DATES SET:

WEDNESDAY, June 21, 2017 @ 3:00 P.m.  
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at City Hall419 Fulton Street - Room 404, Peoria Illinois 61602.

WEDNESDAY, July 19, 2017 @ 3:00 p.m.  
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at City Hall419 Fulton Street - Room 404, Peoria Illinois 61602.

WEDNESDAY, August 16, 2017 @ 3:00 p.m.  
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at City Hall419 Fulton Street - Room 404, Peoria Illinois 61602.

---

To access electronic Agenda & Minutes (only):
1. www.peoriagov.org/boards-commissions/
2. Choose Solid Waste Disposal Committee (Landfill)
3. Scroll to the bottom of the screen. Under "Agenda & Minutes" will be a list of the .pdf postings.
4. Select desired document and double click to open.

*CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA SHOULD CONTACT A COMMITTEE MEMBER PRIOR TO THE MEETING. ALL OTHER PUBLIC INPUT WILL BE HEARD UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING.

NOTE: THE ORDER IN WHICH AGENDA ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED MAY BE MOVED FORWARD OR DELAYED BY AT LEAST 2/3 VOTE OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT.

THE PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE MEETS IN REGULAR BUSINESS SESSIONS THE THIRD WEDNESDAY OF THE MONTH (JANUARY THROUGH NOVEMBER) AT 3:00 P.M. AT CITY HALL, 419 Fulton Street - Room 404, PEORIA, ILLINOIS.

DURING THE MONTH OF DECEMBER, PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE WILL NOT MEET UNLESS A SPECIAL MEETING IS CALLED. NOTICES OF ANY SPECIAL MEETING ARE POSTED AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR.
Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Meeting  
Dries Lane Facility Conference Room  

May 17, 2017 @ 3:00 P.M.  

Attendance  

Announcements, etc.  

Citizens’ Opportunity to Address the Committee  

Minutes  

Request for Approval of the Peoria City/County Landfill Minutes  
Dated: Wednesday, April 19, 2017  

Agenda Items  

Item No. 1  Report from Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC  
A. Special Waste Approvals as Needed  
B. Permit Approvals as Needed  
C. 1st Quarter 2017 Groundwater Update  
D. Updates Regarding Compliance Activities, Measures & Progress  

Item No. 2  Request to Approve the Extension of the Engineering Services Agreement with Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC  

Item No. 3  Landfill Committee’s Monthly Financial Reports  

Item No. 4  Report from Waste Management  
A. Monthly Activity Report  
B. Permit Approvals as Needed  

Item No. 5  Report from Peoria Disposal Co.  
A. Update on Next Steps with Dewberry Regarding the HCM Facility  
B. Update on Illinois Senate Bill 1561  

Unfinished Business  

Item No. 1  Update regarding the Landfill Fund Balance Reserve Policy  

Item No. 2  Update regarding the Disposal Policy for PCCL #2
NEW BUSINESS

**NEXT MEETING**

JUNE 21, 2017 @ 3:00 P.M.
CITY HALL – 419 FULTON STREET, ROOM 404

EXECUTIVE SESSION

ADJOURNMENT
Peoria, Illinois, **April 19, 2017**, a Regular Meeting of the Peoria City/County Landfill Committee was held this date at 3:00 p.m., at City Hall, (Room 404), 419 Fulton Street with Chairman Morris presiding, and with proper notice having been posted.

**ATTENDANCE**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Lester Bergsten, Rick Fox, Steve Morris, Patrick Nichting, Tim Riggenbach, Sharon Williams and Steve Van Winkle – 7.

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** None.

**CITY/COUNTY STAFF PRESENT:** Benedict Ciravolo, Janice Little, Karen Raithel, Scott Reeise and Stephanie Stapleton.

**OTHERS PRESENT:** Joyce Blumenshine, Chris Coulter, Josh Gabehart, Steve Harenburg, Brian Rogers and Brian Williams.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

At this time, there were no announcements.

**CITIZENS OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE**

Chairman Morris opened the floor to any citizens who wished to address the Landfill Committee members. No one came forward to address the Committee.

Ms. Joyce Blumenshine, Heart of Illinois Sierra Club, expressed concerns regarding Senate Bill 1561 as it pertained to the environment. She noted that the synopsis was alarming for individuals who are concerned about public process and the future control of the Landfill. She hoped that the proposed wording would be updated, since the session will close in May.

**MINUTES**

Mr. Van Winkle moved to approve the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Peoria City/County Landfill Committee held on April 19, 2017, as printed; seconded by Mr. Riggenbach.

The minutes were approved by viva voce vote.

**AGENDA ITEMS**

**ITEM NO. 1:** REPORT FROM FOTH INFRASTRUCTURE & ENVIRONMENT, LLC

(A) SPECIAL WASTE APPROVALS AS NEEDED
Mr. Gabehart stated that there were three (3) pre-approved non-special waste profiles. Items were pre-approved per the Treated Wood-Weathered Policy and the Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) Policy. Based on the information provided, he said that Foth had no technical objections for the acceptance of waste these streams.

- Jerime Gendron, Washington, IL, Profile #617033IL, Treated Wood-Weathered Policy, 18 yards, one-time
- Sawyer Landscaping, Metamora, IL, Profile #617155IL, Treated Wood-Weathered Policy, 20 yards, one-time
- William Bridges, Dunlap, IL, Profile #617131IL, ACM Policy, 3 tons, one-time

No action required.

(B) PERMIT APPROVALS AS NEEDED

- PCC LF 1 – ALTERNATE SOURCE INVESTIGATION FOR DISSOLVED SULFATE AT MONITORING WELL G06S
- PCC LF 1 – ANNUAL REPORT FOR LANDFILL NO. 1

Mr. Gabehart stated that an observed increase for the dissolved sulfate concentration at Monitoring Well G06S occurred during the 4th quarter 2016 event and was confirmed in the 1st quarter 2017. He noted that an alternate source investigation for the dissolved sulfate concentration at G06S would be conducted. He stated that the annual report included the monitoring data for the calendar year, statistical summaries and analysis of trend; changes to the monitoring program, error analysis, detection limits and observed trends, proposed activities and any modification or significant modification that would affect the operation of the facility.

Due to Ameren’s transformer issues, Mr. Gabehart stated that the flare at PCC LF 1 was shut down for 19 hours and 12 minutes on April 3rd. He informed the Committee that there was another shutdown a week later because of the blower motor. He said the motor was replaced. Since the shutdown lasted longer than an hour, a 405-CAAPP form required Chairman Morris’ signature and subsequent submittal to the IEPA.

In discussion with Mr. Fox regarding the Monitoring Well G06S, Mr. Gabehart explained that this well was located in the eastern portion of the landfill. He said that additional testing would be done to determine if the source of the sulfate was landfill related.

Mr. Gabehart stated he did not anticipate any other reports that would require Chairman Morris’ signature, but he respectfully requested approval to obtain Chairman Morris’ signature, should the need arise prior to the next Committee meeting.

Mr. Van Winkle requested a brief update regarding the higher than normal landfill lake levels.

Mr. Gabehart pointed out that there had been a significant amount of rain this Spring. He stated that he received a call from a resident that indicated that the water was higher than it had ever been. He explained that Foth and WM responded accordingly to the phone calls and investigated that site. He noted that the water was historically higher than it had been in the past. After exploring the spillway, it was determined that the pipe likely had a partial blockage slowing the flow. He noted that WM had been monitoring the area and planned to block the spillway entrance to clean out the potential blockage, which should lower the lake level back to its normal operating state. He noted that a property owner had blocked the culvert with a board to prevent carp from entering the culvert. He explained that WM may install a grate in front of the culvert to fix that particular issue.

Mr. Rogers indicated that he had visited the site and noted that there was some blockage. He explained that a camera system would be used by Fusion Solutions throughout the bend in the pipe. Once the blockage has been identified then WM would proceed with cleaning out the pipe.

Mr. Van Winkle requested that the property owners be contacted when the problem was resolved.

Mr. Gabehart stated that further discussions would be held with WM regarding the road.
Chairman Morris stated that he felt the road was eroding.

Mr. Riggenbach moved to secure Chairman Morris’ signature on additional permits, should the need arise; seconded by Mr. Van Winkle.

Motion was approved by viva voce vote.

(C) **Updates Regarding Compliance Activities, Measures & Progress**

**Financial Information**

Mr. Gabehart outlined the engineering services provided from July 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017. He stated that the total amount billed to date was $222,618.01.

**Updates Regarding Compliance Activities, Measures and Progress**

Mr. Gabehart gave a brief update on Compliance Activities, Measures and Progress at the Landfill. He noted that there was one unscheduled shutdown for 7 minutes on March 7th. He suspected that the shutdown was due to severe thunderstorms in the area and loss of electricity to the flare.

Mr. Gabehart stated that 10,000 gallons of leachate/condensate transported to the Greater Peoria Sanitary District during the month of March. Liquid was removed from various sumps and wells which was discharged to the recirculation system in the western composite lined area of Landfill No. 1, he said. Due to extended periods of cloudy/rainy weather at the end of March, he said less solar pumping occurred. He noted that a replacement solar powered pump for the east sump was installed last month and was operating as planned. He explained that the replacement pump continued to aide in maintaining landfill gas flow from the western portion of the landfill. He explained that the pump had to be removed once in March, due to a decrease in pumping capacity. He further explained that the HDPE fusion shaving worked its way through the pump screen causing interference with the check ball.

Mr. Gabehart stated one (H-4) of the 69 landfill gas wells exhibited positive pressure during the March monitoring period. He noted that the temporary landfill gas collection line installed for remediation of the positive pressure gas wells of R-5, T-2 and T-3 continued to extract landfill gas. He explained that this area had been identified for additional planned improvements that would be implemented in 2017.

Further, he said the first quarter surface scan was completed late March with no exceedances of the 500 ppm methane threshold.

He stated that liquid management continued with manual pumping to maintain landfill gas flow to the flare during the winter months. He stated that the average landfill gas flow remained near 300 scfm and methane content had increased to about 45%.

The Committee thanked Foth for their report.

No action required.

**ITEM NO. 2: Consideration of the Extension of the Engineering Services Agreement with Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC**

Public Works Director Reeise gave a brief overview of the Performance Metrics. During the contract period, the Performance Metrics was used as a mechanism to evaluate Foth’s performance. He pointed out that Foth performed adequately and had met the expectations in the components that were mutually agreed upon in the performance categories; therefore, he
recommended that the Committee enter into negotiations with Foth Infrastructure. He stated that the current contract period was July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 and the new term would start on **July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018**.

In discussion with Chairman Morris, Director Reeise stated that he would negotiate the terms and cost with Foth and submit the Agreement to the Committee for final approval at the next scheduled meeting.

Mr. Fox noted that he was confident with the level of skills that Foth provided to assist the Committee. There being no further discussion, the Committee concurred to direct staff to enter into negotiations with Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC to provide engineering services from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018.

**ITEM NO. 3  LANDFILL MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Public Works Director Reeise gave a brief overview of the financial transactions that occurred from January through March 2017 along with the quarterly report, and the related chart. He stated that the columns showed the prior year’s actual financial performance and the project monthly budget for easy comparison to the monthly actual for the reporting periods. He stated that the financials that occurred during the 1st quarter 2017, netted excess revenues of $12,071 over expenses at the end of the quarter. He explained that the expenses were steady; however, the revenues are coming in less than the 200,000 tons as projected. He said that the current projection was approximately 85%. He noted that he would continue to work with Foth to monitor the tonnage for the next two months. If there were no changes, then he would provide some additional recommendations to balance the budget. In order to balance the budget, he said that approximately $50,000 would need to be cut. He explained that $100,000 had been set aside for a capital project; however, he said that he would review further to determine if cost can be reduced for the project.

In discussion with Mr. Bergsten regarding the year-to-date beginning cash balances for 2017, Ms. Little explained that the ending balance for 2016 were accrued amounts. Due to outstanding invoices, she said the actual cash balance was higher; however, the paid invoices have posted which was reflected in the current balance. She explained that the expenses in question were payables for Foth Infrastructure. She said the bank statement is a cash statement and the financials are reported on as modified accrual basis. She noted that due to the new financial system, payable payments are behind 30-60 days, she said.

In discussion with Mr. Bergsten, Director Reeise reiterated that the bottom line reflects the bank’s balance; however, we were delayed on processing invoices and the invoices for approximately $40,000 hit the bank, but accrued on the financial statement.

Mr. Bergsten requested a copy of the invoices.

Mr. Fox stated that he felt something was missing from the financial report to clarify the totals in the monthly report.

Director Reeise stated that staff would work on revising the report for the next scheduled meeting.

No action required.

**ITEM NO. 4: REQUEST TO APPROVE THE FOLLOWING LEASES**

- **THE LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR THE GRAZING LEASE WITH PHIL ENHLE**
- **THE LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR THE FARMING LEASE WITH BEECHER FARMS**

Mr. Van Winkle moved to approve the Grazing Lease with Phil Enhle and the Farming Lease with Beecher Farms; seconded by Mr. Fox.

Chairman Morris noted that legal counsel for the Committee had reviewed the agreements and discussed the increases with each leasee.
Mr. Bergsten suggested that the Committee compare pasture leases with other areas. He stated that he felt the current price was low and should be reviewed prior to the expiration dates of these agreements.

In discussion with Mr. Fox regarding the time frame for approvals, Director Reeise stated that these leases would be placed on the Agenda for October 2017 to allow the Committee ample time to discuss pricing and to compare pricing with other areas.

Motion to approve the Grazing Lease with Phil Enhle and the Farming Lease with Beecher Farms was approved by viva voce vote.

ITEM NO. 5  REPORT FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.

- Monthly Activity Report

Mr. Rogers gave a brief overview of the monthly activity report through March 2017. He said that all weekly random load checks were completed and documented with no issues to report. He stated that a confirmed exceedance of dissolved chloride was observed at upgradient well G105 during the 1st quarter 2017 event. He explained that the exceedance was not from the landfill, but due to natural groundwater conditions as the IEPA had already approved of the calculation of a revised chloride interwell statistical value. He further explained that an application to demonstrate that the chloride was due to an alternate source other than the landfill was due to the IEPA by May 14, 2017. He requested Chairman Morris’ signature on the application forms subject to review and approval by Foth.

Mr. Rogers stated that he did not anticipate any other reports that would require Chairman Morris’ signature, but that he respectfully requested approval to obtain Chairman Morris’ signature, should the need arise prior to the next Committee meeting, subject to review and approval by Foth.

Mr. Riggenbach moved to secure Chairman Morris’ signature for the application due to the IEPA by May 14, 2017, including securing Chairman Morris’ signature for permits, subject to review and approval in advance by Foth; seconded by Mr. Bergsten.

Motion was approved by viva voce vote.

ITEM NO. 6:  REPORT FROM PEORIA DISPOSAL

(A) REQUEST TO APPROVE THE 2016 ANNUAL FACILITY REPORT FOR SUBMITTAL TO IEPA

Mr. Coulter gave a brief update regarding the 2016 Annual Facility Report. He explained that it was a requirement for PCCLI to finalize an annual report with the IEPA for Landfill No. 3. He said the report needed to be filed by the end of April 2017.

Mr. Fox moved to approve the draft submittal to the IEPA; seconded by Mr. Bergsten.

Motion was approved by viva voce vote.

(B) UPDATE ON ARCHITECT SECTION TO DESIGN THE HCM FACILITY

Mr. Coulter stated that Dewberry Architecture was selected to design the Household Chemical Materials Facility. He stated that he would be visiting Scott County in May to see their Household Hazardous Waste Facilities. He noted that the Committee would have to approve the final design of the HCM Facility.

(C) UPDATE ON ILLINOIS SENATE BILL 1561
Mr. Coulter distributed several copies (Amendment to Senate Bill 1561, Landfill Agreement and First Amendment to Landfill Agreement. He stated that he felt that this Senate Bill would not have any impact on PDC operations in the State of Illinois. He provided some additional background information that pertained to Cook County’s ordinance to regulate pollution control. He noted that this was the first time that a County had taken steps to regulate pollution control, which had always been the role of the IEPA. He said the purpose of Senate Bill 1561 was generated because of Cook County’s stance to regulate pollution control. He said the industry would prefer to deal with one regulatory body when it pertains to the inspections of pollution control facilities; however, in Cook County transfer stations are inspected. Fees could also be imposed on the industry, he said.

Mr. Coulter discussed the e-mail that was distributed. He stated that the objective was to prohibit Cook County-type ordinances from being adopted throughout the State without impacting, one way or another, whatever authority exists today with respect to county plans. He noted the proposed changes and indicated that they had met with Senator David Koehler to discuss this matter further. He noted that there was some concerns that recycling centers could be impacted.

Mr. Coulter gave a brief overview of the Landfill Agreement and the First Amendment to the Landfill Agreement.

Mr. Sorrell stated that the County did not support the original Bill and noted that collaborative efforts were put forth to prepare the amendments that had been put forth. He indicated that they were in agreement and would wait for a response.

No action required.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

**ITEM NO. 1 UPDATE REGARDING THE FUND BALANCE RESERVE POLICY**

Director Reese stated that a meeting was held with the sub-committee to discuss the policy. He stated that some guidelines were developed and to formalize a policy to present to the Committee. He identified the following objectives:

1. Keep a cash fund balance of 25% (3 months operating income) at all times.
2. Create a perpetual care fund (this is what private landfills must do) and when developing the landfill budget each year set aside a yet to be defined % to reach the appropriate fund balance which as of June 2016 would be $1.8 Million
3. Create a Capital Fund similar to the perpetual care fund where a certain % of the landfill budget each year is placed into so that as projects come up or other capital opportunities arise funds can be used from the Capital Fund versus the yearly budget.

In discussion with Chairman Morris, Director Reese stated that a draft of a formal policy would be submitted to the Committee for review and feedback. After the proposed policy had been reviewed by the Committee, he said he would meet with the subcommittee to finalize comments and complete the policy. Following the Committee’s approval, he stated the policy would then be presented to both governing bodies for their approval.

**ITEM NO. 2 DISCUSSION OF DISPOSAL RATES**

Chairman Morris questioned if additional complaints had been received. He indicated that he had not received any complaints.

Mr. Rogers stated that he was at the site today with Sarah to observe the process. Previously, at the April meeting suggestions were given by the Committee to identify the abusers. He pointed out that he didn’t want to burden Sarah with additional task. He stated that license plates are recorded for the free load program. He hoped that the software used by WM called Fastlane would be able to quickly sort the information in a timely manner while the load was being processed to determine if the individual was abusing the program. Once the data has been compiled and presented to the Committee, then he’d asked that the Committee would provide some direction prevent future abuse and or modify the program.
In discussion with Mr. Nichting, Mr. Rogers indicated that approximately 14,000 trucks utilize the free program annually. Mr. Nichting; therefore, this would help identify the abuser.

Mr. Nichting stated that he felt that it would be premature to change the policy until adequate data was received to substantiate potential changes to the policy. He reiterated that 14,440 trucks were received in 2016, which 7,014 were from the City of Peoria and the remaining trucks were from the County.

Chairman Morris questioned if a spreadsheet could be provided outlining specific parameters to help determine and identify the abusers.

Mr. Rogers stated that WM would work to gather the data and provide the information in the format requested.

**NEW BUSINESS**

NONE.

**NEXT MEETING**

The next scheduled meeting will be held on **Wednesday, May 17th at City Hall, 419 Fulton Street (Room 404)**.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Requesting Approval of a Motion for the Peoria City/County Landfill Committee go into EXECUTIVE SESSION to Discuss 2(c)(11) Litigation, when an action against, affecting, or on behalf of the particular public body has been filed and is pending before a court or administrative tribunal, or when the public body finds that such an action is probable or imminent.

Mr. Ciravolo stated that an Executive Session was not needed at this time.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further discussion, the Chairman declared the meeting adjourned.

The regular Landfill Committee meeting adjourned at 4:20 P.M.

______________________________  
Stephen Morris, Chairman  
/ss
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Joshua Gabehart, P.E., Mark Williams, Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 17, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Receive and file five (5) pre-approved non-special waste profiles.

BACKGROUND: Two waste profiles 617181IL (Iowa Interstate Railroad) and 617264IL (Miller Excavating) were pre-approved per the Treated Wood –Weathered Policy. Profile 617268IL (Miller) and Profile 617300IL (Ingersoll Middle School) were pre-approved per the Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) Policy. Profile 105627IL (Praxair, Inc.) is a five (5) year renewal of an existing approved profile.

A memorandum is attached, which reviews the profiles and includes information pertaining to each profile.

Based on the information provided, Foth has no technical objections for the acceptance of waste streams listed above.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A
MEMORANDUM

TO: Joint City of Peoria - County of Peoria
    Solid Waste Disposal Facility Board

FROM: Mark Williams

SUBJECT: Special Waste Permits

Waste Management has presented the following waste streams.

**Pre-Approved Waste Streams (No Action is Required. For Information Only)**

- Iowa Interstate Railroad, Sparland, IL, Profile 617181IL, Treated Wood - Weathered Policy, 600 railroad ties, one-time
- Miller, Excavating, Savanna, IL, Profile 617264IL, Treated Wood-Weathered Policy, 20 yards, one-time
- Miller, Chillicothe, IL, Profile 617268IL, ACM Policy, 6 yard, one-time
- Ingersoll Middle School, Canton, IL Profile 617300IL, ACM Policy, 60 yards, one-time
- Praxair Inc, Pekin, IL, Profile 1056271IL, Renewal, Selexsorb CD, 3 tons, repeat

The profiles are attached.

Committee approval does not relieve the Generator and Landfill Operator from complying with all applicable laws and regulations.
A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)
1. Generator Name: Iowa Interstate Railroad, Ltd.
2. Site Address: State Rt 29, Mile Post 138
   (City, State, ZIP) Sparland IL 61565
3. County: Marshall
4. Contact Name: Jeremiah Berhenke
5. Email: jiberhenke@iaisrr.com
6. Phone: (319) 430-1346
7. Fax: 617181IL
8. Generator EPA ID: N/A
9. State ID: N/A

B. BILLING INFORMATION
1. Billing Name: Iowa Interstate Railroad, Ltd.
2. Billing Address: 5900 6th Street SW
   (City, State, ZIP) Cedar Rapids IA 52404
3. Contact Name: Jeremiah Berhenke
4. Email: jiberhenke@iaisrr.com
5. Phone: (319) 430-1346
6. Fax: 617181IL
7. WM Hauled?: Yes  No
8. P.O. Number: N/A
9. Payment Method: Credit Account  Cash  Credit Card

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
1. Common Name: Treated Wood - Weathered
   Demolition/dismantling uncontaminated, weathered wood products with preservatives that are not RCRA Exempt (e.g. creosote, pentachlorophenol).
2. Material Composition and Contaminants: N/A
3. State Waste Codes: N/A
4. Color: Various
5. Physical State at 70°F: Solid
6. Free Liquid Range Percentage: 0 to 100%
7. pH: N/A
8. Strong Odor: Yes  No
9. Flash Point: <140°F 140°-199°F ≥200°  N/A

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
1. EPA Hazardous Waste? Yes*  No
2. State Hazardous Waste? Yes  No
3. Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion? Yes*  No
4. Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents? Yes*  No
5. From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP? Yes*  No
6. Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGG? Yes*  No
7. CERCLA or State-mandated clean-up? Yes*  No
8. NRC or State-regulated radioactive or NORM waste? Yes*  No
9. Contains PCBs? Yes  No
   a. Regulated by 40 CFR 761? Yes  No
   b. Remediation under 40 CFR 761.61 (a)? Yes  No
   c. Were PCB imported into the US? Yes  No
10. Regulated and/or Untreated Medical/Infectious Waste? Yes  No
11. Contains Asbestos? Yes  No
    → If Yes: Non-Friable  Non-Friable – Regulated  Friable

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION
1. Analytical attached: Yes
   Please identify applicable samples and/or lab reports:
   
2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION
1. Repeat Event/Ongoing Business: Yes  No
2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 600
   Tons  Yards  Drums  Gallons  Other: N/A
3. Container Type and Size: short dump semi, tandem dump trucks
4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name: N/A

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)
By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Nick Klein
Title: President
Company: Nick Klein Trucking & Excavating, Inc.

Certification Signature:

Revised June 30, 2015
©2015 Waste Management
A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)
1. Generator Name: Miller Excavating, Inc.
2. Site Address: 9317 C IL Rt. 84
   (City, State, ZIP) Savanna IL 61074
3. County: Carroll
4. Contact Name: Laura Speer
5. Email: lmarx.millerexc@yahoo.com
6. Phone: (815) 273-2123 7. Fax: (815) 273-7240
8. Generator EPA ID: 617264IL
9. State ID: N/A
10. Requested Facility: Peoria City - County Landfill, Prairie Hill Landfill

B. BILLING INFORMATION
1. Billing Name: Miller Excavating, Inc.
2. Billing Address: 9317 C IL Rt. 84
   (City, State, ZIP) Savanna IL 61074
3. Contact Name: Laura Speer
4. Email: lmarx.millerexc@yahoo.com
5. Phone: (815) 273-2123 6. Fax: (815) 273-7240
7. WM Hauled? Yes No
8. P.O. Number: 9. Payment Method: Credit Account Cash Credit Card

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
1. Common Name: RCRA Exempt Treated Wood
2. Material Composition and Contaminants: See Attached
3. Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%
4. Color: Various
5. Physical State at 70°F: Solid Liquid Other:
6. Free Liquid Range Percentage: _________ to _________ N/A
7. pH: ___________ to _______ N/A
8. Strong Odor: Yes No Describe: ________________________________
9. Flash Point: <140°F 140°F–199°F ≥200° N/A

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
1. EPA Hazardous Waste? Yes No
2. State Hazardous Waste? Yes No
3. Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion? Yes No
4. Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents? Yes No
5. From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP? Yes No
6. Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGGG? Yes No
7. CERCLA or State–mandated clean-up? Yes No
8. NRC or State–regulated radioactive or NORM waste? Yes No
10. Regulated and/or Untreated Medical/Infectious Waste? Yes No
11. Contains Asbestos? Yes No

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION
1. Analytical attached Yes
2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION
1. One–Time Event Repeat Event/Ongoing Business
2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 20 Tons Yards Drums Gallons Other:
3. Container Type and Size: 20 yrd dump box
4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name: N/A

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)
By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Laura Speer Date: 04/27/2017
Title: Office Manager
Company: Miller Excavating, Inc.

Certification Signature: [Signature]

QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

REVISED: June 30, 2015
©2015 Waste Management
C. MATERIAL INFORMATION

Describe Process Generating Material (Continued from page 1):

If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

Material Composition and Contaminants (Continued from page 1):

If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

5. 
6. 
7. 
8. 
9. 

Total composition must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION

Only questions with a “Yes” response in Section D on the EZ Profile™ form (page 1) need to be answered here.

1. EPA Hazardous Waste
   a. Please list all USEPA listed and characteristic waste code numbers:

   b. Is the material subject to the Alternative Debris standards (40 CFR 268.45)?
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

   c. Is the material subject to the Alternative Soil standards (40 CFR 268.49)? ➔ If Yes, complete question 4.
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

   d. Is the material exempt from Subpart CC Controls (40 CFR 264.1083)?
      ➔ If Yes, please check one of the following:
      ❑ Waste meets LDR or treatment exemptions for organics (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(2) or (c)(4))
      ❑ Waste contains VOCs that average <500 ppmw (CFR 264.1082(c)(1)) – will require annual update.

2. State Hazardous Waste ➔ Please list all state waste codes:

3. For material that is Treated, Delisted, or Excluded ➔ Please indicate the category, below:
   ❑ Treated Hazardous Waste Debris ❑ Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste ➔ If checked, complete question 4.

4. Underlying Hazardous Constituents ➔ Please list all Underlying Hazardous Constituents:

5. Industries regulated under Benzene NESHAP include petroleum refineries, chemical manufacturing plants, coke by-product recovery plants, and TSDFs.
   a. Are you a TSDF? ➔ If yes, please complete Benzene NESHAP questionnaire. If not, continue.
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

   b. Does this material contain benzene?
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

   1. If yes, what is the flow weighted average concentration? ppmw

   c. What is your facility’s current total annual benzene quantity in Megagrams? Mg
      ❑ <1 Mg ❑ 1–9.99 Mg ❑ ≥10 Mg

   d. Is this waste soil from a remediation?
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

   1. If yes, what is the benzene concentration in remediation waste? ppmw

   e. Does the waste contain >10% water/moisture?
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

   f. Has material been treated to remove 99% of the benzene or to achieve <10 ppmw?
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

   g. Is material exempt from controls in accordance with 40 CFR 61.342?
      ➔ If yes, specify exemption:

   h. Based on your knowledge of your waste and the BWON regulations, do you believe that this waste stream is subject to treatment and control requirements at an off-site TSDF?
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

6. 40 CFR 63 GGGGG ➔ Does the material contain <500 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination?
      ❑ Yes ❑ No

7. CERCLA or State-Mandated clean up ➔ Please submit the Record of Decision or other documentation with process information to assist others in the evaluation for proper disposal. A “Determination of Acceptability” may be needed for CERCLA wastes not going to a CERCLA approved facility.

8. NRC or state regulated radioactive or NORM Waste ➔ Please identify Isotopes and pCi/g:
F. Additional Waste Stream Information

Profile Number: 61726IL

Generators Name: BNSF Rail Road

Generators SITE Address: N. Chillicothe IL
(The location where the waste is generated)

Waste Name: Weathered Wood

The Illinois Environmental Protection Act allows a Generator to certify that their pollution control waste or industrial process waste, is not an Illinois Special Waste (Section 3.45). By completing the following questionnaire, you may certify that the waste stream represented by the Waste Management Profile referenced above is not an Illinois Special Waste as defined in the Act.

Is the waste referenced above any of the following:
1. A Potentially Infectious Medical Waste (PIMW)? □ Yes □ No
2. A Hazardous Waste as defined in 40 CFR 261 or in 35 IAC 722.111? □ Yes □ No
3. A Liquid Waste (fails the paint filter test as defined in 35 IAC 811.107)? □ Yes □ No
4. A regulated PCB waste as defined in 40 CFR 761? □ Yes □ No
5. A NESHAP regulated asbestos waste other than waste from renovation or demolition? □ Yes □ No
6. A waste resulting from the shredding recyclable metals (auto fluff)? □ Yes □ No
7. A delisted Hazardous Waste or Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste, subject to LDR requirements under 35 IAC 728.107? □ Yes □ No

In determining that this waste is not a liquid, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: □ MSDS □ Analytical □ Other (explain below):
Solid wood

In determining that this waste is not RCRA hazardous, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation: □ MSDS □ Analytical □ Other (explain below):
No Chemical content

8. Is the waste represented by this profile sheet subject to the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act fee? □ Yes □ No

By signing below, I certify my waste is NOT an Illinois Special Waste, and that I understand that a person who knowingly and falsely certifies that a waste is not special waste is subject to the penalties set forth in subdivision (6) of subsection (h) of section 44 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

Name: (Print) Laura Speer Title: Office Manager
Signature: ____________________________ Date: 04/27/2017
Does not include clean-up wastes, such as gaskets, resilient floor coverings and asphalt roofing products (specify in C.2.).

Demolition/renovation - when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder by hand pressure. Including gaskets, resilient floor coverings and asphalt roofing products (specify in C.2.) Does not include clean-up wastes, such as:

Material Composition and Contaminants:

1. Non-Friable Asbestos (Untreated) 0-100 %
2. [
3. [
4. [See Attached]

Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%

State Waste Codes: N/A

Color: Various

Physical State at 70˚F: Solid Liquid Other:

Free Liquid Range Percentage: to N/A

pH: to N/A

Strong Odor: Yes No Describe:

Flash Point: <140˚F 140˚F – 199˚F ≥200˚F N/A

Analytical and Other Representative Information

1. Analytical attached Yes

Please identify applicable samples and/or lab reports:

2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

Analytical attached

Regulatory Information

EPA Hazardous Waste? Yes* No

State Hazardous Waste? Yes No

Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion? Yes* No

Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents? Yes* No

From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP? Yes* No

Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGG? Yes* No

CERCLA or State-mandated clean-up? Yes* No

NRC or State-regulated radioactive or NORM waste? Yes* No

*If Yes, see Addendum (page 2) for additional questions and space.

Contains PCBs? a. Regulated by 40 CFR 761?
b. Remediation under 40 CFR 761.61 (a)??
c. Were PCB imported into the US? Yes No

If Yes, answer a, b and c.

Regulated and/or Untreated Medical/Illness Waste? Yes No

Contains Asbestos? Yes No

F. Shipping and DOT Information

1. One-Time Event Repeat Event/Ongoing Business

2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 6 Tons Yards Drums Gallons Other:

3. Container Type and Size: 30 yard

4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name: N/A

G. Generator Certification (Please Read and Certify by Signature)

By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Harry Miller Jr. Date: 04/27/2017

Title: Owner

Company: Harry Miller Jr. & sons

Certification Signature

QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

©2015 Waste Management

Revised June 30, 2015

THINK GREEN!
C. MATERIAL INFORMATION

Describe Process Generating Material (Continued from page 1):

If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

soils, that are contaminated with nonfriable asbestos.

Material Composition and Contaminants (Continued from page 1):

If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

5. 
6. 
7. 
8. 
9. 

Total composition must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION

Only questions with a “Yes” response in Section D on the EZ Profile™ form (page 1) need to be answered here.

1. EPA Hazardous Waste
   a. Please list all USEPA listed and characteristic waste code numbers:

   b. Is the material subject to the Alternative Debris standards (40 CFR 268.45)?
      □ Yes □ No
   c. Is the material subject to the Alternative Soil standards (40 CFR 268.49)? □ Yes □ No
      → If Yes, complete question 4.
   d. Is the material exempt from Subpart CC Controls (40 CFR 264.1083)?
      □ Yes □ No
      → If Yes, please check one of the following:
      - Waste meets LDR or treatment exemptions for organics (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(2) or (c)(4))
      - Waste contains VOCs that average <500 ppmw (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(1)) – will require annual update.

2. State Hazardous Waste → Please list all state waste codes:

3. For material that is Treated, Delisted, or Excluded → Please indicate the category, below:
   - Delisted Hazardous Waste
   - Excluded Waste under 40 CFR 261.4 → Specify Exclusion:
   - Treated Hazardous Waste Debris
   - Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste → If checked, complete question 4.

4. Underlying Hazardous Constituents → Please list all Underlying Hazardous Constituents:

   b. Does this material contain benzene?
      □ Yes □ No
   c. What is your facility’s current total annual benzene quantity in Megagrams?
      □ <1 Mg □ 1–9.99 Mg □ ≥10 Mg
      □ Yes □ No

   a. Are you a TSDF? → If yes, please complete Benzene NESHAP questionnaire. If not, continue.
      □ Yes □ No
   b. Does this material contain benzene?
      □ Yes □ No
   c. If yes, what is the flow weighted average concentration?
      ppmw
   d. What is your facility’s current total annual benzene quantity in Megagrams?
      □ <1 Mg □ 1–9.99 Mg □ ≥10 Mg
      □ Yes □ No
   e. Does the waste contain >10% water/moisture?
      □ Yes □ No
   f. Has material been treated to remove 99% of the benzene or to achieve <10 ppmw?
      □ Yes □ No
   g. Is material exempt from controls in accordance with 40 CFR 61.342?
      □ Yes □ No
      → If yes, specify exemption:
   h. Based on your knowledge of your waste and the BWON regulations, do you believe that this waste stream is subject to treatment and control requirements at an off-site TSDF?
      □ Yes □ No

   6. 40 CFR 63 GGGGG → Does the material contain <500 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination?
      □ Yes □ No
   7. CERCLA or State-Mandated clean up → Please submit the Record of Decision or other documentation with process information to assist others in the evaluation for proper disposal. A “Determination of Acceptability” may be needed for CERCLA wastes not going to a CERCLA approved facility.
   8. NRC or state regulated radioactive or NORM Waste → Please identify Isotopes and pCi/g:
Demolition/renovation - when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder by hand pressure. Including gaskets, resilient floor coverings and asphalt roofing products (specify in C.2.) Does not include clean-up wastes, such as

2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)?

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)

By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Ric Mayhew Date: 05/02/2017
Title: Maintenance Supervisor
Company: Canton Union School District #66

Certification Signature

A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)
1. Generator Name: Ingersoll Middle School
2. Site Address: 1605 East Ash Street
   (City, State, ZIP): Canton IL 61520
3. County: Fulton
4. Contact Name: Ric Mayhew
5. Email: RMayhew@cantonusd.org
6. Phone: (309) 647-2752
   7. Fax: (309) 649-5026
8. Generator EPA ID: N/A
9. State ID: N/A

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
1. Common Name: Asbestos-Non-Friable
   Describe Process Generating Material: See Attached
   Demolition/renovation - when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder by hand pressure. Including gaskets, resilient floor coverings and asphalt roofing products (specify in C.2.) Does not include clean-up wastes, such as

2. Material Composition and Contaminants: See Attached
   1. Non-Friable Asbestos (Uncontaminated) 0-100 %
   2.
   3.
   4.
   Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%
3. State Waste Codes: N/A
4. Color: Various
5. Physical State at 70°F: Solid Liquid Other:
6. Free Liquid Range Percentage: to N/A
7. pH: to N/A
8. Strong Odor: Yes No Describe:
9. Flash Point: <140°F 140°–199°F ≥200° N/A

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
1. EPA Hazardous Waste? Yes* No
   Code: 
2. State Hazardous Waste? Yes No
   Code: 
3. Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion? Yes* No
4. Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents? Yes* No
5. From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP? Yes* No
6. Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGG? Yes* No
7. CERCLA or State–mandated clean-up? Yes* No
8. NRC or State–regulated radioactive or NORM waste? Yes* No
   b. Remediation under 40 CFR 761.61 (a)?
   c. Were PCB imported into the US?
10. Regulated and/or Untreated Medical/Infectious Waste? Yes No
11. Contains Asbestos? Yes No
   If Yes: Non–Friable Non–Friable – Regulated Friable

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION
1. Analytical attached Yes
   Please identify applicable samples and/or lab reports:

2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION
1. One–Time Event Repeat Event/Ongoing Business
2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 60 Tons Yards Drums Gallons Other:
3. Container Type and Size: 2–30 yard roll offs
4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name: N/A

B. BILLING INFORMATION SAME AS GENERATOR
1. Billing Name: Canton Union School District #66
2. Billing Address: 20 West Walnut Street
   (City, State, ZIP): Canton IL 61520
3. Contact Name: Ric Mayhew
4. Email: RMayhew@cantonusd.org
5. Phone: (309) 647-2752
   6. Fax: (309) 649-5026
7. WM Hauled? Yes No
8. P.O. Number: ADM-4316
9. Payment Method: Credit Account Cash Credit Card

QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

THINK GREEN!

Revised June 30, 2015
©2015 Waste Management
C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
Describe Process Generating Material (Continued from page 1): If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

soils, that are contaminated with nonfriable asbestos.

Material Composition and Contaminants (Continued from page 1): If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total composition must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
Only questions with a “Yes” response in Section D on the EZ Profile™ form (page 1) need to be answered here.

1. EPA Hazardous Waste
a. Please list all USEPA listed and characteristic waste code numbers:

b. Is the material subject to the Alternative Debris standards (40 CFR 268.45)?
   - Yes
   - No

   c. Is the material subject to the Alternative Soil standards (40 CFR 268.49)?
      → If Yes, complete question 4.
      - Yes
      - No

   d. Is the material exempt from Subpart CC Controls (40 CFR 264.1083)?
      → If Yes, please check one of the following:
      - Waste meets LDR or treatment exemptions for organics (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(2) or (c)(4))
      - Waste contains VOCs that average <500 ppmw (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(1)) – will require annual update.

2. State Hazardous Waste
   → Please list all state waste codes:

3. For material that is Treated, Delisted, or Excluded
   → Please indicate the category, below:
   - Delisted Hazardous Waste
   - Excluded Waste under 40 CFR 261.4
   - Specify Exclusion: 
   - Treated Hazardous Waste Debris
   - Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste
      → If checked, complete question 4.

4. Underlying Hazardous Constituents
   → Please list all Underlying Hazardous Constituents:

5. Industries regulated under Benzene NESHAP include petroleum refineries, chemical manufacturing plants, coke by-product recovery plants, and TSDFs.
   a. Are you a TSDF?
      → If yes, please complete Benzene NESHAP questionnaire. If not, continue.
      - Yes
      - No

   b. Does this material contain benzene?
      - Yes
      - No

   1. If yes, what is the flow weighted average concentration?
   - ppmw

   c. What is your facility’s current total annual benzene quantity in Megagrams?
      - <1 Mg
      - 1–9.99 Mg
      - ≥10 Mg
      - Yes
      - No

   d. Is this waste soil from a remediation?
      → If yes, what is the benzene concentration in remediation waste?
      - ppmw

   e. Does the waste contain >10% water/moisture?
      - Yes
      - No

   f. Has material been treated to remove 99% of the benzene or to achieve <10 ppmw?
      - Yes
      - No

   g. Is material exempt from controls in accordance with 40 CFR 61.342?
      → If yes, specify exemption:

   h. Based on your knowledge of your waste and the BWON regulations, do you believe that this waste stream is subject to treatment and control requirements at an off-site TSDF?
      - Yes
      - No

6. 40 CFR 63 GGGGG
   → Does the material contain <500 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination?
   - Yes
   - No

7. CERCLA or State-Mandated clean up
   → Please submit the Record of Decision or other documentation with process information to assist others in the evaluation for proper disposal. A “Determination of Acceptability” may be needed for CERCLA wastes not going to a CERCLA approved facility.

8. NRC or state regulated radioactive or NORM Waste
   → Please identify Isotopes and pCi/g:

---

THINK GREEN! QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

Revised June 30, 2015
©2015 Waste Management
A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)
1. Generator Name: Praxair Inc.
2. Site Address: 1226 South Front Street
   (City, State, ZIP) Pekin, IL 61554-4063
3. County: Tazwall
4. Contact Name: Tiffany Stephenson
5. Email: Tiffany.Stephenson@Praxair.com
6. Phone: 816-377-8783
7. Fax: N/A
8. Generator EPA ID: IL000037788
9. State ID: N/A

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
1. Common Name: SeleKarb
   Describe Process Generating Material: See Attached
   Used to absorb water in nitric oxide.

2. Material Composition and Contaminants:
   See Attached
   1.
   2.
   3.
   4.
   Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%

3. State Waste Codes: Industrial Non-Hazardous Waste N/A
4. Color: Off White
5. Physical State at 70°F: Solid Liquid Other:
6. Free Liquid Range Percentage: N/A
7. pH: N/A
8. Strong Odor: Yes No Describe: N/A
9. Flash Point: <140°F 140°-199°F ≥200° N/A

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
1. EPA Hazardous Waste?
   Yes No
   Code:
2. State Hazardous Waste?
   Yes No
   Code:
3. Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion?
   Yes No
4. Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents?
   Yes No
5. From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP?
   Yes No
6. Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGG?
   Yes No
7. CERCLA or State-mandated clean-up?
   Yes No
8. NRC or State-regulated radioactive or NORM waste?
   Yes No

*If Yes, see Addendum (page 2) for additional questions and space.
9. Contains PCBs? If Yes, answer a, b and c.
   a. Regulated by 40 CFR 761?
      Yes No
   b. Remediation under 40 CFR 761.61 (a)?
      Yes No
   c. Were PCB imported into the US?
      Yes No
10. Regulated and/or Untreated Medical/Infectious Waste?
    Yes No
11. Contains Asbestos? If Yes:
    Non-Friable Non-Friable - Regulated Friable

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION
1. Analytical attached Yes
   Please identify applicable samples and/or lab reports

2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION
1. One-Time Event Repeat Event/Ongoing Business
2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure:
   Tons Yards Drums Gallons Other: Container Type and Size:
3. Container Type and Size:
4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name: N/A

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)
By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contains true and accurate descriptions of this material and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or non-analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Tiffany Stephenson Date: 4/27/17
Title: Safety Manager
Company: Praxair INC

THINK GREEN.
QUESTIONS? CALL 800 863 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

Certification Signature

Revised June 30, 2015
©2015 Waste Management
Profile Addendum: State of Illinois
GENERATOR’S NON-SPECIAL WASTE CERTIFICATION

F. Additional Waste Stream Information

Profile Number: 105627IL

Generators Name: Praxair Inc.

Generators SITE Address: 1225 South Front St, Pekin IL 61554-4063
(The location where the waste is generated)

Waste Name: Selexsorb CD

The Illinois Environmental Protection Act allows a Generator to certify that their pollution control waste or industrial process waste, is not an Illinois Special Waste (Section 3.45). By completing the following questionnaire, you may certify that the waste stream represented by the Waste Management Profile referenced above is not an Illinois Special Waste as defined in the Act.

Is the waste referenced above any of the following:

1. A Potentially Infectious Medical Waste (PIMW)?   □ Yes  □ No
2. A Hazardous Waste as defined in 40 CFR 261 or in 35 IAC 722.111?   □ Yes  □ No
3. A Liquid Waste (fails the paint filter test as defined in 35 IAC 811.107)?   □ Yes  □ No
4. A regulated PCB waste as defined in 40 CFR 761?   □ Yes  □ No
5. A NESHAP regulated asbestos waste other than waste from renovation or demolition?   □ Yes  □ No
6. A waste resulting from the shredding recyclable metals (auto fluff)?   □ Yes  □ No
7. A delisted Hazardous Waste or Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste, subject to LDR requirements under 35 IAC 728.107?   □ Yes  □ No

In determining that this waste is not a liquid, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation:  □ MSDS  □ Analytical  □ Other (explain below):

In determining that this waste is not RCRA hazardous, I have used knowledge of the processes generating the waste and the attached supporting documentation:  □ MSDS  □ Analytical  □ Other (explain below):

8. Is the waste represented by this profile sheet subject to the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act fee?   □ Yes  □ No

By signing below, I certify my waste is NOT an Illinois Special Waste, and that I understand that a person who knowingly and falsely certifies that a waste is not special waste is subject to the penalties set forth in subdivision (6) of subsection (h) of section 44 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

Name: (Print) Tiffany Stephenson  Title: Safety Manager

Signature:  Date: 4/24/17
Safety data sheet
Selexsorb® CD
Revision date: 2007/01/08
Version: 1.0

1. Substance/preparation and company identification

Company
BASF CORPORATION
100 Campus Drive
Florham Park, NJ 07932, USA

24 Hour Emergency Response Information
CHEMTREC: 1-800-424-9300
BASF HOTLINE: 1-800-832-HELP

2. Composition/information on ingredients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>Content (Wt/Wt)</th>
<th>Chemical name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1333-84-2</td>
<td>≥ 60.0 % &amp; ≤ 65.0 %</td>
<td>Aluminum oxide (Al2O3), hydrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 15.0 % &amp; ≤ 40.0 %</td>
<td>Aluminum silicate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Hazard identification

Emergency overview

CAUTION: AVOID CREATING DUST.
CAUSES RESPIRATORY TRACT IRRITATION.
MAY CAUSE DIFFICULTY BREATHING.
Contact with the eyes or skin may cause mechanical irritation.
PROLONGED OR REPEATED CONTACT MAY RESULT IN DERMATITIS.
Contains material which may indicate/cause the possibility of sensory and pulmonary irritation.
Avoid contact with the skin, eyes and clothing.
Avoid inhalation of dusts.
Use with local exhaust ventilation.
Wear a NIOSH-certified (or equivalent) particulate respirator.
Wear safety glasses with side-shields.
Wear chemical resistant protective gloves.
Wear protective clothing.
Eye wash facilities and safety showers must be easily accessible.

Potential health effects

Primary routes of exposure
Routes of entry for solids and liquids include eye and skin contact, ingestion and inhalation. Routes of entry for gases include inhalation and eye contact. Skin contact may be a route of entry for liquified gases.
4. First-aid measures

If inhaled:
Keep patient calm, remove to fresh air. If necessary, give oxygen. If not breathing, give artificial respiration. Seek medical attention if necessary.

If on skin:
After contact with skin, wash immediately with plenty of water and soap. Consult a doctor if skin irritation persists.

If in eyes:
In case of contact with the eyes, rinse immediately for at least 15 minutes with plenty of water. Immediate medical attention required.

If swallowed:
No hazards anticipated. If large quantities are ingested, seek medical advice.

5. Fire-fighting measures

Additional Information:
Use extinguishing measures to suit surroundings.

Hazards during firefighting:
No particular hazards known.

Protective equipment for firefighting:
Wear self-contained breathing apparatus and chemical-protective clothing.

NFPA Hazard codes:
Health: 1    Fire: 0    Reactivity: 1    Special:

6. Accidental release measures

Cleanup:
Vacuum up spilled product. Place into suitable container for disposal.

7. Handling and storage

Handling
General advice:
Avoid dust formation in confined areas. Avoid contact with the skin, eyes and clothing. Ensure adequate ventilation.

Storage
General advice:
Keep container tightly closed in a cool, well-ventilated place.

Storage stability:
Keep container dry.
8. Exposure controls and personal protection

Components with workplace control parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>CSHA</th>
<th>ACGIH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum oxide (Al2O3)</td>
<td>PEL 5 mg/m³</td>
<td>TWA  10 mg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrate</td>
<td>Respirable fraction</td>
<td>Total dust ;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Advice on system design:
Ensure adequate ventilation, Provide local exhaust ventilation to maintain recommended P.E.L.

Personal protective equipment

Respiratory protection:
Wear a NIOSH-certified (or equivalent) particulate respirator. Observe OSHA regulations for respirator use (29 CFR 1910.134).

Hand protection:
Wear chemical resistant protective gloves.

Eye protection:
Safety glasses with side-shields.

Body protection:
Body protection must be chosen based on level of activity and exposure.

9. Physical and chemical properties

Form: balls
Odour: odourless
Colour: off white
Melting point: 2,038 °C
Solubility in water: insoluble

10. Stability and reactivity

Hazardous reactions:
The product is chemically stable.

11. Toxicological information

Chronic toxicity

Other information:
No data available

12. Ecological information

Environmental toxicity
Safety data sheet
Selexsorb® CD

Revision date: 2007/01/03
Version: 1.0

13. Disposal considerations

Waste disposal of substance:
Dispose of in accordance with local authority regulations.
Check for possible recycling.
Disposal requirements are dependent on the hazard classification and will vary by location and the type of disposal selected.
All waste materials should be reviewed to determine the applicable hazards (testing may be necessary).

14. Transport information

Land transport

*USDOT*

Not classified as a dangerous good under transport regulations

Sea transport

*IMDG*

Not classified as a dangerous good under transport regulations

Air transport

*IATA/ICAO*

Not classified as a dangerous good under transport regulations

15. Regulatory information

Federal Regulations

Registration status:
TSCA, US
released / listed

OSHA hazard category:
Chronic target organ effects reported. ACGIH TLV established

SARA hazard categories (EPCRA 311/312): Acute, Chronic

SARA 313:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>Chemical name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1344-28-1</td>
<td>Aluminum oxide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Other information

HMIS III rating

Health: 1  Flammability: 0  Physical hazard: 1

HMIS uses a numbering scale ranging from 0 to 4 to indicate the degree of hazard. A value of zero means that the substance possesses essentially no hazard; a rating of four indicates high hazard.
Local contact information
prod_reg@basf.com

Selexsorb is a registered trademark of BASF Corporation or BASF AG.

IMPORTANT: WHILE THE DESCRIPTIONS, DESIGNS, DATA AND INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREBIN
ARE PRESENTED IN GOOD FAITH AND BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, IT IS PROVIDED FOR YOUR
GUIDANCE ONLY, BECAUSE MANY FACTORS MAY AFFECT PROCESSING OR APPLICATION/USE,
WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU MAKE TESTS TO DETERMINE THE SUITABILITY OF A PRODUCT FOR
YOUR PARTICULAR PURPOSE PRIOR TO USE. NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER
EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
PARTICULAR PURPOSE, ARE MADE REGARDING PRODUCTS DESCRIBED OR DESIGNS, DATA OR
INFORMATION SET FORTH, OR THAT THE PRODUCTS, DESIGNS, DATA OR INFORMATION MAY BE
USED WITHOUT INFRINGING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS OF OTHERS. IN NO CASE
SHALL THE DESCRIPTIONS, INFORMATION, DATA OR DESIGNS PROVIDED BE CONSIDERED A
PART OF OUR TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SALE. FURTHER, YOU EXPRESSLY UNDERSTAND AND
AGREE THAT THE DESCRIPTIONS, DESIGNS, DATA, AND INFORMATION FURNISHED BY BASF
HEREUNDER ARE GIVEN GRATIS AND BASF ASSUMES NO OBLIGATION OR LIABILITY FOR THE
DESCRIPTION, DESIGNS, DATA AND INFORMATION GIVEN OR RESULTS OBTAINED, ALL SUCH
BEING GIVEN AND ACCEPTED AT YOUR RISK.
END OF DATA SHEET
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Joshua C. Gabehart, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 17, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Approval for Mr. Reeise’s or Mr. Morris’ Signature:

We currently do not expect other reports that will require Chairman Morris’ and Director Reeise’s signature, however we respectfully request approval to obtain Chairman Morris’ signature should the need arise prior to the next Committee Meeting. Should we require his signature, a report will be brought before the board at the next scheduled meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Mark Williams and Josh Gabehart, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 17, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Receive and File Landfill #1 – 1st Quarter 2017 Groundwater Update

BACKGROUND: This memo serves as the quarterly update of the current status of groundwater compliance for Landfill #1. Below is brief description of the groundwater sampling process.

As required in the landfill permit, compliance groundwater monitoring wells are routinely sampled to detect signs of potential discharge, release, or impact to the groundwater within the facility boundary. The groundwater monitoring wells are sampled on a semi-annual basis (2nd and 4th quarters). The samples are analyzed for the parameters listed in the permit and detections are compared to their respective Applicable Groundwater Quality Standard (AGQS). This is considered detection monitoring or routine sampling.

If a groundwater monitoring well has a detection of a parameter above its AGQS value, it is considered an observed increase and requires re-sampling. That groundwater monitoring well is then re-sampled the following quarter for that specific parameter. If the re-sample result returns below the AGQS value, no further action is required and the groundwater monitoring well returns to detection monitoring. If the result remains above the AGQS, it is considered a confirmed increase.

Upon receiving a confirmed increase, the IEPA is notified that the groundwater monitoring well has a confirmed increase for a particular parameter and an assessment monitoring/alternate source investigation plan is proposed. Assessment monitoring typically consists of sampling the groundwater monitoring well on a quarterly basis (rather than semi-annually), but could also include installing nearby temporary monitoring wells or other means to investigate the higher concentrations and to determine the cause of the increase and delineate the area of concern. The length of time a groundwater monitoring well is in assessment monitoring can vary. Upon conclusion of the assessment monitoring period, a report is submitted to the IEPA for approval which either proposes the groundwater monitoring well return to detection monitoring, a new AGQS value for that parameter is proposed, or corrective action is proposed for the groundwater monitoring well.

Corrective action for a groundwater monitoring well, with IEPA approval and direction, can involve a variety of actions such as continued well monitoring, groundwater extraction, gas/leachate system operations/improvements, increased monitoring well network, etc. The length of time a groundwater monitoring well is in corrective action can also vary greatly. Upon the conclusion of the corrective action period and the parameter of concern has returned to an acceptable level, a report is submitted to IEPA for approval to propose the well return to detection monitoring.

SUMMARY: Quarterly Monitoring results are summarized:

1) Observed Increases. One (1) observed increase was reported during the 1st quarter 2017 sampling event. The total nitrate concentration at well G02S was slightly above permit...
standards and will be evaluated after the 2nd quarter sampling event. Also occurring during the 1st quarter 2017 event at well G15S the concentrations of three (3) parameters dissolved chromium, dissolved lead and total nitrate were considerably above historical concentrations and permit standards. Other parameters from Permit List G1 at well G15S (dissolved chloride, dissolved magnesium, dissolved sulfate and dissolved zinc) also had concentrations higher than historical concentration but were below permit standards. The sampling crew noted high turbidity in the collected samples. The IEPA was notified that increased turbidity in the groundwater samples collected at well G15S during the 1st quarter 2017 sampling event appears to be the source of the increased concentration for these select parameters, which is not indicative of actual groundwater concentrations. No further action was required at this time for these parameters. If turbidity continues to be an issue at this well sample collection techniques will be modified to try and reduce turbidity in groundwater samples.

2) **Assessment monitoring plan** was approved for the parameters total nitrate at well G13S, dissolved chloride at well G20S and the organic parameter acetone at well G25S in Permit Modification No. 95 (dated February 8, 2017). Assessment monitoring shall begin in 2nd quarter of 2017 with final report due to the IEPA February 15, 2018.

3) **Assessment monitoring plan** for the dissolved sulfate concentration at well G06S was submitted May 4, 2017 and will begin 2nd quarter 2017.

4) **Alternate Source Investigation at G26S.** An assessment summary report was submitted to the IEPA February 23, 2017 and is awaiting IEPA review. Total and dissolved nitrate has been detected periodically at other wells across this site and well G26S is an upgradient monitoring well, therefore, Foth recommended well G26S return to a routine semi-annual sampling schedule. Foth recommended only the total and dissolved nitrate parameters be sampled quarterly to research if the periodic total and dissolved nitrate concentrations appear to be a natural concentration or a possible matrix issue with the laboratory. If it is determined that the current nitrate concentrations are the true natural concentration in groundwater, a new interwell value for the dissolved and total nitrate value may be submitted to eliminate future laboratory expenses.

5) **Alternate Source Investigation at G15S.** An assessment summary report regarding the dissolved chromium and acetone concentration at well G15S was submitted to the IEPA on March 7, 2017 and is awaiting IEPA review. The dissolved chromium concentration has returned to levels below permit standards and no additional landfill related organic parameters were detected during assessment monitoring. Acetone is being detected however, acetone is a common laboratory contaminant and generally accepted by IEPA as interference. Foth recommended that well G15S return to routine semi-annual sampling following the investigation.

6) **Corrective Action Underway.** Assessment monitoring continues at monitoring wells G02S, G04S, and R10S. The last time a volatile organic compounds (VOCs) was detected at well G02S was 1st quarter of 2014. The VOCs 1,1-dichloroethane and acetone which have been previously detected at well G04S have not been detected the last two (2) quarters. The cis 1,2-dichloroethene concentration at well G04S remained consistent with historical concentrations. At well R10S the parameter 1,1-dichloroethane remained consistent with historical concentrations while the cis-1,2-dichloroethene concentration increased slightly to 11 ug/l during the 1st quarter 2017 sampling event. For parameters from Permit List G1 (indicator parameters) only the dissolved chloride concentration at well R10S is currently exceeding permit AGQS values. Concentrations of all parameters will continue to be evaluated quarterly as part of ongoing corrective action.
In the Annual Evaluation of Corrective Action Measures at Wells G02S, G04S & R10S Report submitted February 3, 2017, Foth recommend that well G02S being taken out of corrective action and return to the routine semi-annual sampling schedule listed in the site’s permit. Foth based this recommendation on non-detection of organic parameters at well G02S for several years and indicator parameters are not trending upwards. The Annual Evaluation Report is under review by the IEPA and Foth should receive comments by the end of May 2017.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: The Committee is responsible for assessment monitoring costs that arise based on confirmed increases.

As a reminder, by contract with the Committee, Waste Management is responsible for routine groundwater sampling and re-samples at Landfill No. 1. However, assessment monitoring that arises based on increases confirmed by re-sampling are the responsibility of the Committee. This monitoring is generally completed by Waste Management’s contractor in order to reduce costs of additional trips and field personnel and maintain laboratory consistency.
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: John Wisher, Joshua Gabehart, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 17, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Receive and File Monthly Report

BACKGROUND: The following report is provided for engineering items that have occurred since the last scheduled Committee meeting:

Financial Information

Attached is a spreadsheet showing the engineering services provided from July 1, 2016 through April 30, 2017. The total amount billed to date is $247,034.57.

Updates Regarding Compliance Activities, Measures and Progress

There were two unscheduled shutdowns in April. On April 3rd a shutdown occurred during the afternoon caused by a short in the transformer located at the utility pole adjacent to the flare causing power loss at the flare. Ameren was called to the site to diagnose the issue and replace two transformers. Shutdown time for transformer issue totaled 19 hours and 12 minutes. The second unscheduled shutdown occurred on April 8th caused by failure of the blower motor starter electrical components causing the blower motor to malfunction. An electrician was contacted to diagnose the issue. New starter electrical components and blower motor were installed. Shutdown time for blower motor issue totaled 86 hours and 49 minutes. Total shutdown time for the month was 106 hours and 1 minute. Previous to these two events total shutdown time was 19 minutes for the year. The two extended shutdowns were the first since 11-27-15.

With ample sunshine during April, gas well pumping with the Blackhawk solar panel pumps (C-1, B-1, and B-7) along with pneumatic pumping of leachate manholes and gas well T-5 a total of 28,500 gallons of leachate/condensate were transported to the Greater Peoria Sanitary District. With the extended shutdowns liquid drained to bellies within the gas collection system that otherwise is held up by the vacuum caused by the increased slope grade of the settling piping of the collection system. Nearly 4,000 gallons of condensate was removed from drip leg AA-1 due to this phenomena and was discharged to the recirculation system in the western composite lined area of Landfill No. 1.

Through maintenance, condensate removal and monitoring of the GCCS, no gas wells exhibited positive pressure during the April monitoring period. The temporary landfill gas collection line installed for remediation of the positive pressure gas wells of R-5, T-2, and T-3 continues to extract landfill gas. This area has been identified for additional planned improvements that will be implemented in 2017.

Liquid management continues with manual pumping to maintain landfill gas flow to the flare during this cloudy period of spring. The average landfill gas flow is over 330 scfm, an increase of 30 scfm since the installation of the new blower motor and methane content continues to fluctuate around 45%.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: The planned budget for engineering services is currently 87.3% complete and current time period percent complete of the contract is 83.3%. The current expenditures are 82.7% of the approved engineering budget at this time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Landfill Site Observation</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$2,550</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,550</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,550</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2: Construction Observation</td>
<td>$15,040</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$15,040</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$15,040</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>$15,040</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$15,040</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$15,040</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$2,490</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,490</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2,490</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3: PCCL Operations Management</td>
<td>$2,009.62</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$2,009.62</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$2,009.62</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>$2,009.62</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$2,009.62</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$2,009.62</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
<td>$1,731.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$278.34</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$278.34</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$278.34</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4: Equipment Supplier</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5: Groundwater Monitoring</td>
<td>$8,575.37</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$8,575.37</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$8,575.37</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>$8,575.37</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$8,575.37</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$8,575.37</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
<td>$6,970.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$1,605.37</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1,605.37</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1,605.37</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6: PCCL Operations</td>
<td>$36,558</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$36,558</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$36,558</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>$36,558</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$36,558</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$36,558</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
<td>$31,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$4,595</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$4,595</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$4,595</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7: PCCL Operations Support</td>
<td>$38,200</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$38,200</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$38,200</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>$38,200</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$38,200</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$38,200</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
<td>$32,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$5,357</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,357</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,357</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Joint City and County of Peoria Landfill Committee**

**Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC**

**CAAPP First Half 2016 Reports**

**Actual**

**Construction Management Guidance**

**Operational Comments:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>14,431.52</td>
<td>12,725.65</td>
<td>14,240.62</td>
<td>14,610.96</td>
<td>14,531.08</td>
<td>12,592.84</td>
<td>13,255.78</td>
<td>16,148.43</td>
<td>14,230.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>15,894.61</td>
<td>11,801.18</td>
<td>12,687.38</td>
<td>11,401.56</td>
<td>11,689.56</td>
<td>11,134.61</td>
<td>14,992.00</td>
<td>11,959.48</td>
<td>12,310.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>18,583.87</td>
<td>16,782.75</td>
<td>15,932.67</td>
<td>15,541.87</td>
<td>17,911.20</td>
<td>16,961.56</td>
<td>15,881.57</td>
<td>14,204.13</td>
<td>16,072.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>26,745.51</td>
<td>17,454.25</td>
<td>15,124.74</td>
<td>17,520.91</td>
<td>20,643.72</td>
<td>17,815.96</td>
<td>16,231.71</td>
<td>14,872.22</td>
<td>17,034.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>20,133.56</td>
<td>19,407.83</td>
<td>20,487.42</td>
<td>17,240.20</td>
<td>21,031.20</td>
<td>17,917.75</td>
<td>17,708.52</td>
<td>18,843.0</td>
<td>18,111.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>20,475.23</td>
<td>21,131.53</td>
<td>24,351.74</td>
<td>17,105.57</td>
<td>18,499.18</td>
<td>19,736.45</td>
<td>17,890.95</td>
<td>18,811.9</td>
<td>20,116.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>20,661.46</td>
<td>20,973.17</td>
<td>16,942.32</td>
<td>18,609.20</td>
<td>21,915.44</td>
<td>23,147.79</td>
<td>15,088.31</td>
<td>17,422.79</td>
<td>19,927.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>20,340.96</td>
<td>21,409.82</td>
<td>21,819.77</td>
<td>19,446.75</td>
<td>21,574.24</td>
<td>18,623.82</td>
<td>22,247.53</td>
<td>17,744.07</td>
<td>17,607.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>25,985.03</td>
<td>19,026.96</td>
<td>18,891.45</td>
<td>15,522.33</td>
<td>19,379.80</td>
<td>17,722.38</td>
<td>16,520.05</td>
<td>18,890.92</td>
<td>17,607.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>20,916.49</td>
<td>26,063.78</td>
<td>18,830.50</td>
<td>17,422.79</td>
<td>17,710.37</td>
<td>20,212.83</td>
<td>17,158.59</td>
<td>16,091.54</td>
<td>17,719.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>17,819.70</td>
<td>22,795.94</td>
<td>15,497.95</td>
<td>18,059.00</td>
<td>21,043.51</td>
<td>14,511.80</td>
<td>16,349.64</td>
<td>14,459.79</td>
<td>16,884.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>16,085.02</td>
<td>18,432.75</td>
<td>15,433.43</td>
<td>13,256.07</td>
<td>32,699.22</td>
<td>14,951.07</td>
<td>16,504.84</td>
<td>13,168.40</td>
<td>18,115.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>162,417.45</strong></td>
<td><strong>244,897.29</strong></td>
<td><strong>211,021.11</strong></td>
<td><strong>197,861.44</strong></td>
<td><strong>228,908.32</strong></td>
<td><strong>214,571.32</strong></td>
<td><strong>208,087.61</strong></td>
<td><strong>191,403.56</strong></td>
<td><strong>57,184.26</strong></td>
<td><strong>207,673.75</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General MSW</td>
<td>211,129.29</td>
<td>190,333.16</td>
<td>180,882.84</td>
<td>209,441.95</td>
<td>198,971.42</td>
<td>191,767.47</td>
<td>182,787.68</td>
<td>51,698.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Tons</td>
<td>22,238.00</td>
<td>33,768.00</td>
<td>20,687.95</td>
<td>16,978.60</td>
<td>19,466.37</td>
<td>15,599.90</td>
<td>16,320.14</td>
<td>8,615.88</td>
<td>5,485.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members

From: Scott D. Reeise, Public Works Director

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 17, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

BACKGROUND: Attached is the revenue and expense financial report for financial transactions occurring in 2017 during the months of January through April. The report include columns that show the prior year’s actual financial performance and the projected monthly budget for easy comparison to the monthly actual for the reporting period.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Host Fees ($2.37/Ton)⁽¹⁾</td>
<td>$38,279.56</td>
<td>$39,553.30</td>
<td>$30,160.97</td>
<td>$30,356.36</td>
<td>$39,553.30</td>
<td>$37,988.78</td>
<td>$34,348.16</td>
<td>$39,553.30</td>
<td>$28,091.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer City Rebate Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leases</td>
<td>$925.00</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$1,887.00</td>
<td>$925.00</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$1,850.00</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$943.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Cash Balance</td>
<td>$57.55</td>
<td>$62.54</td>
<td>$172.49</td>
<td>$68.39</td>
<td>$62.54</td>
<td>$126.40</td>
<td>$77.47</td>
<td>$62.54</td>
<td>$141.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Illinois Funds</td>
<td>$0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REVENUES</td>
<td>$39,262.22</td>
<td>$41,084.59</td>
<td>$32,220.46</td>
<td>$31,349.76</td>
<td>$41,084.59</td>
<td>$38,115.18</td>
<td>$36,275.63</td>
<td>$41,084.59</td>
<td>$29,176.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Personnel⁽²⁾ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Personnel⁽³⁾ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Audit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Management Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering & Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Guidance and Compliance Activities | $7,768.72 | $6,250.00 | $7,815.59 | $8,017.33 | $6,250.00 | $13,691.30 | $5,015.88 | $6,250.00 | $14,052.28 |
| LF #2 to LF #3 Transition Activities |  | $833.33 |  |  | $833.33 |  |  | $833.33 |  |
| Groundwater Support/Reporting | $2,812.13 | $2,500.00 | $5,878.93 | $6,150.73 | $2,500.00 | $7,195.81 | $3,442.68 | $2,500.00 |  |
| LF #1 Leachate Ops. & LFG Ops. | $8,542.88 | $7,500.00 | $14,264.74 | $7,107.69 | $7,500.00 | $10,405.33 | $6,546.68 | $7,500.00 | $10,089.57 |
| LF#1 Const Eng/PCL Liquid Mgmt & LFG System Mats |  | - | $1,041.67 |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Contracted Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post Closure Care | $781.21 | $1,666.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GCCS Improvement Projects |  | $8,333.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Gas Flare & Well Field |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquids & Gas Replacement Materials |  | $416.67 | $527.63 | $441.26 | $416.67 |  |  | $416.67 |  |
| Leachate Extraction Improvements |  | $875.00 |  |  | $875.00 |  |  | $875.00 |  |
| Off-Site Liquids Disposal | $159.99 | $1,250.00 | $367.45 | $1,250.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transport & Leachate Treatment (PDC/GPSD) | $141.43 | $750.00 |  | $2,140.38 | $750.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Telephone | $41.21 | $33.33 | $42.34 |  | $41.21 | $33.33 |  |  |  |
| Electricity | $505.40 | $500.00 |  | $454.73 | $500.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Unplanned and Emergency Expenses |  | $625.00 |  |  | $625.00 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | $20,752.97 | $39,824.93 | $28,896.68 | $24,353.33 | $39,824.94 | $31,469.47 | $16,712.37 | $39,825.13 | $27,075.08 |

**Excess Revenues over Expenses**

<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$18,509.25</td>
<td>$1,259.66</td>
<td>$3,323.78</td>
<td>$6,996.43</td>
<td>$1,259.65</td>
<td>$6,645.71</td>
<td>$19,563.26</td>
<td>$1,259.46</td>
<td>$2,101.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁽¹⁾ 2017 Budget based on approx. 200,000 tons host fees of $2.37/ton

⁽²⁾ City and County personnel Cost reimbursements amounts decreased in 2016.

⁽³⁾ Off-Site Leachate disposal costs reduced to reflect the lower costs resulting from approval to discharge at GPSD/Hauled & treated by PDC

**BEGINNING CASH BALANCE**

<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$216,684.95</td>
<td>$375,235.28</td>
<td>$375,235.28</td>
<td>$231,710.41</td>
<td>$376,494.94</td>
<td>$313,978.21</td>
<td>$225,876.96</td>
<td>$377,754.59</td>
<td>$322,252.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INB</td>
<td>$216,047.45</td>
<td>$375,235.28</td>
<td>$375,235.28</td>
<td>$231,072.80</td>
<td>$376,494.94</td>
<td>$313,978.21</td>
<td>$225,876.96</td>
<td>$377,754.59</td>
<td>$322,252.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBank* (Account closed 2/4/16—amount of $637.62 transferred to INB)</td>
<td>637.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>637.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENDING CASH BALANCE**

<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$231,710.41</td>
<td>$376,494.94</td>
<td>$313,978.21</td>
<td>$225,876.96</td>
<td>$377,754.59</td>
<td>$322,252.47</td>
<td>$201,063.17</td>
<td>$379,014.05</td>
<td>$281,863.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INB</td>
<td>$231,072.80</td>
<td>$376,494.94</td>
<td>$313,978.21</td>
<td>$225,876.96</td>
<td>$377,754.59</td>
<td>$322,252.47</td>
<td>$201,063.17</td>
<td>$379,014.05</td>
<td>$281,863.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBank*</td>
<td>637.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host Fees ($2.37/Ton)(1)</td>
<td>$36,243.83</td>
<td>$39,553.30</td>
<td>$33,330.54</td>
<td>$33,330.54</td>
<td>$447,674.16</td>
<td>$474,639.54</td>
<td>$129,571.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer City Rebate Amount</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leases</td>
<td>$925.00</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$943.50</td>
<td>$943.50</td>
<td>$22,752.95</td>
<td>$17,625.00</td>
<td>$3,774.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned</td>
<td>$82.47</td>
<td>$62.54</td>
<td>$127.57</td>
<td>$127.57</td>
<td>$1,292.68</td>
<td>$750.50</td>
<td>$567.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Cash Balance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Illinois Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REVENUES</td>
<td>$37,251.30</td>
<td>$41,084.59</td>
<td>$34,401.61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$46,353.15</td>
<td>$41,084.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Personne(2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,333.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,333.34</td>
<td>$75,785.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Personne(2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>708.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>708.30</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Audit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208.30</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Management Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Guidance and Compliance Activities</td>
<td>$7,961.66</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>8,130.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$10,745.38</td>
<td>6,250.00</td>
<td>96,680.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF #2 to LF #3 Transition Activities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>833.33</td>
<td>2,383.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>833.33</td>
<td>$1,867.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Support/Reporting</td>
<td>$4,299.27</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>4,713.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2,171.87</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>27,956.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF #1 Leachate Ops. &amp; LFG Ops.</td>
<td>$6,717.61</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>10,281.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,907.04</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>93,258.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF#1 Const Eng/PCCL Liquid Mgmt &amp; LFG System Mats</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,041.67</td>
<td>5,165.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,041.67</td>
<td>635.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Construction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,666.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,666.67</td>
<td>2,302.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCCS Improvement Projects</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,333.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,333.33</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landfill Gas Flare &amp; Well Field</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>416.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>416.67</td>
<td>2,475.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids &amp; Gas Replacement Materials</td>
<td>$708.21</td>
<td>416.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>416.67</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leachate Extraction Improvements</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>875.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>875.00</td>
<td>394.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Site Liquids Disposal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,250.00</td>
<td>312.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,250.00</td>
<td>9,757.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid &amp; Gas Extraction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>10,682.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$1,323.91</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>910.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>$33.79</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>5,907.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned and Emergency Expenses</td>
<td>$441.49</td>
<td>625.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>625.00</td>
<td>6,479.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>$22,194.46</td>
<td>$39,825.14</td>
<td>$31,688.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$34,914.00</td>
<td>$47,900.00</td>
<td>$119,129.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) 2017 Budget based on approx. 200,000 tons host fees of $2.37/ton
(2) City and County personnel Cost reimbursements amounts decreased in 2016.
(3) Off-Site Leachate disposal costs reduced to reflect the lower costs resulting from approval to discharge at GPDS/landfilled & treated by POC.

**BEGINNING CASH BALANCE**

| INB                                            | $201,063.17 | $379,014.05 | $281,863.76 | $216,515.28 | $380,273.50 | $315,563.72 | $253,747.37 | $381,533.46 | $373,707.53 |
| USBank* (Account closed 2/4/16 - amount of $637.62 transferred to INB) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

**ENDING CASH BALANCE**

| INB                                            | $236,515.28 | $380,273.50 | $315,563.72 | $237,747.37 | $381,533.46 | $382,793.11 | $247,932.32 | $382,793.11 | $373,253.28 |
| USBank*                                        | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

**Excess Revenues over Expenses**

| INB                                            | $15,065.84 | $1,259.45 | $2,713.53 | $8,004.44 | $1,259.96 | $2,437.72 | $1,259.65 | - | $125,805.91 |
| USBank*                                        | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

**Landfill Fund Monthly Revenue & Expense Summary - 2017**

Wednesday, May 17, 2017
AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 17, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: RECEIVE AND FILE MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORTS NET BALANCE Variance FROM ENDING CASH BALANCE

BACKGROUND: The question on the difference between the cash beginning and ending balance versus the financial report net amount ending balance has emerged again. Hopefully the following explanation answers and provides clarity to the perplexity of the two balances.

The major reasons for variance in the two balances stem from the basis of the accounting method used and the effect of timing on transactions. The bank statement amounts listed at the bottom of the report reflects the cash balance in the PCCL’s bank account at the beginning and ending of a particular month, along with the cash transaction occurring throughout the month. Therefore, the amount being reported is on a Cash Basis.

The financial transactions recorded on the monthly revenue and expense reports is information from the City of Peoria’s computerized financial management system. The City of Peoria’s basis of accounting method used, as in most governmental units, is the Modified Accrual Basis of accounting. Under this method of accounting, revenues are recognized as a financial transaction in the period they become available and measurable. Expenditures are recognized in the period the associated liability is incurred. For that reason, the December liabilities (such as City and County payments) are shown as expenses occurring in December, but actually are not deducted until the January bank statement.

Attached for your preview are the 2017 bank statements for January through March along with the monthly revenue & expense report. An additional worksheet is attached to show the monthly reconciliation of the invoices processed through the City of Peoria’s accounts payable system and their subsequent disbursement from the PCCL’s bank account.
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To:     Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members

From:   Mike Wiersema & Ian Johnson, Waste Management

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: May 17, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Receive and file monthly reports.

BACKGROUND: Attached is the monthly activity report through April 2017.

1. All weekly random load checks were completed and documented with no issues to report.
2. To allow sufficient time to respond to short-term submittal requirements that may arise prior to the next Landfill Committee meeting, we respectfully request authorization for the Committee chairperson to sign such documents, subject to review and approval in advance by Foth.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: NA
Peoria City/County Landfill No. 2  
Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.  
Monthly Activity Report  
April 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tonnage: General Refuse</th>
<th>Current Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haulers</td>
<td>14,068.08</td>
<td>51,157.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Res. Free Loads</td>
<td>170.88</td>
<td>530.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Res. $5 Loads</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadside</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,242.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>51,698.41</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Wastes</th>
<th>Current Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial (Declassified)</td>
<td>629.72</td>
<td>5,485.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial (Exempt)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>629.72</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,485.85</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL LANDFILL RECEIPTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yard Waste Receipts</th>
<th>Current Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Contract -</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payments: Payable to City/County Committee</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Landfill #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Refuse Tons</td>
<td>14,068.08</td>
<td>51,157.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>$2.37</td>
<td>$121,243.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$33,341.35</td>
<td>$128,387.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payable to County</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Landfill #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Refuse Tons</td>
<td>14,068.08</td>
<td>51,157.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>$1.27</td>
<td>$64,969.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$17,866.46</td>
<td>$70,281.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payable to/Receivable From County</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Landfill #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5 Loads Loads</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>51.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td>$190.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Less: State Fee on Free and $5 Loads</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Landfill #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tons</td>
<td>173.09</td>
<td>190.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>$2.22</td>
<td>$384.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>($384.26)</td>
<td>($1,190.41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tonnage:** General Refuse & Special Waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In county</th>
<th>Out of county</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th><strong>Total</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tons</td>
<td>8,721.49</td>
<td>6,150.73</td>
<td>14,872.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>58.64%</td>
<td>41.36%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|**Total**| 34,343.69   | 22,840.57 | 57,184.26 |
|Year to Date| 33,744.94 | 26,616.44 | 60,361.38 |
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members

From: Chris Coulter, Vice President & Chief Operating Officer
Peoria City/County Landfill, Inc. (PCCLI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA DATE REQUESTED:</th>
<th>May 17, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTION REQUESTED:</td>
<td>Latest update on Illinois Senate Bill 1561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACKGROUND:</td>
<td>Attached please find the latest amended language for Illinois Senate Bill 1561 that the Illinois chapter of the National Waste &amp; Recycling Association (NWRA) and the Illinois counties have agreed upon. SB 1561 has passed the Senate and is now at the Illinois House of Representatives for its consideration. I will provide the latest update on this bill to the Committee during its regularly scheduled meeting in May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINANCIAL IMPACT:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMENDMENT TO SENATE BILL 1561

AMENDMENT NO. _____. Amend Senate Bill 1561 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Solid Waste Planning and Recycling Act is amended by adding Section 9.5 as follows:

(415 ILCS 15/9.5 new)

Sec. 9.5. Regulation of certain pollution control facilities. No provision of this Act shall be construed to allow any county with a population of less than 2,000,000 residents to adopt an ordinance or resolution, except an ordinance or resolution adopting a county plan in accordance with Sections 4 and 5 of this Act, or implementing a county plan in accordance with Section 7 of this Act, that requires the issuance of a permit, or that imposes regulations upon the operations of a municipal solid waste landfill unit, sanitary landfill, storage site, transfer station, or waste disposal
site, as long as the facility obtains appropriate permits from
the Agency in accordance with Section 39 of the Environmental
Protection Act. Nothing in this amendatory Act of the 100th
General Assembly shall be construed to diminish or impair any
authority conferred upon a county under the Environmental
Protection Act, including, but not limited to, subsection (r)
of Section 4 and Section 39.2 of the Environmental Protection
Act.

Nothing in this amendatory Act of the 100th General
Assembly shall be construed to limit the authority of a county
to prepare or adopt a county plan pursuant to and in accordance
with Sections 4 and 5 of this Act, to implement a county plan
in accordance with Section 7 of this Act, or to relieve a
facility proposed for siting approval from demonstrating
consistency with that plan as required by criterion (viii) of
subsection (a) of Section 39.2 of the Environmental Protection
Act.

For the purposes of this Section, "municipal solid waste
landfill unit", "sanitary landfill", "storage site", "transfer
station", and "waste disposal site" have the meanings provided
to those terms in the Environmental Protection Act.

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon
becoming law.".
QUESTIONS FOR LEGISLATIVE INTENT ON SB1561

Question:

Is the intent of SB1561 to prevent a county from enacting a permitting and regulatory scheme for solid waste facilities that have appropriate permits from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to Section 39 of the Environmental Protection Act?

Answer: Yes.

Question:

Is the sole objective of this legislation to simply prohibit Cook County-type solid waste permitting ordinances from being adopted by other counties, without impacting, one way or another, whatever statutory authority otherwise exists with respect to county solid waste management plans?

Answer: Yes.
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Scott D. Reeise, Public Works Director

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: April 19, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: DISCUSSION REGARDING ADOPTION OF THE LANDFILL COMMITTEE FUND BALANCE RESERVE POLICY -- DRAFT

BACKGROUND: On January 20, 2016, the PCCL Committee was presented a draft Fund Balance Reserve Policy during the meeting with limited discussion. On February 17, 2016, the item was brought back to the Committee for discussion. It was recommended, that a PCCL Policy Steering Committee be formed to develop a recommended Fund Balance Reserve Policy, consisting of two (2) members from Peoria City, two (2) members from Peoria County, and the Chairman.

The following represents the framework of the policy presented for your consideration and discussion.

**Fund Balance Summary Definition and Purpose(a)**

Fund balance is defined as the monetary difference between a fund’s assets and liabilities. Other terms used for fund balance are fund equity and net assets. Fund balance can be subdivided into reserved and unreserved accounts funds. The Peoria City County Landfill’s (PCCL) fund balance is generally treated as an unreserved fund balance. Unreserved fund balance may be subdivided into designated and un-designated accounts. Un-designated portions of an unreserved fund balance, or excess represent expendable, available financial resources that could be used for either current or long-term fiscal utilization.

The purpose of this policy is to address the governance of the excess unreserved fund balance of the PCCL fund balance. Additionally, the purpose of this policy is to establish appropriate levels of the reserves, ensures adequate cash flows are maintained for operations, ensure that adequate reserves are maintained for contingency and emergency and ensure that adequate reserves are maintained for long-term perpetual care.

**Fund Balance Reserve Policy**

The Peoria City County Landfill Committee recognizes that a prudent and fiscally responsible course of action is to establish a policy for its fund balance. The Committee acknowledges that the fund balance reserve policy is intended to provide guidelines for fiscally responsible operations in the current year of operation, the next operating cycle and long-term planning, and to prevent utilizing landfill revenue for anything not landfill related. The basic tenets of this fund balance reserve policy are to:

- **ESTABLISH A MINIMUM BALANCE**
  - PCCL Will Maintain A Minimum Cash Fund Balance Of 25% (Three (3) Months Operating Expenditures) Reserved From The Prior Year’s Revenue Or The Prior Year Average;

- **CREATE A PERPETUAL CARE FUND**
  - Will determine % of the appropriate fund balance (which as of June 2016 would be $1.8 million);
CREATE A CAPITAL FUND (SIMILAR TO THE PERPETUAL CARE FUND)
- Determine # of the Landfill budget each year devoted to capital, so that as projects come up or other capital opportunities arise funds can be used from the Capital Fund versus the yearly Operating budget.

ESTABLISH A PRE-APPROVED UNBUDGETED EXPENDITURE AMOUNT
- Establish an unbudgeted expenditure AMOUNT $10,000 that must be presented to the Landfill Committee prior payment