DATES SET:

**WEDNESDAY, August 16, 2017 @ 3:00 p.m.**
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at City Hall 419 Fulton Street - Room 404, Peoria Illinois 61602.

**WEDNESDAY, September 20, 2017 @ 3:00 p.m.**
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at City Hall 419 Fulton Street - Room 404, Peoria Illinois 61602.

**WEDNESDAY, October 18, 2017 @ 3:00 p.m.**
REGULAR COMMITTEE MEETING – To be held at City Hall 419 Fulton Street - Room 404, Peoria Illinois 61602.

PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE
AGENDAS AND MINUTES
ISSUED BY:
Stephen M. Morris, CHAIRMAN
CITY OF PEORIA
419 Fulton Street – Room 404
(309) 494-8800
INTERNET ADDRESS: www.peoriagov.org

To access electronic Agenda & Minutes (only):
1. www.peoriagov.org/boards-commissions/
2. Choose Solid Waste Disposal Committee (Landfill)
3. Scroll to the bottom of the screen. Under "Agenda & Minutes" will be a list of the .pdf postings.
4. Select desired document and double click to open.

*CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA SHOULD CONTACT A COMMITTEE MEMBER PRIOR TO THE MEETING. ALL OTHER PUBLIC INPUT WILL BE HEARD UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING.

NOTE: THE ORDER IN WHICH AGENDA ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED MAY BE MOVED FORWARD OR DELAYED BY AT LEAST 2/3 VOTE OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT.

THE PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE MEETS IN REGULAR BUSINESS SESSIONS THE THIRD WEDNESDAY OF THE MONTH (JANUARY THROUGH NOVEMBER) AT 3:00 P.M. AT CITY HALL, 419 Fulton Street - Room 404, PEORIA, ILLINOIS.

DURING THE MONTH OF DECEMBER, PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE WILL NOT MEET UNLESS A SPECIAL MEETING IS CALLED. NOTICES OF ANY SPECIAL MEETING ARE POSTED AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR.
Request for Approval of the Peoria City/County Landfill Minutes
Dated: Friday, June 30, 2017
Wednesday, June 21, 2017

AGENDA ITEMS

ITEM NO. 1  Report from Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC
A. Special Waste Approvals as Needed
B. Permit Approvals as Needed
C. Update Regarding the Leachate Thickness Analysis for Landfill No. 1
D. Updates Regarding Compliance Activities, Measures & Progress

ITEM NO. 2  Request to Receive and File the Landfill Committee’s Monthly Financial Reports
A. Monthly Revenues & Expenses for June 2017 & 2nd Quarter 2017 Finance Update

ITEM NO. 3  Report from Waste Management
A. Monthly Activity Report
B. Permit Approvals as Needed

ITEM NO. 4  Report from Peoria Disposal Co.
A. Update regarding the Plans for the HCM Facility Slab Construction

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

ITEM NO. 1  Request to Adopt the Reserve Fund Balance Policy for the Peoria City/County Landfill

ITEM NO. 2  Update Regarding the Disposal Policy for PCCL #2

NEW BUSINESS
**Next Meeting**

**August 16, 2017 @ 3:00 P.M.**
**City Hall – 419 Fulton Street, Room 404**

**Executive Session**

**Adjournment**
Peoria, Illinois, May 17, 2017, a Regular Meeting of the Peoria City/County Landfill Committee was held this date at 3:03 p.m., at City Hall, (Room 404), 419 Fulton Street with Chairman Morris presiding, and with proper notice having been posted.

ATTENDANCE

MEMBERS PRESENT: Lester Bergsten, Rick Fox, Steve Morris, Zach Oyler, Patrick Nichting, Sharon Williams and Steve Van Winkle – 7.

MEMBERS ABSENT: None.

CITY/COUNTY STAFF PRESENT: Karen Raithel, Scott Reeise and Stephanie Stapleton.

OTHERS PRESENT: Joyce Blumenshine, Josh Gabehart, Steve Harenburg, Curt Hartog, Charles Hostetler, Brian Rogers, Mark Williams and Jerry Wyatt.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

• NONE.

CITIZENS OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE

Chairman Morris opened the floor to any citizens who wished to address the Landfill Committee members. No one came forward to address the Committee.

MINUTES

Mr. Van Winkle moved to approve the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Peoria City/County Landfill Committee held on May 17, 2017; seconded by Mr. Bergsten.

The minutes were approved by viva voce vote.

AGENDA ITEMS

ITEM NO. 1: REPORT FROM FOTH INFRASTRUCTURE & ENVIRONMENT, LLC

(A) SPECIAL WASTE APPROVALS AS NEEDED

Mr. Gabehart stated that there were nine (9) non-special waste profiles. He stated that there were four (4) pre-approved waste profiles #617349IL (Glynn’s Demolition), #617476IL (Galesburg High School), #617524IL (Ameren Illinois), and #617557IL (River City Roofing Co, Inc.) per the Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) Policy; Profile 617417IL (Illinois Department of Transportation) was pre-approved per the Contaminated Soil and Debris Policy; and, four (4) profiles #ASB25605, #ASB25606, #ASB25607 and #ASB25608 all from M&O Environmental Company are five (5) year renewals of existing approved profiles. Based on information provided, he said that Foth had not technical objections for the acceptance of these waste streams.

No action required.

(B) PERMIT APPROVALS AS NEEDED

• PCC LF1 – Semi-Annual Pretreatment Report to Greater Peoria Sanitary District
Mr. Gabehart gave a brief overview of semi-annual permits that required Chairman Morris’ signature. He stated that the semi-annual Pretreatment report to the Greater Peoria Sanitary District was required as part of the permit to discharge leachate at the Darst Street Facility for Landfill No. 1. He said the report included flow and analytical results for parameters as required by the permit for the time period of January 2017 through June 2017. He noted that the semi-annual pretreatment report was due July 20, 2017.

Mr. Gabehart stated that The Clean Air Permit Program required several reports to be submitted semi-annually with regard to various compliance, monitoring and operational data for the Peoria City/County Landfill. He explained that the SSMP, NSPS and CAAPP Semi-Annual Air Monitoring Reports included gas system monitoring, downtime data, surface scan data, flare log and down time, gas well data, cover inspection logs, and data that pertained to Landfill No. 2 provided by Waste Management for the first half of 2017 and are due July 31, 2017.

Mr. Gabehart indicated that a loss of power occurred on May 17, 2017, and lasted two (2) hours and 44 minutes. He explained that the power outage was related to high winds and thunderstorms in the area. He noted that the flair automatically turned back on when the power returned. He noted that a 405 CAAPP Form would need to be submitted to the IEPA due to the flair being shut down for over an hour.

Mr. Gabehart stated that there were no other permit approvals, at this time. He did not anticipate any other reports that would require Chairman Morris’ or Director Reese’s signature, he respectfully requested approval to obtain Chairman Morris’ or Director Reese’s signatures, should the need arise prior to the next Committee meeting.

Mr. Fox moved to approve the Reports for PCC LF1 – Semi-Annual Pretreatment Report to Greater Peoria Sanitary District; PCC LF1 – Clean Air Act Permit Program (CAAPP) Semi-Annual Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan (SSMP) Report (January 2017-June 2017); PCC LF1 – CAAPP Semi-Annual New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) Report (January 2017-June 2017); PCC LF1 – Clean Air Act Permit Program (CAAPP) Semi-Annual Air Monitoring Report (January 2017-June 2017), including securing Chairman Morris’ signature, seconded by Mr. Bergsten.

Motion was approved by viva voce vote.

(C) UPDATE ON THE ANNUAL AIRSPACE EVALUATION

Mr. Gabehart gave a brief summary of the calculations performed by Foth to calculate the remaining capacity of Landfill No. 2. He noted that the Landfill No. 2 was expected to reach capacity between 2020 and 2021, as compared to previous estimates that showed 2022. To date, he said the total amount of airspace used was 5,878,541 cubic yards and the total lifetime density of was 0.70 tons per cubic yard (1400 pounds of waste per cubic yard), which he felt was in line with industry standards. Based on Foth’s analysis, he said the remaining capacity for waste placement was 1,407,348 cubic yards. He further explained that the landfill had approximately four-years of airspace remaining.

Further, Mr. Gabehart stated that Waste Management’s report indicated that remaining capacity was 1,400,461 cubic yards as of December 31, 2016. WM estimated that Landfill #2 would close sometime in 2022, he said. He indicated that waste collection patterns have the ability to change over time, but based on historical information, Foth expected that Landfill #2 would reach capacity sometime between 2020 & 2021.

Mr. Rogers stated that the estimated remaining capacity for Landfill No. 2 was extremely close with the numbers provided by Foth. He stated that WM hoped to give PDC a three-year notice to allow ample time for PDC to construct Landfill No. 3.
Mr. Harenburg noted that he hoped to have a smooth transition between Landfill No. 2 and Landfill No. 3. He realized that there would be some overlap between both Landfills; however, he anticipated that construction would start as early as 2018 or 2019 with tree grubbing, etc.

In discussion with Mr. Van Winkle regarding density in 2013 – 2014, Mr. Gabehart stated that he felt the density was slightly skewed due to 20 acres of final cover that was installed and settlement had occurred during this time.

Mr. Fox questioned if there was a formal notification date or timeline for Waste Management for closure of Landfill No. 2.

Mr. Gabehart stated that he did not know the date off hand, but would check and report back to the Committee at the next meeting.

(D) Updates Regarding Compliance Activities, Measures & Progress

- Financial Information

Mr. Gabehart outlined the engineering services provided from July 1, 2016 through May 31, 2017. He stated that the total amount billed to-date was $262,461.20.

Updates Regarding Compliance Activities, Measures and Progress

Mr. Gabehart stated that there was one unscheduled shutdown in May. On May 17th, a shutdown occurred late evening caused by power loss at the flare for a total time of 2 hours and 44 minutes. He pointed out that severe thunderstorms moved through the area during that time and likely the cause of the outage.

With ample sunshine during the month of May, Mr. Gabehart stated that the gas wells pumped with the Blackhawk solar panel pumps (C-1, B-1, and B-7) along with pneumatic pumping of leachate manholes and gas well T-5 a totaled 29,000 gallons of leachate/condensate were transported to the Greater Peoria Sanitary District. This compares to 5,000 gallons pumped during the same time period in 2016, he said.

Mr. Gabehart stated that the planned budget for engineering services was currently 93.7% complete and current time period percent complete of the contract was 91.7% with the current expenditures at 87.9% of the approved engineering budget, at this time. He indicated that the current agreement came in under budget for the engineering services contract.

Further, Mr. Gabehart noted that through maintenance, condensate removal and monitoring of the GCCS, no gas wells exhibited positive pressure during the May monitoring period. The temporary landfill gas collection line installed for remediation of the positive pressure gas wells of R-5, T-2, and T-3 continued to extract landfill gas, he said. He pointed out that this area had been identified for additional planned improvements that would be implemented in 2017.

Mr. Gabehart stated that the depth to liquid on gas wells was being completed as part of the ongoing monitoring plan of liquid fluctuations across Landfill No. 1. By conducting the measurements, he said Foth was able to generate a model of the leachate elevations in Landfill No. 1 that provided information on where to focus liquid removal efforts. He further explained that the liquid elevations could impact gas well performance and gas system collection efficiencies. He stated that Foth would provide a report next month for leachate pumping.

No action required.

Item No. 2 Request to Approve the Extension of the Engineering Services Agreement with Foth Infrastructure & Environment, LLC

Public Works Director Scott Reese noted that a Request for Proposals was done in 2013. He stated that the Foth Infrastructure was selected by the Committee to provide engineering services. He noted that this was an extension of
the previous contract and that the proposed contract was $10,000 less, which totaled $282,275 for services provided for 2017-2018.

Mr. Fox expressed concern regarding future compliance issues and questioned if funds for capital improvement projects were allocated with the proposed agreement.

Director Reeise explained that the design for the monitoring wells and construction observation was included in the proposed budget. He further explained that the capital improvement projects would be paid from the Landfill Committee’s budget.

Mr. Van Winkle moved to approve the Extension of the Engineering Services Agreement with Foth Infrastructure & Environment for period of one year from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 in the amount of $282,275; seconded by Mr. Bergsten.

Chairman Morris indicated that there was a considerable amount of interaction with Mr. Gabehart in between meetings and that he appreciated Mr. Gabehart’s responsiveness and willingness to work with the proposed engineering services agreement.

Director Reeise pointed out that Mr. Gabehart had been very cooperative with staff. He indicated that several changes made to the contractual language of the proposed agreement.

Motion to approve the Extension of the Engineering Services Agreement with Foth Infrastructure & Environment for period of one year from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 in the amount of $282,275 was approved by viva voce vote.

**ITEM NO. 3   LANDFILL MONTHLY BUDGET REPORT**

Director Reeise gave a brief update of the monthly financial report. He stated that the volumes had picked up, which was a plus for the Committee. He stated that efforts would continue to be made to monitor the waste stream volumes. He noted that the current projections for 2017 would fall short by approximately $35,000 by the end of the year. He said there was a $12,000 surplus for the month. He stated that staff would continue to monitor expenses.

Director Reeise stated that the capital improvement project would be advertised in July.

No action required.

**ITEM NO. 4   REPORT FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.**

**A. MONTHLY ACTIVITY REPORT**

Due to a family emergency, Mr. Rogers stated that he was unable to attend the previous meeting. He apologized for the inconvenience and said he would contact Chairman Morris in the future.

Mr. Rogers gave a brief overview of the monthly activity report through May 2017. He said that all weekly random load checks were completed and documented with no issues to report.

**B. PERMIT APPROVALS AS NEEDED**

Mr. Rogers stated that he did not anticipate any other reports that would require Chairman Morris’ signature, but that he respectfully requested approval to obtain Chairman Morris’ signature, should the need arise prior to the next Committee meeting, subject to review and approval in advance by Foth.

Mr. Fox moved to secure Chairman Morris’ signature for permits, subject to review and approval in advance by Foth; seconded by Mr. Bergsten.
Motion was approved by viva voce vote.

**ITEM NO. 5**  
**REPORT FROM PEORIA DISPOSAL**

A. **UPDATE REGARDING TOUR OF HCM FACILITY AT WASTE COMMISSION OF SCOTT COUNTY, IA ON MAY 24, 2017**

Mr. Harenburg stated that the visit to the Household Hazardous Waste Facilities was impressive. He said the purpose of the trip was to help with design ideas as PDC move forward with Landfill No. 3. He said the tour was amazing and well-worth the trip.

B. **UPDATE ON NPDES PERMIT RECEIVED FOR LANDFILL NO. AND THE HCM FACILITY**

Mr. Harenburg introduced Dr. Charles Hostetler. He stated that Dr. Hostetler was very instrumental in building the wetlands area and he would be assisting with the construction of Landfill No. 3.

At this time, there were no questions regarding NPDES Permit.

No action required.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

**ITEM NO. 1**  
**UPDATE REGARDING THE LANDFILL FUND BALANCE RESERVE POLICY**

Chairman Morris stated that this item was on the Agenda to gather input from the Committee.

Director Reeise stated that the Fund Balance Reserve Policy would establish some guidelines for the Committee to generate some reserves. He stated that the Policy would be presented to both governing bodies for approval. He reviewed the following points:

- **ESTABLISH A MINIMUM BALANCE**
  - PCCL Will Maintain A Minimum Cash Fund Balance Of 25% (Three (3) Months Operating Expenditures) Reserved From The Prior Year’s Revenue Or The Prior Year Average;

- **CREATE A PERPETUAL CARE FUND**
  - Will determine % of the appropriate fund balance (which as of June 2016 would be $1.8 million);

- **CREATE A CAPITAL FUND (SIMILAR TO THE PERPETUAL CARE FUND)**
  - Determine # of the Landfill budget each year devoted to capital, so that as projects come up or other capital opportunities arise funds can be used from the Capital Fund versus the yearly Operating budget.

- **ESTABLISH A PRE-APPROVED UNBUDGETED EXPENDITURE AMOUNT**
  - Establish an unbudgeted expenditure AMOUNT $10,000 that must be presented to the Landfill Committee prior payment

Director Reeise stated that the Committee would need to work towards establishing a minimum balance. As it pertained to the Perpetual Care Fund, he stated this would have been a requirement if the landfill was privately owned. He stated the purpose of the fund was to take care of the post closure care in the case of bankruptcy. He noted that $1.8 million would need to be set aside, if the Committee moved forward with establishing this fund. In the event, a fund was not established, then the responsibility would be placed on both governing bodies. At one time, he stated the Committee use to have a substantial fund balance; however, the funds were reduced when the Committee purchased the land for Landfill No. 3. When PDC was selected as the contractor for Landfill No. 3, he stated that a partial payment of $450,000 was paid to the County and to the City that was paid by the Contractor totaling $900,000 for the cost to acquire the expansion area, but the Landfill Committee purchased the
property. A second and final installment in the sum of $900,000 to be split between both entities in the amount of $450,000 each would be paid when Landfill No. 3 was opened. He said that he felt this would be an opportune time to establish the Perpetual Care Fund.

Mr. Van Winkle stated that he felt it was important to have the funds available to take care of the predictable needs of the Landfill, which he felt would be covered in the cash balance. He stated that he felt the funds should be set aside each year to build a Perpetual Care Fund until the financial goal was achieved.

Mr. Nichting concurred with Mr. Van Winkle.

Mr. Fox thanked the sub-committee for taking the time to establish a policy and gather input from the Committee. He stated that the Landfill was a great public asset for Peoria, but he felt there were risks as well; therefore, he felt that resources should be set aside.

Mr. Bergsten stated that he felt that both governing bodies should return the $900,000 to the Committee to help establish the Perpetual Care Fund. He noted that one payment was issued to both the City and the County; however, a second installment would be due when Landfill No. 3 opened. He stated that he felt very strongly that Committee should strive to work towards $1.8 million to establish the fund.

Chairman Morris stated that the first initial payment of $450,000 to Peoria County was set aside in a reserve fund; however, he was not certain about the City’s payout. He further explained that he felt that the Landfill was not adequately reserved; therefore, he requested that the funds be dedicated to the Committee. He explained that a vote would be needed by the County Board to return the funds to the Committee.

Chairman Morris questioned whether the Committee would prefer that staff formalize a policy for the Committee to presented at the next scheduled Landfill Regular Meeting.

Director Reeise stated that staff should be able to provide this information by the next scheduled meeting.

Mr. Fox questioned whether the Committee should provide a formal request to the City and the County Board to request that the second installment of $900,000 be returned to the Committee to help establish the Perpetual Care Fund.

Director Reeise stated that he’d pursue this option in 2022 when the Landfill opened.

As a body, Mr. Fox felt that the Committee should pursue this option as a funding source that was directly related to the Landfill.

At this time, Chairman Morris stated that he did not have answer for the proposed questions regarding the $900,000 that was paid to the City and the County.

Director Reeise stated that 25% of the Cash Balance would amount to $125,000 and $200,000 for the Perpetual Care Fund.

Mr. Fox stated he felt that the Committee should continue to have discussions regarding this topic.

Mr. Van Winkle stated that he felt the request for the second installment of $900,000 be documented and noted in the communication to both governing bodies.

ITEM NO. 2 UPDATE REGARDING THE DISPOSAL POLICY FOR PCCL #2

Chairman Morris distributed an e-mail that he received from Mr. Joe Wanek regarding the disposal fee rate at the Landfill. He indicated that Mr. Wanek requested to attend the Committee meeting, but he ensured Mr. Wanek that he would circulate his e-mail at the next meeting.
Chairman Morris gave a brief overview of the free load policy. Due to the increased numbers of free loads received at the Landfill, Waste Management implemented some changes which have resulted in several complaints from residents. He indicated that the difference between commercial and residential loads needed to be defined. He noted that the intent of the free load program was that residents were not to be charged $63.12 for household items. He stated that he felt that the increased rate was not compliant with the current contract between the Peoria City/County Landfill and Waste Management. He noted that the gate operator informed residents that this was the County’s policy, which he stated was not the County’s policy to charge residents for household residential waste and certainly not $63.12 for the one-ton minimum. He questioned if the Committee wanted to formalize a letter to Waste Management addressing the Committee’s concerns regarding the Free Load Policy. He stated that he felt that residents would eventually dump the debris along the road side versus pay the disposal fee.

Mr. Nichting stated that he had requested two months ago that Waste Management provide a spreadsheet that identified the 14,000 free loads. To-date, this data had not been provided, he stated. Previously, he questioned how many people were repeats under this program? He stated that abuse needed to be identified in order to make any changes to the current program. He said he felt the focus should be on individuals that are engaging in commercial activity beyond the scope of household waste. He requested that a report back be provided at the next meeting.

Chairman Morris stated that he would support a modification to the program to address the abusers and he reiterated that the rate increase was not compliant with the Free Load Policy.

Mr. Rogers stated that WM averaged approximately 1,200 free loads in one-month. He stated that items that are attached to a house or building were considered as building materials and not eligible under the program. Clearly, carpet was attached to the home and would not be included under this program. He pointed out the rest of the materials listed in the e-mail were a non-issue. He indicated that the gate operator was very liberal and goes through great lengths to make sure that residents are being accommodated and to ensure that they have the proper identification to qualify for the free load. He further explained that the gate operator asked if the materials are from personal household. He noted that clean-ups at rental properties are not eligible. He stated that he felt that Mr. Wanek had something that was not eligible under the current free load program.

Chairman Morris stated that he was not being critical of Sarah and the service she provided. He stated that he felt WM had implemented a new policy and did not inform the Committee. He reiterated that Mr. Nichting requested data twice and to-date this information had not yet been provided. He stated that the Committee had not instructed WM to charge residents the one-ton minimum. He stated that he felt that this matter had not been resolved and that Mr. Wanek requested that the charges in the amount of $63.12 be refunded. He expressed concern that residents were not notified of the changes and the information had not been properly posted.

Further, Chairman Morris pointed out that the free loads were down from 925 loads to 706 loads in May. He stated that he felt the Committee could craft a modified plan to address the abusers.

In discussion with Mr. Fox regarding the number of complaints, Chairman Morris stated that he had not received any complaints prior to the changes that were implemented by Waste Management.

Mr. Fox stated that something had changed in the past several months that had caused this problem, which he felt was a result of the recent policy changes. He stated that he felt the Committee and Waste Management needed to agree on what was acceptable under the Free Load Policy.

In discussion with Mr. Rogers, Chairman Morris requested that the gate operator stop informing residents that the County imposed the rate increase.

Mr. Fox recalled that there was a change to the rate for the one-ton minimum, which he felt was a contributing factor to the issues surrounding the free load program.

Mr. Rogers stated that the requirements for the Free Load Program had not changed.
Chairman Morris referenced comments from Mr. Wiersema from the January 18, 2017, meeting minutes. He noted that Mr. Wiersema stated that there was an increase at the beginning of the year. He reiterated this was not an increase imposed by the Committee, but internally by Waste Management. He said the price increased from $20 to $63. He pointed out that the $20 minimum was based on 700 pounds.

Mr. Fox stated that this was driving factor for the complaints. He stated that the program language needed to be clearly defined.

Chairman Morris stated that he felt the Committee should engage in a public awareness campaign and update the website and materials provided to residents warning residents of the increased rates.

After much discussion, it was determined that the necessary data be provided by Waste Management to help identify the abuse in the Free Load Policy and that additional discussions would continue to take place to rectify this matter.

**NEW BUSINESS**

- **EPA LANDFILL GAS RULES UPDATE**

  Mr. Hartog distributed copies of the Landfill Gas Rules and gave a brief overview of the current system as it pertained to new source performance standards and potential changes. As of May 31, 2017, he noted that the EPA issued a 90-day stay (August 29, 2017) to re-evaluate Tier 4 provisions (and other provisions the Administration had granted reconsideration). He explained that Landfills would still be required to comply with subparts and that revised rules may be issued in August.

**NEXT MEETING**

The next scheduled meeting will be held on **Wednesday, August 16, 2017, at City Hall, 419 Fulton Street (Room 404)**.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

**REQUESTING APPROVAL OF A MOTION FOR THE PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL COMMITTEE GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS 2(C)(11) LITIGATION, WHEN AN ACTION AGAINST, AFFECTING, OR ON BEHALF OF THE PARTICULAR PUBLIC BODY HAS BEEN FILED AND IS PENDING BEFORE A COURT OR ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, OR WHEN THE PUBLIC BODY FINDS THAT SUCH AN ACTION IS PROBABLE OR IMMINENT.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further discussion, the Chairman declared the meeting adjourned.

Approved by viva voce vote.

The Landfill Committee adjourned at 4:20 p.m.

________________________
Stephen Morris, Chairman

/ss
Brimfield, Illinois, June 30, 2017, a Tour of the City/County Landfill by a quorum of the City/County Landfill Committee was held this date at 8:01 a.m. at 11501 West Cottonwood Road, Brimfield, Illinois, with proper notice having been posted.

ATTENDANCE

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rick Fox, Patrick Nichting, Zach Oyler and Sharon Williams – 4.

MEMBERS ABSENT: Chairman Steve Morris, Lester Bergsten, and Steve Van Winkle – 3.

OTHERS PRESENT: Josh Gabehart of Foth, and Ruth Blancaflor for the City of Peoria.

A tour of the Peoria City/County Landfill began at the Scale House, where the Committee Members introduced themselves to the Operator and Mr. Gabehart gave an overview of the operations of the facility. While they were there, a PDC truck came through with a load and the Operator explained how the truck would drive onto the scale and she would enter the truck number and the scale calculated the weight of the load and a ticket would automatically print. Mr. Gabehart pointed out the importance of recordkeeping at the Scale House.

Mr. Gabehart discussed the different types of landfills and pointed out the locations of Landfill 1 through 3 from a large aerial photo in the Scale House office. He described some of the farming and hunting leases in the other areas owned by the Landfill. He said many of the areas under lease agreements were previously strip mines, and he noted great foresight was shown by the community in purchasing the old strip mine properties. He also noted, in discussion, that landfills have to be at least 6 miles away from airports, due to birds around the area.

The Committee next toured the residential customer and contractor waste drop-off area. Mr. Gabehart explained how the customers dumped trash into a conveyor area, which then dumped into a waste-hauling trailer, below, and it was then taken up to the landfill area. He noted the customer drop-off area would change after PDC built the Household Hazardous Chemical Facility. While in that area, Mr. Gabehart pointed out the high power lines, which were frequently discussed during the committee meetings, and he also noted the water trucks that were working to help control the dust from the road, in keeping with the dust control plan under the landfill’s air permit requirements. He explained that using water from landfill ponds to water the roads was very cost-effective.

The Committee then saw the Flare at Landfill #1. Mr. Gabehart explained the system was monitored 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. He discussed the quality of the methane pipes which took the gas to the tank where the moisture was removed prior to moving it to the leachate tank. He said the flow was
directed to the tanks and combusted at the flare in order to break down the Landfill gas, making it less potent.

Mr. Gabehart pointed out the Landfill #3 area which, he said, would have to be cleared of trees and graded prior to its opening. He said the pre-construction process for that area would take two to three years. He noted that PDC had already installed some preliminary groundwater piezometers for the Landfill #3 area.

In walking the area around the gas wells at Landfill #1, the Committee discussed the difficulty of recirculating leachate in the landfill in the areas having clay liner rather than a geocomposite membrane system. Mr. Gabehart discussed the importance of the leachate recirculation, and he said it was more successful in the lined areas of the landfill. He pointed out the gas wells’ pipe network and he explained how the underground pipes took the gas to the flare. He explained that if the gas was not collected, it would migrate to the outside of the footprint of the landfill and potentially impact groundwater. He noted some of the pipes had to be redirected to the flare overtime due to settlement impeding flow.

The group briefly discussed RTC’s design and infrastructure construction of the gas wells in the Landfill #1 area. Mr. Gabehart noted there were currently 69 gas wells in the Landfill #1 area, while he thought there were currently less than 20 gas wells in Landfill #2 which, he said, was partially due to different infrastructure designs and improved landfill management. He also noted the Japanese Hops and how they have arefouare an invasive species and problematic for the mowers and also, in winter, when they died back no cover was provided.

The Committee entered the RTC building and briefly discussed the abandoned CAT gas generators and other equipment.

The Committee traveled to the active landfill area at Cell 7 in Landfill #2. Mr. Gabehart pointed out and described the differences between the intermediate cover and the final cover areas of Landfill #2. He said the intermediate cover treatment was used in the area that hadn’t been closed. In the active landfill area, he explained 6 inches of daily cover and clean soil was required at the end of every business day. He said the soil used was from the stockpile areas around the landfill. Normally, he said tarps could be used for a day or so, but they were currently using the daily cover of dirt. They watched the compactor move and work the trash that was being dumped. Mr. Gabehart pointed out that there were wet weather and dry weather areas where the compactors worked.

In discussion with Ms. Williams regarding the acceptance of hazardous materials at the landfill, Mr. Gabehart stated this location was not permitted for that type of waste. He said there may be some normal quantities of some residential items, but not truckloads. In further discussion, regarding roofing shingles, he stated if the load consisted entirely of shingles, it was to be taken to the Southwind RAS facility for recycling. However, he said, if even one other item of construction was included in the load, it would go to the landfill.

The Committee traveled to the soil stockpile areas and Mr. Gabehart briefly explained the Landfill #3 footprint and the agreements with Waste Management for use of certain areas. He said Waste Management currently was regrading some area of the Landfill #2 stockpile back to its original topography, because that was part of the original stockpile use agreement with Waste Management. He said the stockpile in that area was to be used for daily and final cover for Landfill #2.
Finally, the group toured the areas of the solar-powered pumps taking water to the north-south sump pump, the roll-off storage area, and the former composting site.

The tour concluded at 9:30 a.m.

____________________________________
Stephen Morris, Chairman

/rmb
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Joshua Gabehart, P.E., Mark Williams, Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: July 19, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Receive and file six (6) pre-approved non-special waste profiles.

BACKGROUND: Waste profiles 617538IL (Joshua Fries), 617621IL (Chillicothe Elementary School), 617624IL (Lincoln School), 617670IL (Alex Gilles), 617730IL (Ameren Illinois) 617735IL (Mossville Jr. High School) were all pre-approved per the Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) Policy.

A memorandum is attached, which reviews the profiles and includes information pertaining to each profile.

Based on the information provided, Foth has no technical objections for the acceptance of waste streams listed above.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A
MEMORANDUM

TO: Joint City of Peoria - County of Peoria
Solid Waste Committee

DATE: July 19, 2017

FROM: Mark Williams

NUMBER: 17P200.00

SUBJECT: Special Waste Permits

Waste Management has presented the following waste streams.

**Pre-Approved Waste Streams (No Action is Required. For Information Only)**

- Joshua Fries, Trivoli, IL, Profile 617538IL, ACM Policy, 400 sq ft, one-time
- Chillicothe Elementary School, Chillicothe, IL, Profile 617621IL, ACM Policy, 200 yds, repeat
- Lincoln School, Canton, IL, Profile 617624IL, ACM Policy, 2262 sq ft. tons, repeat
- Alex Gilles, Peoria Heights, IL Profile 617670IL, ACM Policy, 1.5 tons, one-time
- Ameren Illinois, Knoxville, IL, Profile 617730IL, ACM Policy, 40 tons, one-time
- Mossville Jr High School, Chillicothe, IL, Profile 617735IL, ACM Policy, 625 yds, repeat

The profiles are attached.

Committee approval does not relieve the Generator and Landfill Operator from complying with all applicable laws and regulations.
A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)

1. Generator Name: Joshua Fries
2. Site Address: 16606 Dorembus Road (City, State, ZIP) TRIVOLI IL 61569
3. County: Peoria
4. Contact Name: James Fries
5. Email: imj110263@gmail.com
6. Phone: (832) 418-0779
7. Fax: N/A
8. Generator EPA ID: 8324180779
9. State ID: 617538IL

B. BILLING INFORMATION

1. Billing Name: Joshua Fries
2. Billing Address: 16606 Dorembus Road (City, State, ZIP) TRIVOLI IL 61569
3. Contact Name: James Fries
4. Email: imj110263@gmail.com
5. Phone: (832) 418-0779
6. Fax: N/A
7. WM Hauled? Yes
8. P.O. Number: N/A
9. Payment Method: Credit Card

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION

1. Common Name: Asbestos-Friable

Describe Process Generating Material: See Attached

Removal of regulated, friable asbestos containing materials from demolition/dismantling or remediation activities. Does not include clean-up wastes, such as soil, that are contaminated with asbestos.

2. Material Composition and Contaminants: See Attached

1. Demolition debris, asbestos 100%
2. 
3. 
4. 
Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%

3. State Waste Codes: N/A

4. Color: Various

5. Physical State at 70°F: Solid
6. Free Liquid Range Percentage: N/A
7. pH: N/A
8. Strong Odor: N/A
9. Flash Point: N/A

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

1. Analytical attached Yes

Please identify applicable samples and/or lab reports:

2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION

1. One-Time Event
2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 400
3. Container Type and Size: bagged
4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name: N/A

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)

By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): James Fries Date: 06/06/2017
Title: President Company: AIS

Certification Signature

QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

©2015 Waste Management
A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)
1. Generator Name: Chillicothe Elementary School
2. Site Address: 914 West Truitt
   (City, State, ZIP) Chillicothe, IL 61523
3. County: Peoria
4. Contact Name: Chad Allison
5. Email: dbreden@aol.com
6. Phone: (309) 274-5418
7. Fax: N/A
8. Generator EPA ID: N/A
9. State ID: N/A

B. BILLING INFORMATION
1. Billing Name: General Waste Services, Inc.
2. Billing Address: 2398 Belle Street
   (City, State, ZIP) Alton IL 62002
3. Contact Name: Donnie Breden
4. Email: dbreden@aol.com
5. Phone: (618) 465-0269 x14
6. Fax: N/A
7. WM Hauled?: Yes
8. P.O. Number: N/A
9. Payment Method: Credit Account
10. Credit Card

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
1. Common Name: Asbestos-Friable
   Describe Process Generating Material: See Attached
   Removal of regulated, friable asbestos containing materials from demolition/dismantling or remediation activities. Does not include clean-up wastes, such as soil, that are contaminated with asbestos.
2. Material Composition and Contaminants: See Attached
   1. Demolition debris, asbestos 100%
   2. 
   3. 
   4. 
   Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%
3. State Waste Codes: N/A
4. Color: Various
5. Physical State at 70°F: Solid Liquid Other:
6. Free Liquid Range Percentage: to N/A
7. pH: to N/A
8. Strong Odor: Yes No Describe: N/A
9. Flash Point: <140°F 140°F–199°F ≥200° N/A

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
1. EPA Hazardous Waste? Yes No
   Code: N/A
2. State Hazardous Waste? Yes No
   Code: N/A
3. Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion? Yes No
4. Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents? Yes No
5. From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP? Yes No
6. Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGG? Yes No
7. CERCLA or State-mandated clean-up? Yes No
8. NRC or State-regulated radioactive or NORM waste? Yes No
9. Contains PCBs? If Yes, answer a, b and c.
   a. Regulated by 40 CFR 761? Yes No
   b. Remediation under 40 CFR 761.61 (a)? Yes No
   c. Were PCB imported into the US? Yes No
10. Regulated and/or Untreated Medical/Infectious Waste? Yes No
11. Contains Asbestos? If Yes: Non-Friable Non-Friable – Regulated Friable
    *If Yes, see Addendum (page 2) for additional questions and space.

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION
1. Analytical attached Yes
   Please identify applicable samples and/or lab reports:
   
2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION
1. One-Time Event Repeat Event/Ongoing Business
2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 200 Tons Yards Drums Gallons Other:
3. Container Type and Size: 40 yd closed top
4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name: N/A

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)
By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Donnie Breden Date: 06/14/2017
Title: Director of Operations
Company: General Waste Services, Inc.

Certification Signature

REVISED JUNE 30, 2015
"THINK GREEN!"
QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE
©2015 Waste Management
A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)

1. Generator Name: Lincoln School
2. Site Address: 20 Lincoln Rd
   (City, State, ZIP) Canton IL 61520
3. County: Fulton
4. Contact Name: Ric Mayhew
5. Email: Shannon.Williamson@cantonusd.org
6. Phone: (309) 647-2752
7. Fax: 
8. Generator EPA ID: 3096472752
9. State ID: 

B. BILLING INFORMATION

1. Billing Name: Canton Union School District #66
2. Billing Address: 20 West Walnut Street
   (City, State, ZIP) Canton IL 61520
3. Contact Name: Ric Mayhew
4. Email: Shannon.Williamson@cantonusd.org
5. Phone: (309) 647-2752
6. Fax: (309) 647-2752
7. WM Hauled? Yes No
8. P.O. Number: ADM-4316
9. Payment Method: Credit Account Cash Credit Card

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION

1. Common Name: Asbestos-Non-Friable
   Describe Process Generating Material: See Attached
   Demolition/renovation - when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder by hand pressure. Including gaskets, resilient floor coverings and asphalt roofing products (specify in C.2.) Does not include clean-up wastes, such as

2. Material Composition and Contaminants: See Attached
   1. Non-Friable Asbestos (Uncontaminated) 0-100 %
   2.
   3.
   4.
   Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%
   3. State Waste Codes: N/A
   4. Color: Various
   5. Physical State at 70°F: Solid Liquid Other:
   6. Free Liquid Range Percentage: to N/A
   7. pH: to N/A
   8. Strong Odor: Yes No Describe:
   9. Flash Point: <140˚F 140˚−199˚F ≥200˚ N/A

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION

1. EPA Hazardous Waste? Yes No
   Code: 
2. State Hazardous Waste? Yes No
   Code: 
3. Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion? Yes No
4. Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents? Yes No
5. From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP? Yes No
6. Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGG? Yes No
7. CERCLA or State-mandated clean-up? Yes No
8. NRC or State-regulated radioactive or NORM waste? Yes No
9. Contains PCBs? If Yes, answer a, b and c.
   a. Regulated by 40 CFR 761?
   b. Remediation under 40 CFR 761.61 (a)?
   c. Were PCB imported into the US?
10. Regulated and/or Untreated Medical/Infectious Waste? Yes No
11. Contains Asbestos? Yes No
   If Yes: Non-Friable Non-Friable – Regulated Friable

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

1. Analytical attached Yes
   Please identify applicable samples and/or lab reports:

2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION

1. One-Time Event Repeat Event/Ongoing Business
2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 2262
   Tons Yards Drums Gallons Other: Square Feet
3. Container Type and Size: 40 yard close top
4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name:

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)

By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Ric Mayhew Date: 06/14/2017
Title: Maintenance Supervisor
Company: Canton Union School District #66

Certification Signature

THINK GREEN! QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

Revised June 30, 2015 ©2015 Waste Management
C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
Describe Process Generating Material (Continued from page 1): If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

soils, that are contaminated with nonfriable asbestos.

Material Composition and Contaminants (Continued from page 1): If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total composition must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
Only questions with a “Yes” response in Section D on the EZ Profile™ form (page 1) need to be answered here.

1. EPA Hazardous Waste
   a. Please list all USEPA listed and characteristic waste code numbers:

   b. Is the material subject to the Alternative Debris standards (40 CFR 268.45)?
      - Yes
      - No
   c. Is the material subject to the Alternative Soil standards (40 CFR 268.49)?
      → If Yes, complete question 4.
      - Yes
      - No
   d. Is the material exempt from Subpart CC Controls (40 CFR 264.1083)?
      → If Yes, please check one of the following:
      - Waste meets LDR or treatment exemptions for organics (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(2) or (c)(4))
      - Waste contains VOCs that average <500 ppmw (CRF 264.1082(c)(1)) – will require annual update.

2. State Hazardous Waste
   → Please list all state waste codes:

3. For material that is Treated, Delisted, or Excluded
   → Please indicate the category, below:
      - Delisted Hazardous Waste
      - Excluded Waste under 40 CFR 261.4
      - Specify Exclusion:
      - Treated Hazardous Waste Debris
      - Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste
      → If checked, complete question 4.

4. Underlying Hazardous Constituents
   → Please list all Underlying Hazardous Constituents:

5. Industries regulated under Benzene NESHAP include petroleum refineries, chemical manufacturing plants, coke by-product recovery plants, and TSDFs.
   a. Are you a TSDF?
      → If yes, please complete Benzene NESHAP questionnaire. If not, continue.
      - Yes
      - No
   b. Does this material contain benzene?
      - Yes
      - No
   1. If yes, what is the flow weighted average concentration? ppmw
   c. What is your facility’s current total annual benzene quantity in Megagrams?
      - <1 Mg
      - 1–9.99 Mg
      - ≥10 Mg
      - Yes
      - No
   d. Is this waste soil from a remediation?
      → If yes, what is the benzene concentration in remediation waste? ppmw
   e. Does the waste contain >10% water/moisture?
      - Yes
      - No
   f. Has material been treated to remove 99% of the benzene or to achieve <10 ppmw?
      - Yes
      - No
   g. Is material exempt from controls in accordance with 40 CFR 61.342?
      → If yes, specify exemption:
   h. Based on your knowledge of your waste and the BWON regulations, do you believe that this waste stream is subject to treatment and control requirements at an off-site TSDF?
      - Yes
      - No
   6. 40 CFR 63 GGGGG
      → Does the material contain <500 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination?
      - Yes
      - No
   7. CERCLA or State-Mandated clean up
      → Please submit the Record of Decision or other documentation with process information to assist others in the evaluation for proper disposal. A “Determination of Acceptability” may be needed for CERCLA wastes not going to a CERCLA approved facility.
   8. NRC or state regulated radioactive or NORM Waste
      → Please identify Isotopes and pCi/g:
A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)
1. Generator Name: Alex Gilles
2. Site Address: 1200 E Hines Ave
   (City, State, ZIP) Peoria Heights IL 61616
3. County: Peoria
4. Contact Name: Alex Gilles
5. Email: awgilles87@yahoo.com
6. Phone: (720) 999-2016
7. Fax: 
8. Generator EPA ID: N/A
9. State ID: N/A

B. BILLING INFORMATION
1. Billing Name: Alex Gilles
2. Billing Address: 1200 E Hines Ave
   (City, State, ZIP) Peoria Heights IL 61616
3. Contact Name: Alex Gilles
4. Email: awgilles87@yahoo.com
5. Phone: (720) 999-2016
6. Fax: 
7. WM Hauled? Yes
8. P.O. Number: 
9. Payment Method: Credit Account

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
1. Common Name: Asbestos-Non-Friable
   Describe Process Generating Material: See Attached
   Demolition/renovation - when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder by hand pressure. Including gaskets, resilient floor coverings and asphalt roofing products (specify in C.2.) Does not include clean-up wastes, such as

2. Material Composition and Contaminants: See Attached
   Non-Friable Asbestos (Uncontaminated)
   Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100%
   State Waste Codes: N/A

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
1. EPA Hazardous Waste? Yes
   Code: 
2. State Hazardous Waste? Yes
   Code: 
3. Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion? Yes
4. Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents? Yes
5. From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP? No
6. Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGG? No
7. CERCLA or State-regulated clean-up? Yes
8. NRC or State-regulated radioactive or NORM waste? Yes
9. Contains PCBs? Yes
   If Yes, answer a, b and c.
   a. Regulated by 40 CFR 761? Yes
   b. Remediation under 40 CFR 761.61 (a)? Yes
   c. Were PCB imported into the US? Yes
10. Regulated and/or Untreated Medical/Infectious Waste? Yes
11. Contains Asbestos? Yes
    If Yes: Non-Friable Non-Friable – Regulated Friable

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION
1. Analytical attached Yes
   Please identify applicable samples and/or lab reports:

2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)? Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION
1. Repeat Event/Ongoing Business Yes
2. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 1.5
   Tons  Drums
3. Container Type and Size: N/A
4. USDOT Proper Shipping Name: N/A

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)
By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Alex Gilles Date: 06/20/2017
Title: N/A
Company: N/A

Certification Signature

Alex Gilles
### C. MATERIAL INFORMATION

Describe Process Generating Material (Continued from page 1):

If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

soils, that are contaminated with nonfriable asbestos.

Material Composition and Contaminants (Continued from page 1):

If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
<th>7.</th>
<th>8.</th>
<th>9.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total composition must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%

### D. REGULATORY INFORMATION

Only questions with a “Yes” response in Section D on the EZ Profile™ form (page 1) need to be answered here.

1. EPA Hazardous Waste
   a. Please list all USEPA listed and characteristic waste code numbers:

   b. Is the material subject to the Alternative Debris standards (40 CFR 268.45)?
      - Yes
      - No

   c. Is the material subject to the Alternative Soil standards (40 CFR 268.49)?
      - Yes
      - No

   d. Is the material exempt from Subpart CC Controls (40 CFR 264.1083)?
      - Yes
      - No

      If Yes, please check one of the following:
      - Waste meets LDR or treatment exemptions for organics (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(2) or (c)(4))
      - Waste contains VOCs that average <500 ppmw (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(1)) – will require annual update.

2. State Hazardous Waste
   - Please list all state waste codes:

3. For material that is Treated, Delisted, or Excluded
   - Please indicate the category, below:
     - Delisted Hazardous Waste
     - Excluded Waste under 40 CFR 261.4
     - Specify Exclusion: ________________________________
     - Treated Hazardous Waste Debris
     - Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste
       - If checked, complete question 4.

4. Underlying Hazardous Constituents
   - Please list all Underlying Hazardous Constituents:

5. Industries regulated under Benzene NESHAP include petroleum refineries, chemical manufacturing plants, coke by-product recovery plants, and TSDFs.
   a. Are you a TSDF?
      - If yes, please complete Benzene NESHAP questionnaire. If not, continue.
      - Yes
      - No

   b. Does this material contain benzene?
      - Yes
      - No

   c. Is the material subject to the Alternative Debris standards (40 CFR 268.45)?
      - Yes
      - No

   d. Is the material subject to the Alternative Soil standards (40 CFR 268.49)?
      - Yes
      - No

   e. What is your facility’s current total annual benzene quantity in Megagrams?
      - <1 Mg
      - 1–9.99 Mg
      - ≥10 Mg

   f. Is this waste soil from a remediation?
      - Yes
      - No

   g. Does the waste contain >10% water/moisture?
      - Yes
      - No

   h. Has material been treated to remove 99% of the benzene or to achieve <10 ppmw?
      - Yes
      - No

   i. Is material exempt from controls in accordance with 40 CFR 61.342?
      - Yes
      - No

   j. Based on your knowledge of your waste and the BWON regulations, do you believe that this waste stream is subject to treatment and control requirements at an off-site TSDF?
      - Yes
      - No

6. 40 CFR 63 GGGGG
   - Does the material contain <500 ppmw VOHAPs at the point of determination?
      - Yes
      - No

7. CERCLA or State-Mandated clean up
   - Please submit the Record of Decision or other documentation with process information to assist others in the evaluation for proper disposal. A “Determination of Acceptability” may be needed for CERCLA wastes not going to a CERCLA approved facility.

8. NRC or state regulated radioactive or NORM Waste
   - Please identify Isotopes and pCi/g: ________________________________

---

**EZ Profile™ Addendum**

Only complete this Addendum if prompted by responses on EZ Profile™ (page 1) or to provide additional information. Sections and question numbers correspond to EZ Profile™.

Profile Number: 617670IL

---

**REVISED**: June 30, 2015

©2015 Waste Management
Requested Facility: Peoria City / County Landfill

A. GENERATOR INFORMATION (MATERIAL ORIGIN)
1. Generator Name: AMERICAN ILLINOIS
2. Site Address: 914 South Market
   (City, State, ZIP): KNOXVILLE, IL 61448
3. County: Knox
4. Contact Name: Josh Hill
5. Email: JHill@American.com
6. Phone: 217-924-6884
7. Fax: N/A
8. Generator EPA ID: N/A
9. State ID: N/A

B. BILLING INFORMATION
1. Billing Name: Triple A Asbestos Services, Inc.
2. Billing Address: PO Box 161 705 South Oak Street
   (City, State, ZIP): PO BOX 62557
3. Contact Name: Lee Austif
4. E-mail: Triple A @ Consolomn.net
5. Phone: 217-562-7002
6. Fax: 217-562-2457
7. WM Hauled: Yes
8. P.O. Number: N/A
9. Payment Method: Credit Account

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
1. Common Name: Non-Friable Asbestos
2. Describe Process Generating Material:
   Demolition/renovation - when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder by hand pressure. Including
   gaskets, resilient floor coverings and asphalt roofing products (specify in C.2.) Does not include clean-up wastes, such as
3. Material Composition and Contaminants:
   1. Non-Friable Asbestos (Uncostiminated) 0-100%
   2.
   3.
   4.
   Total comp. must be equal to or greater than 100% ≥100%
4. State Waste Codes: N/A
5. Color: Various
6. Physical State at 70°F: Solid
7. Free Liquid Range Percentage: N/A
8. pH: N/A
9. Strong Odor: No
10. Flash Point: 140°F

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION
1. EPA Hazardous Waste?
   a. Yes
   b. No
2. State Hazardous Waste?
   a. Yes
   b. No
3. Is this material non-hazardous due to Treatment, Delisting, or an Exclusion?
   a. Yes
   b. No
4. Contains Underlying Hazardous Constituents?
   a. Yes
   b. No
5. From an industry regulated under Benzene NESHAP?
   a. Yes
   b. No
6. Facility remediation subject to 40 CFR 63 GGGGG?
   a. Yes
   b. No
7. CERCLA or State–mandated clean-up?
   a. Yes
   b. No
8. NRC or State–regulated radioactive or NORM waste?
   a. Yes
   b. No

E. ANALYTICAL AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION
1. Analytical attached:
   a. Yes
2. Other information attached (such as MSDS)?
   a. Yes

F. SHIPPING AND DOT INFORMATION
1. One-Time Event
2. Repeat Event/Ongoing Business
3. Estimated Quantity/Unit of Measure: 40 tons
4. Yards
5. Drums
6. Gallons
7. Other:
8. Container Type and Size:
9. USDOT Proper Shipping Name:
   a. N/A

G. GENERATOR CERTIFICATION (PLEASE READ AND CERTIFY BY SIGNATURE)
By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterization and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Lee Austif
Title: Operations Manager
Company: Triple A Asbestos Services, Inc.

Certification Signature: [Signature]

THINK GREEN: QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

Revised June 30, 2015
©2015 Waste Management
EZ Profile™ Addendum

Only complete this Addendum if prompted by responses on EZ Profile™ (page 1) or to provide additional information. Sections and question numbers correspond to EZ Profile™.

C. MATERIAL INFORMATION
Describe Process Generating Material (Continued from page 1):

Soils, that are contaminated with nonfibrous asbestos.

Pipe Coating that Contains Asbestos - Black Mastic

Material Composition and Contaminants (Continued from page 1):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Composition</th>
<th>Contaminants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. REGULATORY INFORMATION

Only questions with a "Yes" response in Section D on the EZ Profile™ form (page 1) need to be answered here.

1. EPA Hazardous Waste
   a. Please list all USEPA listed and characteristic waste code numbers:

   b. Is the material subject to the Alternative Debris standards (40 CFR 268.45)? □ Yes □ No
   c. Is the material subject to the Alternative Soil standards (40 CFR 268.49)? □ Yes □ No
   d. Is the material exempt from Subpart CC Controls (40 CFR 264.1083)? □ Yes □ No
      → If Yes, please check one of the following:
      □ Waste meets LDR or treatment exemptions for organics (40 CFR 264.1082(c)(2) or (c)(4))
      □ Waste contains VOCs that average <500 ppmw (CFR 264.1082(c)(1)) – will require annual update.

2. State Hazardous Waste □ Please list all state waste codes:

3. For material that is Treated, Depleted, or Excluded → Please indicate the category, below:
   □ Depleted Hazardous Waste □ Excluded Waste under 40 CFR 261.4 → Specify Exclusion:
   □ Treated Hazardous Waste Debris □ Treated Characteristic Hazardous Waste → If checked, complete question 4.

4. Underlying Hazardous Constituents → Please list all Underlying Hazardous Constituents:

5. Industries regulated under Benzene NESHAP include petroleum refineries, chemical manufacturing plants, coke by-product recovery plants, and TSDFs.
   a. Are you a TSDF? → If yes, please complete Benzene NESHAP questionnaire. If not, continue. □ Yes □ No
   b. Does this material contain benzene?
      1. If yes, what is the flow weighted average concentration? □ <1 Mg □ 1-9.99 Mg □ ≥10 Mg
      □ Yes □ No
      □ ppmw
   c. What is your facility’s current total annual benzene quantity in Megagrams? □ Yes □ No
   d. Is this waste soil from a remediation?
      1. If yes, what is the benzene concentration in remediation waste? □ Yes □ No
      □ ppmw
   e. Does the waste contain >10% water/moisture? □ Yes □ No
   f. Has material been treated to remove 99% of the benzene or to achieve <10 ppmw? □ Yes □ No
   g. Is material exempt from controls in accordance with 40 CFR 61.342? □ Yes □ No
      → If yes, specify exemption:
   h. Based on your knowledge of your waste and the RWON regulations, do you believe that this waste stream is subject to treatment and control requirements at an off-site TSDF? □ Yes □ No

6. 40 CFR 63 GGGGG → Does the material contain <500 ppmw VOCs at the point of determination? □ Yes □ No

7. CERCLA or State-Mandated cleanup → Please submit the Record of Decision or other documentation with process information to assist others in the evaluation for proper disposal. A "Determination of Acceptability" may be needed for CERCLA wastes not going to a CERCLA approved facility.

8. NRC or state regulated radioactive or NORM Waste → Please identify Isotopes and pCi/g:
Removal of regulated, friable asbestos containing materials from demolition/dismantling or remediation activities. Does not include clean-up wastes, such as soil, that are contaminated with asbestos.

By signing this EZ Profile™ form, I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all attached documents contain true and accurate descriptions of this material, and that all relevant information necessary for proper material characterizing and to identify known and suspected hazards has been provided. Any analytical data attached was derived from a sample that is representative as defined in 40 CFR 261 - Appendix 1 or by using an equivalent method. All changes occurring in the character of the material (i.e., changes in the process or new analytical) will be identified by the Generator and be disclosed to Waste Management prior to providing the material to Waste Management.

If I am an agent signing on behalf of the Generator, I have confirmed with the Generator that information contained in this Profile is accurate and complete.

Name (Print): Gregory Snider Date: 06/28/2017
Title: President
Company: General Waste
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Joshua C. Gabehart, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: July 19, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Approval for Mr. Morris’ or Mr. Reeise’s Signature:

We currently do not expect reports that will require Chairman Morris and Director Reeise’s signatures, however we respectfully request approval to obtain Chairman Morris signature should the need arise prior to the next Committee Meeting. Should we require his signature, a report will be brought before the board at the next scheduled meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: John Wisher, Joshua Gabehart, P.E., Foth

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: July 19, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Receive and File

BACKGROUND: Leachate Thickness Analysis for Peoria City/County Landfill No. 1

Prior to January 2015, of the eighty-one (81) active and decommissioned gas wellheads present at Peoria City/County (PCCL) Landfill No. 1, forty-eight (48) contained ports for accessibility to measure depth-to-liquid (DTL). The importance of measuring DTL allows operators to gain knowledge of leachate thickness of a gas well. DTL data provides an understanding of why a gas well may not be producing proficient gas which is generally caused by inadequate gas extraction piping exposure or a totally inundate gas well.

In January of 2015, access ports for measuring DTL levels were installed in the remaining wellheads at Landfill No. 1. By being able to measure DTL in all wells (decommissioned and active) a more accurate model of leachate thickness across Landfill No. 1 could be completed using Surfer® modeling software.

Over the past two years (second quarter 2015 to second quarter 2017), approximately 435,000 gallons of liquid have been removed from Landfill No. 1 and disposed of at the Greater Peoria Sanitary District. Through the use of pneumatic pumping and Blackhawk solar panel pumps, a majority of liquid disposed of offsite can be contributed to gas well liquid extraction.

When comparing Surfer® leachate thickness maps from second quarter of 2015 and 2017 some notable changes have occurred.

1. The Blackhawk solar panel pump located in decommissioned gas well S-6 was removed and placed into gas well B-1 due to possible gas migration offsite. With B-1 and C-1 containing Blackhawk pumps, a distinct positive reduction in liquid is visible from 2015 to 2017 but there was a distinctive recharge in liquid in the area of S-6.
2. The Blackhawk solar panel pump located in decommissioned gas well B-7 continues to remove liquid from the large mound of liquid from the eastern portion of the landfill. Although not very distinctive, a change in the plume surrounding B-7 can be seen on the east and south sides of B-7 suggesting liquid reduction.
3. A pneumatic pump was placed in gas well T-5 to remove liquid from the inundated well and improve gas collection limiting potential gas migration. Over the past year approximately 26,000 gallons of liquid have been removed from gas well T-5 alone. When comparing 2015 to 2017 a change in liquid thickness is visible at T-5 and a small reduction in liquid can be seen in surrounding wells. This is a candidate for a future solar powered pump.

By dewatering active gas wells, methane pockets trapped by liquid are exposed and drawn to the gas well and eventually combusted at the flare. With settling and liquid movement through waste, pockets of methane have more opportunities to emit via the surface or offsite if too much liquid inhibits the radius of influence of the gas well. Through pumping, liquid levels are reduced, enhancing the ability of gas wells to collect gas; furthermore increasing the effectiveness of collection and destruction of methane. In conclusion, liquid removal efforts have improved gas collection in the targeted areas and as other projects are constructed, more opportunity exists for increased gas and liquid collection.
AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: July 19, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Receive and File Monthly Report

BACKGROUND: The following report is provided for engineering items that have occurred since the last scheduled Committee meeting:

Financial Information

Attached is a spreadsheet showing the engineering services provided from July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. The total amount billed to date is $289,995.70.

Updates Regarding Compliance Activities, Measures and Progress

There were no shutdowns that occurred during the month of June.

With ample sunshine during June, gas well pumping with the Blackhawk solar panel pumps (C-1, B-1, and B-7) along with pneumatic pumping of leachate manholes and gas well T-5 a total of 38,500 gallons of leachate/condensate were transported to the Greater Peoria Sanitary District. The sum of total liquid disposed of during the first half of 2017 was 111,000 gallons.

Through maintenance, condensate removal and monitoring of the GCCS, no gas wells exhibited positive pressure during the June monitoring period. The temporary landfill gas collection line installed for remediation of the positive pressure gas wells of R-5, T-2, and T-3 continues to extract landfill gas. On behalf to the Joint City/County Solid Waste Committee an application has been drafted to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) for the excavation and piping improvement project to correct the positive pressure issues of the T-line and R-line.

Depth to liquid on gas wells has been completed as part of the ongoing monitoring plan of liquid fluctuations across Landfill No. 1. In a separate memo titled Leachate Thickness Analysis for Peoria City/County Landfill No. 1, a description of the influence of gas well pumping and liquid depths spanning the last two years along with Surfer maps are provided.

Second quarter surface emissions monitoring event was completed in late June with no exceedances measured.

Foth is completing Semi-Annual Clean Air Act Permit Program (CAAPP) reports for the first half of 2017 which summarize the monitoring requirements set forth in the facility’s air permit. These reports are due to the IEPA by July 31, 2017.

Liquid management continues with manual pumping in various areas of the landfill to sustain improved landfill gas flow to the flare. The average landfill gas flow is over 330 scfm, an increase of 30 scfm since the installation of the new blower motor and methane content continues to fluctuate above and below 41%.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: The current time period percent complete of the contract is 100%. The final for this contract period was 97.1% of the approved engineering budget.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Budget</th>
<th>YTD TOTAL</th>
<th>% Month Complete</th>
<th>% Budget Spent</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 1</td>
<td>General Compliance and Meetings</td>
<td>$40,700</td>
<td>$44,178</td>
<td>109%</td>
<td>22,088.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1</td>
<td>Monitoring Plan</td>
<td>$5,300</td>
<td>$2,269</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>2,269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1</td>
<td>Update G04S, G02S, R10S</td>
<td>$48,200</td>
<td>$6,970</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>6,970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2</td>
<td>Clean Air Act Permit Program</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>$16,181</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>7,559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2</td>
<td>Monitoring Plan</td>
<td>$5,300</td>
<td>$2,269</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>2,269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3</td>
<td>Improvements</td>
<td>$38,200</td>
<td>$7,402</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>7,402</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4</td>
<td>PCCL GCCS Repairs/emergency non-planned</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$14,959</td>
<td>199%</td>
<td>14,959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5</td>
<td>PCCL1 Leachate and LFG Management</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>$40,384</td>
<td>150%</td>
<td>40,384</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6</td>
<td>PCCL Special Waste Review</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7</td>
<td>Off Site Disposal Labor</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$2,025</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>2,025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8</td>
<td>PCCL GCCS Repairs/emergency non-planned</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$14,959</td>
<td>199%</td>
<td>14,959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>14,431.52</td>
<td>12,725.65</td>
<td>14,240.62</td>
<td>14,610.96</td>
<td>14,531.08</td>
<td>12,592.84</td>
<td>13,255.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>15,894.61</td>
<td>11,801.18</td>
<td>12,687.38</td>
<td>11,401.56</td>
<td>11,689.56</td>
<td>11,134.61</td>
<td>14,992.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>18,583.87</td>
<td>16,782.75</td>
<td>15,932.67</td>
<td>15,541.87</td>
<td>17,911.20</td>
<td>16,961.56</td>
<td>15,881.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>26,745.51</td>
<td>17,454.25</td>
<td>15,124.74</td>
<td>17,520.91</td>
<td>20,643.72</td>
<td>17,815.96</td>
<td>16,231.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>20,133.56</td>
<td>19,407.83</td>
<td>20,487.42</td>
<td>17,240.20</td>
<td>21,031.20</td>
<td>17,917.75</td>
<td>17,708.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>20,475.23</td>
<td>21,131.53</td>
<td>21,823.32</td>
<td>18,609.20</td>
<td>21,915.44</td>
<td>23,147.97</td>
<td>15,088.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>20,661.46</td>
<td>20,973.17</td>
<td>16,942.32</td>
<td>18,105.57</td>
<td>20,827.22</td>
<td>19,736.45</td>
<td>17,890.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>20,340.96</td>
<td>21,409.82</td>
<td>21,819.77</td>
<td>19,446.75</td>
<td>18,623.82</td>
<td>22,247.53</td>
<td>17,744.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>25,985.03</td>
<td>19,026.96</td>
<td>18,894.15</td>
<td>15,522.33</td>
<td>19,379.80</td>
<td>17,722.38</td>
<td>16,520.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>20,916.49</td>
<td>26,063.78</td>
<td>18,830.50</td>
<td>17,422.79</td>
<td>20,212.83</td>
<td>17,158.59</td>
<td>16,091.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>17,819.70</td>
<td>22,795.94</td>
<td>15,497.95</td>
<td>18,059.00</td>
<td>21,043.51</td>
<td>14,511.80</td>
<td>16,349.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>16,085.02</td>
<td>18,432.75</td>
<td>15,433.43</td>
<td>13,256.07</td>
<td>32,699.22</td>
<td>14,951.07</td>
<td>16,504.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**  | **162,417.45** | **244,897.29** | **211,021.11** | **197,861.44** | **228,908.32** | **214,571.32** | **208,087.61** | **191,403.56** | **95,404.03** | **207,767.89** |

| General MSW | 211,129.29 | 190,333.16 | 180,882.84 | 209,441.95 | 198,971.42 | 191,767.47 | 182,787.68 | 86,813.69 |
| Special Tons | 22,238.00 | 33,768.00 | 20,687.95 | 16,978.60 | 19,466.37 | 15,599.90 | 16,320.14 | 8,615.88 | 8,590.34 |
Figure 7
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REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION
To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Scott D. Reeise, Public Works Director

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: July 19, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: RECEIVE AND FILE FINANCIAL REPORTS — MONTHLY REVENUE & EXPENSE FOR 2017 and 2nd QUARTER 2017 UPDATE

BACKGROUND: Attached is the revenue and expense report for financial transactions occurring January through June 2017, the quarterly report, and the related chart. The reports list the prior year’s actual financial performance, the projected monthly budget for the current year, and the monthly actual for the reporting periods. Comparison between the columns allow for snap shots of month-to-month financial performance comparisons. The monthly revenue and expense report continues to show a net balance surplus, that is revenues in excess over expenses.

The quarterly report depicts the financial transactions occurring during the 2nd quarter of 2017, April through June. The attached chart depicts both the 1st and 2nd quarter year to year comparison. The financial transactions occurring during the both quarters in 2017 netted excess revenues of $54,154.62 over expenses at the end of the quarter. Actual revenues of $218,488 compared with budgeted revenue of $246,508 were 11% less. Similarly, actual expenses of $164,333 were less than budgeted expenses by 31%.

| 1st & 2nd Quarter 2017 (January - June) Budget to Actual |  |
|---|---|---|
| Revenue | Expense | Net |
| 2017 Actual | $218,488 | $164,333 | $54,155 |
| 2017 Budget | $246,508 | $238,950 | $7,558 |
| $ Variance | $28,020 | $74,617 | ($46,597) |
| % Variance | -11% | 31% | -617% |

The attached quarterly graphs depicts the overall actual year-to-year comparison. 2017 revenue lags the revenue reported in the same period 2016 by 4%. Expenses in 2017 outpaced expenses in 2016 by 20%; however, both years had a net surplus during the same time of period of $91,499 and $54,155, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$39,262</td>
<td>$32,220</td>
<td>$20,753</td>
<td>$28,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>$31,350</td>
<td>$38,115</td>
<td>$24,353</td>
<td>$31,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>$36,276</td>
<td>$29,176</td>
<td>$16,712</td>
<td>$27,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$37,251</td>
<td>$34,402</td>
<td>$22,194</td>
<td>$31,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>$38,261</td>
<td>$36,917</td>
<td>$28,460</td>
<td>$25,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>$46,353</td>
<td>$47,657</td>
<td>$24,780</td>
<td>$19,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YTD</strong></td>
<td><strong>$228,753</strong></td>
<td><strong>$218,488</strong></td>
<td><strong>$137,254</strong></td>
<td><strong>$164,333</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yearly % (+/-) FY2016 to FY2017</strong></td>
<td><strong>-4%</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>20%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### LANDFILL FUND MONTHLY REVENUE & EXPENSE SUMMARY - 2017

**Wednesday, July 19, 2017**

#### REVENUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>JANUARY 2017</th>
<th>FEBRUARY 2017</th>
<th>MARCH 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Host Fees ($2.37/Ton)</td>
<td>$38,279.56</td>
<td>$30,160.97</td>
<td>$34,348.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer City Rebate Amount</td>
<td>$2.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned</td>
<td>$57.55</td>
<td>$68.39</td>
<td>$77.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Cash Balance</td>
<td>$0.11</td>
<td>$0.01</td>
<td>$0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Illinois Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL REVENUES</strong></td>
<td>$39,262.22</td>
<td>$31,349.76</td>
<td>$36,275.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>JANUARY 2017</th>
<th>FEBRUARY 2017</th>
<th>MARCH 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Personnel</td>
<td>$6,333.33</td>
<td>$708.30</td>
<td>$708.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Management Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Guidance and Compliance Activities</td>
<td>$7,768.72</td>
<td>$8,017.33</td>
<td>$5,015.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF #2 to LF #3 Transition Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td>$833.33</td>
<td>$833.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Support/Reporting</td>
<td>$2,812.13</td>
<td>$6,150.73</td>
<td>$3,442.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF #1 Leachate Ops &amp; LFG Ops.</td>
<td>$8,542.88</td>
<td>$7,107.69</td>
<td>$6,546.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF#1 Const Eng/PCC Liquid Mgmt &amp; LFG System Mats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Construction</td>
<td>$781.21</td>
<td>$268.10</td>
<td>$268.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Closure Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCCS Improvement Projects</td>
<td>$8,333.33</td>
<td>$8,333.33</td>
<td>$8,333.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landfill Gas Flare &amp; Well Field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids &amp; Gas Replacement Materials</td>
<td>$416.67</td>
<td>$416.67</td>
<td>$416.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leachate Extraction Improvements</td>
<td>$875.00</td>
<td>$875.00</td>
<td>$875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Site Liquids Disposal</td>
<td>$159.99</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$214.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport &amp; Leachate Treatment (PDC/GPSD)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$41.21</td>
<td>$41.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>$505.40</td>
<td>$454.73</td>
<td>$41.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned and Emergency Expenses</td>
<td>$625.00</td>
<td>$625.00</td>
<td>$552.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</strong></td>
<td>$20,752.97</td>
<td>$16,416.70</td>
<td>$17,162.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TOTAL REVENUES OVER EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JANUARY 2017</th>
<th>FEBRUARY 2017</th>
<th>MARCH 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$18,509.25</td>
<td>$6,996.43</td>
<td>$6,645.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BEGINNING CASH BALANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JANUARY 2017</th>
<th>FEBRUARY 2017</th>
<th>MARCH 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$216,684.95</td>
<td>$231,710.41</td>
<td>$231,072.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ENDING CASH BALANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JANUARY 2017</th>
<th>FEBRUARY 2017</th>
<th>MARCH 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$216,684.95</td>
<td>$231,710.41</td>
<td>$231,072.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. 2017 Budget based on approx. 200,000 tons host fees of $2.37/ton
2. City and county personnel Cost reductions amounts decreased in 2016.
3. Off-Site Leachate disposal costs reduced to reflect the lower costs resulting from approval to discharge at GPSD hauling & treated by PDC

---

**Notes:**
- **Actual Bank Statement Cash Balance**
- **PCCL Monthly Financial Report - 1**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVENUES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host Fees ($2.37/Ton)(1)</td>
<td>$36,243.83</td>
<td>$39,553.30</td>
<td>$33,330.54</td>
<td>$37,236.47</td>
<td>$39,553.30</td>
<td>$34,858.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer City Reimb Amount</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leases</td>
<td>$925.00</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$943.50</td>
<td>$925.00</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$1,905.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Cash Balance</td>
<td>$82.47</td>
<td>$62.54</td>
<td>$127.57</td>
<td>$99.17</td>
<td>$62.54</td>
<td>$153.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Illinois Funds</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REVENUES</td>
<td>$37,251.30</td>
<td>$41,084.59</td>
<td>$34,401.61</td>
<td>$38,260.64</td>
<td>$41,084.59</td>
<td>$36,917.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Personnel(1)</td>
<td>$7,625.00</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$97.60</td>
<td>$62.54</td>
<td>$140.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Personnel(2)</td>
<td>$7,625.00</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$1,468.75</td>
<td>$97.60</td>
<td>$62.54</td>
<td>$140.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Audit</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$208.30</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$208.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Management Expenses</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; Operations</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Guidance and Compliance Activities</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF #2 to LF #3 Transition Activities</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Support/Reporting</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF #1 Leachate Ops. &amp; LFG Ops.</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF#1 Const Eng/PCCL Liquid Mgmt &amp; LFG System Mats</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Construction</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Closure Care</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCCS Improvement Projects</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landfill Gas Flare &amp; Well Field</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid &amp; Gas Replacement Materials</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leachate Extraction Improvements</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Site Liquids Disposal</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport &amp; Leachate Treatment (PDC/GPSD)(3)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned and Emergency Expenses</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>$22,194.46</td>
<td>$39,825.14</td>
<td>$31,737.61</td>
<td>$28,460.20</td>
<td>$39,824.63</td>
<td>$25,355.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Revenues over Expenses</td>
<td>$15,056.84</td>
<td>$1,259.45</td>
<td>$2,664.00</td>
<td>$9,800.44</td>
<td>$1,259.96</td>
<td>$11,581.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEGINNING CASH BALANCE</td>
<td>$201,063.17</td>
<td>$379,014.05</td>
<td>$281,863.76</td>
<td>$236,515.28</td>
<td>$380,273.50</td>
<td>$315,563.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INB</td>
<td>$201,063.17</td>
<td>$379,014.05</td>
<td>$281,863.76</td>
<td>$236,515.28</td>
<td>$380,273.50</td>
<td>$315,563.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBank* (Account closed 2/4/16—amount of $637.62 transferred to INB)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDING CASH BALANCE**</td>
<td>$236,515.28</td>
<td>$380,273.50</td>
<td>$315,563.72</td>
<td>$253,747.37</td>
<td>$381,533.46</td>
<td>$319,169.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INB</td>
<td>$236,515.28</td>
<td>$380,273.50</td>
<td>$315,563.72</td>
<td>$253,747.37</td>
<td>$381,533.46</td>
<td>$319,169.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBank*</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** Actual Bank Statement Cash Balance</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>2016 Actual</td>
<td>2017 Budget</td>
<td>2017 Actual Through 06/30/2017</td>
<td>% of Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host Fees</td>
<td>$447,674.16</td>
<td>(1) $474,639.54$</td>
<td>$207,384.23</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leases</td>
<td>$22,752.95</td>
<td>$17,625.00</td>
<td>$10,242.24</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Cash Balance</td>
<td>$1,292.68</td>
<td>$750.50</td>
<td>$861.48</td>
<td>115%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Illinois Funds</td>
<td>$0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL REVENUES</strong></td>
<td>$471,719.91</td>
<td>$493,015.04</td>
<td>$218,487.95</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Personnel</td>
<td>$75,785.00</td>
<td>$76,000.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Personnel</td>
<td>$8,500.00</td>
<td>$8,500.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Audit</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Management Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Guidance and Compliance Activities</td>
<td>$96,680.62</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$54,216.23</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF #2 to LF #3 Transition Activities</td>
<td>$1,687.93</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,962.86</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Support/Reporting</td>
<td>$27,956.01</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>$21,619.74</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF #1 Leachate Ops. &amp; LFG Ops.</td>
<td>$93,258.06</td>
<td>$90,000.00</td>
<td>$67,423.61</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF#1 Construction Engineering</td>
<td>$635.02</td>
<td>$12,500.00</td>
<td>$7,544.09</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Closure Care</td>
<td>$2,302.91</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCCS Improvement Projects</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landfill Gas Flare &amp; Well Field:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquids &amp; Gas Replacement Materials</td>
<td>$2,475.57</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$527.63</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leachate Extraction Improvements</td>
<td>$394.73</td>
<td>$10,500.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Site Liquids Disposal</td>
<td>$9,757.55</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,848.27</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport &amp; Leachate Treatment (PDC/GPSD)</td>
<td>$10,641.73</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>$4,558.64</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$497.12</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$277.01</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>$6,361.95</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$1,355.25</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned and Emergency Expenses</td>
<td>$18,708.05</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</strong></td>
<td>$358,142.25</td>
<td>$477,900.00</td>
<td>$164,333.33</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)</strong></td>
<td>$113,577.66</td>
<td>$15,115.04</td>
<td>$54,154.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) 2016 Budget Assumption Based on 200,000 tons @ $2.32/ton
(2) 2017 Budget Assumption Based on 200,000 tons @ $2.37/ton
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Mike Wiersema & Ian Johnson, Waste Management

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: July 19, 2017

ACTION REQUESTED: Receive and file monthly reports.

BACKGROUND: Attached is the monthly activity report through June 2017.

1. All weekly random load checks were completed and documented with no issues to report.
2. To allow sufficient time to respond to short-term submittal requirements that may arise prior to the next Landfill Committee meeting, we respectfully request authorization for the Committee chairperson to sign such documents, subject to review and approval in advance by Foth.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: NA
Peoria City/County Landfill No. 2  
Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.  
Monthly Activity Report  
June 2017

### Tonnage: General Refuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haulers</td>
<td>17,906.09</td>
<td>85,920.04</td>
<td>90,254.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Res. Free Loads</td>
<td>165.20</td>
<td>871.90</td>
<td>1,049.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Res. $5 Loads</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadside</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>7.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>18,075.41</td>
<td>86,813.69</td>
<td>91,317.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tonnage: Special Wastes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial (Declassified)</td>
<td>1,826.54</td>
<td>8,590.34</td>
<td>4,643.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial (Exempt)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1,826.54</td>
<td>8,590.34</td>
<td>4,643.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TOTAL LANDFILL RECEIPTS

|                     | 19,901.95         | 95,404.03                | 95,960.85                |

### Yard Waste Receipts

|                     | 0.00              | 0.00                     | 0.00                     |

### Payments: Payable to City/County Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Refuse</td>
<td>Tons 17,906.09 Rate 2.37</td>
<td>$42,437.43</td>
<td>$203,630.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Waste - Ind.</td>
<td>Tons 1,826.54 Rate 2.37</td>
<td>$4,328.90</td>
<td>$20,359.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$46,766.33</td>
<td>$223,989.60</td>
<td>$220,161.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Payable to County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Refuse</td>
<td>Tons 17,906.09 Rate 1.27</td>
<td>$22,740.73</td>
<td>$109,118.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Waste - Ind.</td>
<td>Tons 1,826.54 Rate 1.27</td>
<td>$2,319.71</td>
<td>$10,909.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$25,060.44</td>
<td>$120,028.18</td>
<td>$120,519.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Payable to/Receivable From County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5 Loads</td>
<td>Loads 7.00 Rate 5.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Fee on Free and $5 Loads</td>
<td>Tons 167.00 Rate 2.22</td>
<td>($370.74)</td>
<td>($1,953.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>($335.74)</td>
<td>($1,693.56)</td>
<td>($2,159.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tonnage: General Refuse & Special Waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
<th>Landfill #2 Year to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In county</td>
<td>11,753.52 59.06%</td>
<td>56,443.49</td>
<td>53,364.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of county</td>
<td>8,148.43 40.94%</td>
<td>38,960.54</td>
<td>42,596.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>- 0.00%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>19,901.95 100.00%</td>
<td>95,404.03</td>
<td>95,960.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION
To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members
From: Scott D. Reeise, Public Works Director

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: July 19, 2017
ACTION REQUESTED: REQUEST TO ADOPT THE LANDFILL COMMITTEE RESERVE FUND BALANCE POLICY FOR THE PEORIA CITY/COUNTY LANDFILL

BACKGROUND: On January 20, 2016, the PCCL Committee was presented a draft Reserve Fund Balance Policy during the meeting with limited discussion. On February 17, 2016, the item was brought back to the Committee for discussion. It was recommended, that a PCCL Policy Steering Committee be formed to develop a recommended Reserve Fund Balance Policy, consisting of two (2) members from Peoria City, two (2) members from Peoria County, and the Chairman.

The following represents the framework of the policy for your consideration and discussion.

*Fund Balance Summary Definition and Purpose*

Fund balance is defined as the monetary difference between a fund’s assets and liabilities. Other terms used for fund balance are fund equity and net assets. Fund balance can be subdivided into reserved and unreserved accounts funds. The Peoria City County Landfill’s (PCCL) fund balance is generally treated as an unreserved fund balance. Unreserved fund balance may be subdivided into designated and un-designated accounts. Un-designated portions of an unreserved fund balance, or excess represent expendable, available financial resources that could be used for either current or long-term fiscal utilization.

The purpose of this policy is to address the governance of the excess unreserved fund balance of the PCCL fund balance. Additionally, the purpose of this policy is to establish appropriate levels of the reserves, ensures adequate cash flows are maintained for operations, ensure that adequate reserves are maintained for contingency and emergency and ensure that adequate reserves are maintained for long-term perpetual care.

*Fund Balance Reserve Policy*

The Peoria City County Landfill Committee recognizes that a prudent and fiscally responsible course of action is to establish a policy for its fund balance. The Committee acknowledges that the reserve fund balance policy is intended to provide guidelines for fiscally responsible operations in the current year of operation, the next operating cycle and long-term planning, and to prevent utilizing landfill revenue for anything not landfill related. The basic tenets of this fund balance reserve policy are to:

- Establish a minimum balance and pre-approved unbudgeted expenditure amount
- Create a capital fund
- Create a perpetual care fund
A. **OPERATING FUND RESERVE - MINIMUM BALANCE RESERVE and PRE-APPROVED UNBUDGETED EXPENSE**

- PCCL will maintain a minimum cash fund balance equivalent to twenty-five percent (25%) of three (3) months operating expenditures reserved from the prior year’s revenue or the prior year’s average cash fund balance; and
- Any unbudgeted expenditure in the amount $10,000 and over must be presented to the Landfill Committee for approval prior payment; AMOUNTS under $9,999 will be paid under Unplanned Emergency Expense line.

B. **CAPITAL FUND RESERVE**

- In additional to the Operating reserves, the Landfill Committee will maintain a fund balance specific for capital improvements with a beginning balance of $100,000 and subsequent $50,000 budgeted each year devoted to capital. So that as projects come up or other capital opportunities arise funds can be used from the Capital Fund Reserve rather than the yearly Operating budget.

C. **PERPETUAL (POST-CLOSURE ) CARE FUND RESERVE**

- The Landfill Committee will maintain a reserve equal to the anticipated landfill closure and post closure expenses. This reserve is based upon IEPA’s (Illinois Environmental Protection Agency) post closure cost expense reported annually to our audits. As of June 2017, the appropriate fund balance would be $1.4 million.

**Recommendation**

Staff recommends that the Landfill Committee ADOPT the Reserve Fund Balance Policy as outlined.
REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION

To: Peoria City/County Landfill Committee Members

From: Scott D. Reeise, Public Works Director

AGENDA DATE REQUESTED: July 19, 2017


BACKGROUND: The Draft PCCL Fund Balance has been presented to the Peoria City County Landfill Committee for discussion. Additional Information with regards to the historical fund balances for fiscal years 2002 through 2015 (audited balances) and fiscal year 2016 (unaudited ending balance) follows for your perusal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$1,361,660</td>
<td>$1,635,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$1,635,882</td>
<td>$354,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$354,122</td>
<td>$451,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$451,398</td>
<td>$607,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$607,117</td>
<td>$745,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$745,154</td>
<td>$1,007,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$1,007,979</td>
<td>$1,101,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$1,101,243</td>
<td>$1,073,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$1,073,901</td>
<td>$824,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$824,317</td>
<td>$606,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$606,509</td>
<td>$265,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$265,672</td>
<td>$122,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$122,234</td>
<td>$139,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$139,292</td>
<td>$134,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$134,083</td>
<td>$247,660 **unaudited balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>