100 - $150 thousand dollar deficit. He further explained that the cash balance would drop again this year.

Mr. Spain stated that this was a huge problem. He challenged staff and Foth to consider the alternate phases for the project.
Mr. Akers moved to approve Foth’s report and the two permits as outlined; seconded by Ms. Scott-Pearson.

Approved by viva voce vote

**ITEM NO. 2.  DAM PROJECT UPDATE**

Mr. Giebelhausen stated that they met with Waste Management on April 10, 2012. He requested that the Committee hold a brief executive session to discuss further.

**ITEM NO. 3.  LANDFILL BUDGET DISCUSSION**

A. **INCREASE OF THE HOST FEE**

Mr. Barber reiterated that the budget would have a negative balance.

In discussion with Mr. Spain regarding the expense of operating the Landfill, Mr. Barber stated that the Committee would have to meet with the County Board and the City Council to discuss options, if funds are depleted.

Mr. Wiereisma stated that there are many cities facing the same issues as it relates to the cost of operating a landfill. He mentioned that White County is a similar issues and he suggested that the Committee meet with these entities to discuss further and perhaps come up with some ideas to offset some of the ongoing concerns.

B. **Request for Audit of Waste Management**

Mr. Barber stated this would be discussed further in executive session.

Mr. Akers moved to approve Landfill Budget report as outlined; seconded by Ms. Scott-Pearson.

Approved by viva voce vote

**ITEM NO. 4  REPORT FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.**

A. **MONTHLY ACTIVITY REPORT**

Mr. Matheny gave a brief overview of the monthly summary report, profiled waste log, and year over year comparison chart for March 2012. No discussion.

B. **PERMIT APPROVALS AS NEEDED**

Mr. Matheny gave an overview of the permit applications that required Mr. Barber’s signature. He proposed the following permits for Landfill #1:

- The IEPA Bureau of Land Annual Report and Annual Certification.
- A permit application to update the closure and post-closure cost estimates.
Permits for Landfill #2: Permit applications documenting construction of an expansion of the landfill gas extraction system, an expansion of the leachate recirculation system, development of a new disposal cell, and construction of final cover.

- A permit application to re-evaluate the intermediate cover HELP model and to modify the permit based upon the new modeling results.
- A permit application to update the closure and post-closure cost estimates.
- We are amending our request from the February meeting regarding changes to the "Procedures for General Refuse and Special Waste Received at the City of Peoria/County of Peoria Landfill No. 2 near Brimfield, Illinois". More specifically, we are restating our request to split this document into two; one addressing regulatory requirements and another addressing contract requirements. This will allow us to submit the regulatory document to IEPA as part of a pending permit application. This amended request clarifies that Waste Management will use internal staff/resources for this work rather than hiring Foth.

Mr. Spain stated that a member of the Committee could not be at the meeting today, but requested the final item regarding the change of procedures be deferred for one month.

Mr. Wiersema stated that the agreement would not change. They are proposing that parts of the document that are pertinent to the IEPA be sent to them. And, the other contractual items would still be one document, but these items would be separated so that it is not at the agencies discretion to determine the number of Committee members attending meetings, etc. He'd ask that the Committee explore these options and Waste Management would have a third party prepare the necessary information and bring it back to the Committee for review.

Mr. Sloan stated that Foth would like to review the provisions prior to the Committee approval. He stated that Foth would prepare a written memo regarding Waste Management's request for Foth for the next scheduled Landfill Committee meeting.

Mr. Spain moved to approve the report with request for Director Barber's signature on the permit application and accept Items 2 and 4 under Landfill #2 to request changes in the cover system with Director Barber's signature; seconded by Ms. Scott-Pearson.

Approved by viva voce vote

ITEM NO. 5 REPORT FROM PEORIA DISPOSAL CO.

A. UPDATE ON IEPA PERMIT APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT

Mr. Coulter stated he spoke with their consultant in regards to the IEPA application and they will be submitting a draft application to Foth for review.

Mr. Spain moved to approve PDC's report; seconded by Mr. Van Winkle.

Approved by viva voce vote
ITEM NO. 6  APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE LANDFILL AGREEMENT BETWEEN PCCL, INC. AND THE COUNTY AND CITY OF PEORIA AND THE PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE

Mr. Giebelhausen stated that this was an amendment to the Landfill Agreement. He stated the amendment was prepared by Brian McGinnis, which has been reviewed and he has no objections with the amendments.

Mr. Coulter stated that the siting certificate has been incorporated in the Landfill Agreement. He stated that the IEPA recommended that the some additional language be added to the contract regarding the closure of the Citizen Center.

Mr. Bergsten stated that the amendment would need to approve by the Committee, the County Board and the City Council.

In discussion with Mr. Spain, Mr. Coulter stated that the does not foresee any additional amendments at this time; however, there may be some in the future.

Mr. Akers moved to approve the Amendment to the Landfill Agreement between PCCL, Inc. and the County and City of Peoria and the Peoria City/County Landfill Committee; seconded by Mr. Riggenbach.

Approved by viva voce vote

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

NONE.

NEW BUSINESS

NONE.

CITIZENS’ OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE

Mr. Rick Fox expressed concern regarding the groundwater gas system collection process. He challenged the Committee to review their options closely to resolve the financial issues surrounding the Landfill. He questioned the current contract between the City of Peoria and PDC as it relates to auditing.

NEXT MEETING

Mr. Bergsten stated the next regularly-scheduled meeting will be held Tuesday, May 16, 2012, at the Lester D. Bergsten Operations & Maintenance Facility, 3505 N. Dries lane, Peoria, Illinois.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Requesting Approval of a Motion for the Peoria City/County Landfill Committee go into EXECUTIVE SESSION to Discuss 2(c)(11) Litigation, when an action against, affecting, or on behalf of the particular public body has been filed
and is pending before a court or administrative tribunal, or when the public body finds that such an action is probable or imminent.

Mr. Spain moved to adjourn the Regular Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Meeting, to go into Executive Session to Discuss 2(c)(1) Litigation, not returning to Regular session; seconded by Mr. Morris.

Approved by viva voce vote.

ADJOURNMENT

The regular Landfill Committee meeting adjourned at 9:40 A.M.

Lester D. Bergsten
Chairman
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Simon Alwan, PE, Environmental Engineer, Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 16, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: Special Waste Permit Approvals

BACKGROUND: Memorandum attached. There are no new profiles requiring Committee approval. No action is required.

Memorandum does include two profiles granted administrative approval. No action is required.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A
MEMORANDUM

TO: Joint City of Peoria - County of Peoria
Solid Waste Disposal Facility Board

FROM: Simon Alwan, P.E.

DATE: May 4, 2012
NUMBER: 012P001.00

SUBJECT: Special Waste Permits

Waste Management has presented the following waste streams.

Administrative Approval (No Action Required):

1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F.H. Clairmont</th>
<th>Treated Wood - Weathered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>620 Monteclair Ct.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoria Heights, IL 61616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application
Dated: 04/26/2012
Received: 04/27/12

Demolition/dismantling uncontaminated, weathered wood products with preservatives (e.g. creosote, CCA, pentachlorophenol)

Source: Tazewell
Type: Non-Special
Profile # 112650IL

Expected
Quantity = 1 Tons
Frequency = One Time Event

Subject to County Fee = yes
Last Tested = NA

Comments: This waste stream is certified by the generator as non-special based on generator knowledge. We have no technical objections to this waste stream. Administrative approval was granted by Mr. Barber on April 30, 2012. No action is required.
2. Commonwealth Edison  
13082 East Manito Road  
Pekin, IL 61554  
Non-hazardous soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Excavated soil for subsurface electrical improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dated: 05/03/2012</td>
<td>Received: 05/04/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: Tazewell</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type: Non-Special</td>
<td>Quantity = 80 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile # 112854IL</td>
<td>Frequency = One Time Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject to County Fee = yes</td>
<td>Last Tested = 5/03/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: This waste stream is certified by the generator as non-special based on analytical data. The analytical showed no PCB’s were detected and TCLP’s were either not detected or well below regulatory limits. We have no technical objections to this waste stream. Administrative approval was granted by Mr. Barber on May 4, 2012. No action is required.

Notes:
- Committee approval does not relieve the Generator and Landfill Operator from complying with all applicable laws and regulations
Treated Wood - Weathered Express Profile

Profile Number: 112650IL

Requested Disposal Facility: PCC

Renewal for Profile Number: 

Check here if there are multiple generating locations for this waste. Attach additional locations.

A. Waste Generator Facility Information (must reflect location of waste generation/origin)

1. Generator Name: F.E. Clugman
   2. Site Address: 660 Whittaker Ct.
   3. City/ZIP: Danville, IL 61832
   4. State: IL
   5. County: Champaign
   6. Contact Name/Title: Pete Clugman
   7. Email Address: fclugman@bellsouth.net
   8. Phone: 509-264-2927
   9. FAX: 
   10. NAICS Code: 
   11. Generator USEPA ID #: 
   12. State ID# (if applicable): 

B. Customer Information (same as above)

1. Customer Name: F.E. Clugman
   2. Billing Address: 660 W. Alta Rd.
   3. City, State and ZIP: Peoria, IL 61615
   4. Contact Name: Pete Clugman
   5. Contact Email: fclugman@bellsouth.net
   6. P.O. Number: 
   7. Transporter Name: 
   8. Transporter ID # (if appl.): 
   9. Transporter Address: 

C. Waste Stream Information

1. DESCRIPTION
   a. Common Waste Name: Treated Wood - Weathered
      State Waste Code(s): 
   b. Describe Process Generating Waste or Source of Contamination:
      Demolition/dismantling uncontaminated, weathered wood products with preservatives (e.g. creosote, CCA, pentachlorophenol).
   c. Typical Color(s): Any and all
   d. Strong Odor? Yes No Describe: 
   e. Physical State at 70°F: Solid Liquid Powder Semi-Solid or Sludge Other:
   f. Layers? Single layer Multi-layer NA
   g. Water Reactive? Yes No If Yes, Describe: 
   h. Free Liquid Range (%): to
      NA(solid)
   i. pH Range: to
      NA(solid)
   j. Liquid Flash Point: <140°F 140°-199°F ≥200°F NA(solid)
   k. Flammable Solid: Yes No 
   l. Physical Constituents: List all constituents of waste stream - (e.g. Soil 0-80%, Wood 0-20%): 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent (Total Composition Must be ≥ 100%)</th>
<th>Lower Range</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Upper Range</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood (e.g. telephone poles, railroad ties)</td>
<td>100 Tons</td>
<td>Cubic Yards</td>
<td>100 Tons</td>
<td>Cubic Yards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Wood (e.g. telephone poles, railroad ties)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. ESTIMATED QUANTITY OF WASTE AND SHIPPING INFORMATION
   a. One Time Event Base Repeat Event
   b. Estimated Annual Quantity: Tons Cubic Yards Drums Gallons Other (specify): 
   c. Shipping Frequency: Units per Month Quarter Year One Time Other
   d. Is this a U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Hazardous Material? (If yes, answer e.) Yes No
   e. USDOT Shipping Description (if applicable): 

   3. SAFETY REQUIREMENTS (Handling, PPE, etc.): Normal landfill site personal protective equipment

©2010 Waste Management, Inc.

Page 1 of 2

May 2010
D. Regulatory Status (Please check appropriate responses)

1. Waste identification:
   a. Does the waste meet the definition of a USEPA listed or characteristic hazardous waste as defined by 40 CFR Part 261? □ Yes ☑ No
   1. If yes, please complete a hazardous waste profile.
   b. Does the waste meet the definition of a state hazardous waste other than identified in D.1.a? □ Yes ☑ No
   1. If yes, please complete a hazardous waste profile.

2. Is this waste included in one or more of the categories below (Check all that apply)? If yes, attach supporting documentation. □ Yes ☑ No
   - Delisted Hazardous Waste
   - Excluded Wastes Under 40CFR 261.4
   - Treated Hazardous Waste Debris
   - Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste

3. Is the waste from a Federal (40 CFR 300, Appendix B) or state mandated clean-up? If yes, see instructions. □ Yes ☑ No

4. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain radioactive material? □ Yes ☑ No
   a. If yes, is disposal regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission? □ Yes ☑ No
   b. If yes, is disposal regulated by a State Agency for radioactive waste/NORM? □ Yes ☑ No

5. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)? □ Yes ☑ No
   (If yes, list in Chemical Composition - C.1.3)
   a. If yes, are the PCBs regulated by 40 CFR 761? □ Yes ☑ No
   b. If yes, is it remediation waste from a project being performed under the Self-Implementing option provided in 40 CFR 761.61(a)? □ Yes ☑ No
   c. If yes, were the PCBs imported into the US? □ Yes ☑ No

6. Does the waste contain untreated, regulated medical or infectious waste? □ Yes ☑ No

7. Does the waste contain asbestos?
   a. If yes, □ Friable □ Non Friable
   □ Yes ☑ No

8. Is this profile for remediation waste from a facility that is a major source of Hazardous Air Pollutants (Site Remediation NESHAP, 40 CFR 68 subpart GGGGG)? □ Yes ☑ No
   a. If yes, does the waste contain <800 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination? □ Yes ☑ No

E. Generator Certification (Please read and certify by signature below)

By signing this Generator's Waste Profile Sheet, I hereby certify that all:

1. Information submitted in this profile and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of the waste material;
2. Relevant information within the possession of the Generator regarding known or suspected hazards pertaining to this waste has been disclosed to WM/ the Contractor;
3. Analytical data attached pertaining to the profiled waste was derived from testing a representative sample in accordance with 40 CFR 261.20(c) or equivalent rules; and
4. Changes that occur in the character of the waste (i.e. changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and disclosed to WM (and the Contractor if applicable) prior to providing the waste to WM (and the contractor if applicable).

Check all that apply:
   a. Attached analytical pertains to the waste. Identify laboratory & sample ID #’s and parameters tested:
      # Pages:________________________

   b. Only the analysis identified on the attachment pertain to the waste (identify by laboratory & sample ID #’s and parameters tested). Attachment #:
      ______________________________

   c. Additional information necessary to characterize the profiled waste has been attached (other than analytical, such as MSDS). Indicate the number of attached pages:
      ______________________________

   d. I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, and the delegation of authority to me from the Generator for this signature is available upon request.

Certification Signature: ___________________________ Title: __________________________
Company Name: ___________________________ Name (Print): __________________________
Date: 26 April 2012

©2010 Waste Management, Inc.  May 2010
Profile Addendum: State of Illinois
GENERATOR'S NON-SPECIAL WASTE CERTIFICATION

I. Additional Waste Stream Information

Profile Number: 112650IL

Generators Name: F H Clarmett

Generators SITE Address: 550 Montclair Dr
(The location where the waste is generated)

Waste Name: Lattice

The Illinois Environmental Protection Act allows a Generator to certify that their pollution control waste or industrial process waste, is not an Illinois Special Waste (Section 3.45). By completing the following questionnaire, you may certify that the waste stream represented by the Waste Management Profile referenced above is not an Illinois Special Waste as defined in the Act.

Is the waste referenced above any of the following:
1. A Potentially Infectious Medical Waste (PIMW)? □ Yes ☑ No
2. A Hazardous Waste as defined in 40 CFR 261 or in 35 IAC 722.111? □ Yes ☑ No
3. A Liquid Waste (fails the paint filter test as defined in 35 IAC 811.107)? □ Yes ☑ No
4. A regulated PCB waste as defined in 40 CFR 761? □ Yes ☑ No
5. A NESHAP regulated asbestos waste other than waste from renovation or demolition? □ Yes ☑ No
6. A waste resulting from the shredding recyclable metals (auto fluff)? □ Yes ☑ No
7. A delisted Hazardous Waste or Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste, subject to LDR requirements under 35 IAC 728.107? □ Yes ☑ No

In determining that this waste is not a liquid, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: ☑ MSDS ☑ Analytical ☑ Other (explain below):

In determining that this waste is not RCRA hazardous, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: ☑ MSDS ☑ Analytical ☑ Other (explain below):

8. Is the waste represented by this profile sheet subject to the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act fee? ☑ Yes □ No

By signing below, I certify my waste is NOT an Illinois Special Waste, and that I understand that a person who knowingly and falsely certifies that a waste is not special waste is subject to the penalties set forth in subdivision (6) of subsection (h) of section 44 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

Name: (Print) F H Clarmett (Rf) Title: OWNER

Signature: F H Clarmett (Rf) Date: 26 Apr 12
April 30, 2012

TO:   David Barber, City of Peoria

CC:

FR:    Simon Alwan, P.E.

RE:    Peoria City/County Landfill #2
       Non-Special Waste - Request for Administrative Approval
       Profile No. 112650IL – F.H. Clairmont

Waste Management has presented the attached profile and requested administrative approval to allow the generator to dispose of the material.

The profile covers disposal of treated/weathered wood from F.H. Clairmont in Peoria that was generated from a construction project. The profile is considered non-special based on generator knowledge. We have no technical objection to the waste stream. Action is required.

This memo and your subsequent response will serve as the official administrative approval and will be forwarded to the Committee in this month’s packet.

Notes:
• Committee approval does not relieve the Generator and Landfill Operator from complying with all applicable laws and regulations
Simon:

I have no objection to this waste stream.

David Barber

>>> "Alwan, Simon J" <Simon.Alwan@Foth.com> 4/30/2012 11:15 AM >>>

Mr. Barber,

Attached is a request for administrative approval. Please review and respond. Thanks.

Simon Alwan, P.E.
Project Civil Engineer
Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC
2314 West Altorfer Drive
Peoria, IL 61615
Phone: (309) 683-1660 / Fax: (309) 691-1892
http://www.foth.com

IMPORTANT NOTICE
This communication including any attachments, (E-mail) is confidential and may be proprietary, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender, permanently delete this E-Mail from your system and destroy any copies. Any use of this E-Mail, including disclosure, distribution or replication, by someone other than its intended recipient is prohibited.

This E-Mail has the potential to have been altered or corrupted due to transmission or conversion. It may not
**Generator's Non-hazardous Waste Profile Sheet**

**Requested Disposal Facility:** Peoria City #2  
**Profile Number:** 112854IL

- [ ] Renewal for Profile Number:  
- [ ] Check here if there are multiple generating locations for this waste. Attach additional locations.

### A. Generator Facility Information (must reflect location of waste generation/origin)

1. **Generator Name:** Commonwealth Edison
2. **Site Address:** 13082 E Manito Road
3. **City/ZIP:** Pekin 61554
4. **State:** IL
5. **County:** Tazewell
6. **Contact Name/Title:** Sara Race/Env. Coordinator
7. **Email Address:** sara.race@comed.com
8. **Phone:** (630) 278-9389  
9. **FAX:**
10. **NAICS Code:**
11. **Generator USEPA ID #:**
12. **State ID# (if applicable):**

### B. Customer Information [same as above]

- **Customer Name:** ARCADIS
- **Billing Address:** 640 Plaza Drive, Suite 130
- **City, State and ZIP:** Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
- **Contact Name:** Wei-lin Feng
- **Contact Email:** wei-lin.feng@arcdis-us.com
- **Phone:** 847-649-2022  
- **FAX:** 847-695-7799
- **Transporter Name:** RW Collins, Inc.
- **Transporter ID # (if appl.):**
- **Transporter Address:** 7225 W 66th Street
- **City, State and ZIP:** Chicago, IL 60638

### C. Waste Stream Information

1. **DESCRIPTION**
   a. **Common Waste Name:** Non-hazardous soil
   b. **State Waste Code(s):**
   c. **Describe Process Generating Waste or Source of Contamination:**
   
   **Excavated soil from subsurface electrical improvements**

   - **Typical Color(s):** brown/gray
   - **Strong Odor?** ☐ Yes ☑ No
   - **Physical State at 70°F:** ☑ Solid
   - **Layers?** ☐ Single layer ☑ Multi-layer ☑ NA
   - **Water Reactive?** ☑ Yes ☐ No
   - **Free Liquid Range (%):** to ☑ NA(solid)
   - **pH Range:** to ☑ NA(solid)
   - **Liquid Flash Point:** ☑ < 140°F  ☛ 140°C - 199°F  ☑ ≥ 200°F
   - **Flammable Solid:** ☑ Yes ☐ No
   1. **Physical Constituents:** List all constituents of waste stream - (e.g. Soil 0-80%, Wood 0-20%): ☑ (See Attached)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents (Total Composition Must be ≥ 100%)</th>
<th>Lower Range</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Upper Range</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil (0-90%), gravel/concrete (5-15%), wood (0-5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **ESTIMATED QUANTITY OF WASTE AND SHIPPING INFORMATION**
   a. ☑ One Time Event ❌ Base ❌ Repeat Event
   b. **Estimated Annual Quantity:** 80 ☑ Tons ❌ Cubic Yards ❌ Drums ❌ Gallons ❌ Other (specify):
   c. **Shipping Frequency:** Units per ❌ Month ❌ Quarter ❌ Year ☑ One Time ❌ Other
   d. **Is this a U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Hazardous Material?** (If yes, answer e.) ☑ Yes ☑ No
   e. **USDOT Shipping Description (if applicable):**

3. **SAFETY REQUIREMENTS (Handling, PPE, etc.):** None
D. Regulatory Status (Please check appropriate responses)

1. Waste Identification:
   a. Does the waste meet the definition of a USEPA listed or characteristic hazardous waste as defined by 40 CFR Part 261?  □ Yes  ☑ No
      1. If yes, please complete a hazardous waste profile.
   b. Does the waste meet the definition of a state hazardous waste other than identified in D.1.a?  □ Yes  ☑ No
      1. If yes, please complete a hazardous waste profile.

2. Is this waste included in one or more of the categories below (Check all that apply)? If yes, attach supporting documentation.  □ Yes  ☑ No
   - Delisted Hazardous Waste
   - Excluded Wastes Under 40CFR 261.4
   - Treated Hazardous Waste Debris
   - Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste

3. Is the waste from a Federal (40 CFR 300, Appendix B) or state mandated clean-up? If yes, see instructions.  □ Yes  ☑ No

4. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain radioactive material?
   a. If yes, is disposal regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission?  □ Yes  ☑ No
   b. If yes, is disposal regulated by a State Agency for radioactive waste/NORM?  □ Yes  ☑ No

5. Does the waste represented by this waste profile sheet contain Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)?  □ Yes  ☑ No
   (If yes, list in Chemical Composition - C.1.1)
   a. If yes, are the PCBs regulated by 40 CFR 761?  □ Yes  ☑ No
   b. If yes, is remediation waste from a project being performed under the Self-Implementing option provided in 40 CFR 761.61(a)?  □ Yes  ☑ No
   c. If yes, were the PCBs imported into the US?  □ Yes  ☑ No

6. Does the waste contain untreated, regulated medical or infectious waste?  □ Yes  ☑ No

7. Does the waste contain asbestos?
   a. If Yes,  □ Friable  ☑ Non Friable

8. Is this profile for remediation waste from a facility that is a major source of Hazardous Air Pollutants (Site Remediation NESHAP, 40 CFR 63 subpart GGGGG)?  □ Yes  ☑ No
   a. If yes, does the waste contain <500 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination?  □ Yes  ☑ No

E. Generator Certification (Please read and certify by signature below)

By signing this Generator's Waste Profile Sheet, I hereby certify that all:

1. Information submitted in this profile and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of the waste material;

2. Relevant information within the possession of the Generator regarding known or suspected hazards pertaining to this waste has been disclosed to WM/the Contractor;

3. Analytical data attached pertaining to the profiled waste was derived from testing a representative sample in accordance with 40 CFR 261.20(c) or equivalent rules; and

4. Changes that occur in the character of the waste (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and disclosed to WM (and the Contractor if applicable) prior to providing the waste to WM (and the contractor if applicable).

5. Check all that apply:
   ☑ a. Attached analytical pertains to the waste. Identify laboratory & sample ID #’s and parameters tested:
      STAT Rpt 5/3/12; All Samples: PCB, Metal, PNA, VOC, pH # Pages: 10
   ☐ b. Only the analysis identified on the attachment pertain to the waste (identify laboratory & sample ID #’s and parameters tested). Attachment #:
   ☑ c. Additional information necessary to characterize the profiled waste has been attached (other than analytical, such as MSDS). Indicate the number of attached pages: 
   ☑ d. I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, and the delegation of authority to me from the Generator for this signature is available upon request.

Certification Signature: ____________________________  Title: Agent for Commonwealth Edison

Company Name: Commonwealth Edison  Name (Print): Wei-Lin Feng

Date: 5/3/2012

©2010 Waste Management, Inc.  Page 2 of 2  May 2010
Profile Addendum: State of Illinois
GENERATOR’S NON-SPECIAL WASTE CERTIFICATION

F. Additional Waste Stream Information

Profile Number: 112854IL

Generators Name: Commonwealth Edison Company

Generators SITE Address: 13082 E Manito Road, Pekin, IL
(The location where the waste is generated)

Waste Name: Non-hazardous soil

The Illinois Environmental Protection Act allows a Generator to certify that their pollution control waste or industrial process waste, is not an Illinois Special Waste (Section 3.45). By completing the following questionnaire, you may certify that the waste stream represented by the Waste Management Profile referenced above is not an Illinois Special Waste as defined in the Act.

Is the waste referenced above any of the following:
1. A Potentially Infectious Medical Waste (PIMW)?
2. A Hazardous Waste as defined in 40 CFR 261 or in 35 IAC 722.111?
3. A Liquid Waste (fails the paint filter test as defined in 35 IAC 811.107)?
4. A regulated PCB waste as defined in 40 CFR 761?
5. A NESHAP regulated asbestos waste other than waste from renovation or demolition?
6. A waste resulting from the shredding recyclable metals (auto fluff)?
7. A delisted Hazardous Waste or Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste, subject to LDR requirements under 35 IAC 728.107?

[ ] Yes [ ] No

In determining that this waste is not a liquid, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: [ ] MSDS [ ] Analytical [ ] Other (explain below):

In determining that this waste is not RCRA hazardous, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: [ ] MSDS [ ] Analytical [ ] Other (explain below):

8. Is the waste represented by this profile sheet subject to the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act fee? [ ] Yes [ ] No

By signing below, I certify my waste is NOT an Illinois Special Waste, and that I understand that a person who knowingly and falsely certifies that a waste is not special waste is subject to the penalties set forth in subdivision (6) of subsection (h) of section 44 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

Name: (Print) Wei-Lin Feng
Title: Agent for Commonwealth Edison
Signature: ________________________________
Date: 5/3/2012
May 4, 2012

TO: David Barber, City of Peoria

CC:

FR: Simon Alwan, P.E.

RE: Peoria City/County Landfill #2
    Non-Special Waste - Request for Administrative Approval
    Profile No. 112854IL – Commonwealth Edison

Waste Management has presented the attached profile and requested administrative approval to allow the generator to dispose of the material.

The profile covers disposal of non-hazardous soil from a ComEd substation in Pekin that was generated from a construction project. The profile is considered non-special based on analytical data. The analytical data showed no PCB’s were detected TCLP’s were either not detected or well below regulatory limits. We have no technical objection to the waste stream. Action is required.

This memo and your subsequent response will serve as the official administrative approval and will be forwarded to the Committee in this month’s packet.

Notes:

- Committee approval does not relieve the Generator and Landfill Operator from complying with all applicable laws and regulations

The information contained in this memorandum is considered privileged and confidential and is intended only for the use of recipients and Foth.

2314 West Altstifter Drive
Peoria, IL 61615
(309) 691-5300 • Fax: (309) 691-1892

X:\PE\E2012\12P001-00\5000 Client\sw05-2012\Administratively Approved\ComEDM-Barber-swAdminAppReq05-12_ComEd.doc
Alwan, Simon J

From: David Barber <DBarber@ci.peoria.il.us>
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 2:56 PM
To: Alwan, Simon J
Subject: Re: Special Waste Profile for Administrative Approval - 112854IL

Simon:

I Concur.

David Barber

>>> "Alwan, Simon J" <Simon.Alwan@Foth.com> 5/4/2012 1:09 PM >>>

Mr. Barber,

Attached is a request for administrative approval. Please review and respond. Thanks.

Simon Alwan, P.E.

Project Civil Engineer

Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC

2314 West Altorfer Drive

Peoria, IL 61615

Phone: (309) 683-1660 / Fax: (309) 691-1892

http://www.foth.com

IMPORTANT NOTICE
This communication including any attachments, (E-mail) is confidential and may be proprietary, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender, permanently delete this E-Mail from your system and destroy any copies. Any use of this E-Mail, including disclosure, distribution or replication, by someone other than its intended recipient is prohibited.

This E-Mail has the potential to have been altered or corrupted due to transmission or conversion. It may not
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Simon Alwan, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 16, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: Approval for David Barber’s Signature on the following Report:

- Significant Modification Permit Application for Assessment Monitoring at groundwater well R10S.

BACKGROUND: As part of the landfill permit, if a groundwater well has a confirmed increase from a resample, a permit application for significant modification must be submitted to the IEPA.

As discussed in the quarterly groundwater update, well R10S had a confirmed increase for dissolved chloride in the first quarter of 2012. This significant modification permit application will address the assessment monitoring that will begin in the second quarter of 2012. Mr. Barber’s signature is required on this application.

We currently do not expect any additional reports that will require Mr. Barber’s signature, however we respectfully request approval to obtain his signature should the need arise prior to the next Committee Meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Simon Alwan, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: April 18, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: Groundwater Well Map and Table for discussion.

BACKGROUND: Per the request of the Committee, the attached map and table illustrate the location and status of the groundwater wells at Landfill No.1.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: None.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WELL SITE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>START</th>
<th>END</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C65S</td>
<td>C66S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C62S</td>
<td>C63S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C64S</td>
<td>C65S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C66S</td>
<td>C67S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C68S</td>
<td>C69S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C70S</td>
<td>C71S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C72S</td>
<td>C73S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C74S</td>
<td>C75S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C76S</td>
<td>C77S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GROUNDWATER STATUS AS OF: 1/0 2012**

**Peoria City - County Landfill No. 1**

Report due to EPA 1/2/15/2012

Assessment Monitoring

Dissolved Chloride

1/0 2012

TBD

Report due to EPA 8/1/12

Assessment Monitoring

Dissolved Chloride

1/0 2011

TBD

Assessment Monitoring

Dissolved Chloride

2/0 2012

TBD

Assessment Monitoring

Dissolved Chloride

1/0 2011

TBD

Submit update to EPA/Agency response due 6/10/12

CONCERNED ACTION

C6-1:2-Dichloroethene Dissolved

1/0 2012

TBD

Submit update to EPA/Agency response due 6/10/12

CONCERNED ACTION

C6-1:2-Dichloroethene

1/0 2012

TBD

Submit update to EPA/Agency response due 6/10/12

CONCERNED ACTION

C6-1:2-Dichloroethene

1/0 2012

TBD

Submit update to EPA/Agency response due 6/10/12

CONCERNED ACTION

C6-1:2-Dichloroethene
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Patrick G. Sloan, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 16, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: Review WMI Requests.

BACKGROUND: The April 18, 2012 Memo from WMI contained several requests that were forwarded to Foth for evaluation. The requests are:

"1. A permit application to re-evaluate the intermediate cover HELP model, and to modify the permit based upon the new modeling results."

"2. We are amending our request from the February meeting regarding changes to the "Procedures for General Refuse and Special Waste Received at the City of Peoria/County of Peoria Landfill No. 2 Near Brimfield, Illinois". More specifically, we are restating our request to split this document into two; one addressing regulatory requirements and another addressing contract requirements. This will allow us to submit the regulatory document to IEPA as part of a pending permit application. This amended request clarifies that Waste Management will use internal staff/resources for this work rather than hiring Foth."

DISCUSSION:

1. We have not received the draft application from WMI, so we are not able to provide a review of the request to revise the intermediate cover.

2. The current waste acceptance document, "Procedures for General Refuse and Special Waste Received at the City of Peoria/County of Peoria Landfill No. 2 Near Brimfield, Illinois" (Waste Procedures) was first adopted in May 1994 for Landfill No. 1 operations. It was updated in August 1996 by the Committee when you obtained the Landfill No. 2 permit. The document was part of the bid package and specifications for Landfill No. 2. WMI was selected to be the contractor and the Landfill No. 2 Agreement was executed on December 26, 1996, making the Waste Procedures contract part of the Agreement. Since that time, the document have been modified six times. The last modification was on December 2011. The modifications have been initiated by either WMI (e.g. making the special waste plan the same as other WMI facilities), the Committee (e.g. prohibiting stabilized delisted electric arc furnace dust) or a change in the rules (e.g. items prohibited from landfilling).

The following discussion will address the WMI request from both the procedures and enforcement perspectives:

Plan Modifications
Proposed modifications to the Waste Procedures are brought to the committee for approval, and then forwarded to IEPA as a permit application. IEPA has to approve all changes. It is not known whether IEPA would approve the deletion of some portions of the Waste Procedures document, if WMI’s proposal is accepted. At a March 19, 2012 meeting with IEPA, we asked their opinion of having two separate Waste Procedures documents, and their initial response was not positive. IEPA indicated that they would like to have all the facility requirements identified in one plan. The Committee also benefits from the current approach by having the Committee’s requirements reviewed
and approved by IEPA. A potential problem with having two plans is there is an increased likelihood that something will be missed. Finally, there is the potential that the two plans could cause a conflict in some situations.

Enforcement
IEPA enforces the permit and regulations, including Waste Procedures. The entire waste procedure process, including Committee requirements, is part of the permit and enforced by IEPA. The Committee also has the ability to conduct audits of the procedures. As an ongoing effort you’ve hire Foth to “look over WMI’s shoulder” and bring potential non-compliance issues to the attention of the Committee and WMI when we observe. An organized audit is carried out one to two times a year for the Gate Procedures, which are included in the Waste Procedures. If the plan was split as proposed, and IEPA approved, IEPA would not be enforcing the Committee’s requirements. Your consultant would be the only entity verifying that Committee requirements are being met.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. The proposed intermediate cover application has not been received. Therefore we recommend deferral.
2. We recommend denying the request to split the Waste Procedures document, because the Committee will lose the current practice of IEPA approving all changes, even when they are more stringent or outside the purview of the Agency, and the oversight of Committee-only requirements will be lessened.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: None.
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Steve Matheny Landfill Sales Waste Management

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 16, 2012

ACTION REQUESTED: RECEIVE AND FILE MONTHLY REPORTS

BACKGROUND: Attached are the monthly summary report, profiled waste log, and year-over-year comparison chart for April 2012.

1. Waste Management respectfully requests Mr. Barber's signature on a IEPA permit application forms for a groundwater well spacing analysis required by permit condition VIII.23, subject to review and approval in advance by Foth
2. Waste Management does not anticipate any other permit requests but respectfully asks the committee to allow administrative approval of permits for signature by Dave Barber after review by Foth if permits are required prior to the May meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: NA
**Peoria City/County Landfill No. 2**  
Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.  
Monthly Activity Report  
April 2012

### Tonnage: General Refuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haulers</td>
<td>13,899.94</td>
<td>52,532.38</td>
<td>53,358.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Res. Free Loads</td>
<td>125.53</td>
<td>557.44</td>
<td>632.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Res. $5 Loads</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>5.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadside</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,028.75</strong></td>
<td><strong>53,104.64</strong></td>
<td><strong>53,997.98</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Wastes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial (Declassified)</td>
<td>1,095.99</td>
<td>4,881.23</td>
<td>4,765.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial (Exempt)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,095.99</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,881.23</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,765.85</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL LANDFILL RECEIPTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yard Waste Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Contract -</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other</td>
<td>212.53</td>
<td>226.42</td>
<td>183.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>221.69</strong></td>
<td><strong>235.56</strong></td>
<td><strong>183.54</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Payments: Payable to City/County Committee

**General Refuse**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13,899.94</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>$20,649.46</td>
<td>$75,798.57</td>
<td>$80,037.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Waste - Ind.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,095.99</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>$1,643.99</td>
<td>$7,221.85</td>
<td>$7,148.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>22,493.45</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>86,120.42</strong></td>
<td><strong>87,189.23</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Payable to County

**General Refuse**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13,899.94</td>
<td>$1.27</td>
<td>$17,652.54</td>
<td>$76,716.12</td>
<td>$79,765.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Waste - Ind.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,095.99</td>
<td>$1.27</td>
<td>$1,391.91</td>
<td>$6,199.16</td>
<td>$6,026.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>19,044.45</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>72,915.28</strong></td>
<td><strong>75,817.07</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Payable to/Receiveable From County

**$5 Loads**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Loads</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>$340.00</td>
<td>186.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Less:**  
State Fee on Free and $5 Loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128.74</td>
<td>$2.22</td>
<td>($285.80)</td>
<td>($1,255.94)</td>
<td>($1,416.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($110.80)</td>
<td></td>
<td>($915.94)</td>
<td>($1,231.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Payable to City/County Committee

**No Tariff Fee**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Loads</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tonnage: General Refuse & Special Waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In county</td>
<td>8,347.84</td>
<td>55.19%</td>
<td>32,346.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of county</td>
<td>6,775.90</td>
<td>44.81%</td>
<td>25,639.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,124.74</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>58,085.87</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Airspace Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tons</th>
<th>Used</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57,946</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>63,837</td>
<td>617,900CY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*calculated annual average that assures life from the 2011 airspace analysis*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile Name</th>
<th>PMT Name</th>
<th>Generator</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>2012 YTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>113628IL</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>ABERCROMBIE, TODD</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113620IL</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>AMEREN</td>
<td>28.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM010289</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>ARTCO</td>
<td>123.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5084</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CANTON, CITY OF</td>
<td>160.13</td>
<td>758.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB001522</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>CATERPILLAR -TECH CENTER</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS192</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>CROMPTON CORP</td>
<td>76.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS187</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>DEGUSSA</td>
<td>36.79</td>
<td>129.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110631IL</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>DORING RON</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM284629</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>EAST PEORIA, CITY OF</td>
<td>178.79</td>
<td>799.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR 4040</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>EVONIK GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>639.2</td>
<td>2,509.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZWMI452972</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>26.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010151</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010153</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>83.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010154</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>57.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10155</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010156</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>GOLDSCHMIDT</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>62.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112633IL</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>HICKSGAS</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF1806</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>KRESS CORPORATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113864IL</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>MIDWEST GENERATION PEKIN</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>11.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB25605</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>M &amp; O Environmental Comp.</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>11.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB25606</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>M &amp; O Environmental Comp.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB25607</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>M &amp; O Environmental Comp.</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>66.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB25608</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>M &amp; O Environmental Comp.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112750IL</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>OSMOSE RAILROAD SERVICES</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZW452675</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>PARSONS</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>18.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113810IL</td>
<td>ACM</td>
<td>PEKIN HIGH SCHOOL, FORMER</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>42.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112509IL</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>PEORIA BARGE</td>
<td>40.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133233IL</td>
<td>Sp. Waste</td>
<td>PEORIA CITY COUNTY LANDFILL</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,095.99</td>
<td>4,881.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSW</td>
<td>53,998</td>
<td>53,105</td>
<td>4,766</td>
<td>4,881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Waste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Landfill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yardwaste Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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